Categorical Exclusion

(Version: FEB06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-030 PEPC Project Number: 14593

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: El Portal Rail Exhibit Caboose Preservation '06

Location: Mariposa County, California El Portal NPS Project Manager: Facilities Management, Rod Kennec

B. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C (4) – Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be minor or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under **Project Mitigations and Conditions** in **Section I** at the end of the attached Environmental Screening Form.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked): Cultural Resource Effects Assessment Form (XXX) Wilderness Minimum Requirement Analysis Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Determination Park Management Terms and Conditions Other: <Specifice other Attachment>

C. DECISION

On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances or conditions in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in DO12, Section 3.4.

//MJ Tollefson// 3/17/06 Michael J. Tollefson Date

Original: Statutory Compliance File cc: **Project Proponent**

Attachments

(2)



United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

IN REPLY REFER TO: L7617 (YOSE-PM)

3 <i>T</i>	1
Mem	

To: Rod Kennec, Project Manager, Facilities Management

From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2006-030 El Portal Rail Exhibit Caboose Preservation '06

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C(4) – Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to the conditions stipulated in the enclosed *Categorical Exclusion Form* and associated documents when implementing this project.

_//MJ Tollefson//	_ 3/17/06
Michael J. Tollefson	Date
Enclosure (with attachments)	
cc: Statutory Compliance File	

Environmental Screening Form (Version: FEB06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-030

PEPC Project Number: 14593

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: El Portal Rail Exhibit Caboose Preservation '06

Location: Mariposa County, California El Portal NPS Project Manager: Rod Kennec, Facilities Management

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

This project will focus on restoring missing or deteriorated features of the caboose and bring these features up to maintainable state. A conservation condition survey prepared by the California State Railroad (CSR) Museum will provide oversight and guide the work by the National Park Service, Yosemite Historic Preservation Team. The scope of this project will include:

- Install new roofing system as recomended by CSR Museum.
- Remove and replace "in-kind" all deteriorated exterior siding and wood elements.
- Reconstruct both fore and aft platforms including priming, painting, and replacing hardware.
- Restore both entry doors.
- Restore brake hardware.
- Restore windows and window hardware.
- Repair attached boxes under right side of the caboose.
- Repaint entire exterior and re-letter with historic nomenclature.

Table B1 – Background Information

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list attendees. If no, explain.				Historic Preservation Team.
2a.	Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR		\boxtimes		
2b.	Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.		\boxtimes		
2c.	Is the project consistent with that plan?			\boxtimes	
2d.	Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current?			\boxtimes	
3a.	Are there any interested or affected parties?		\boxtimes		
3b.	Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them?				
4a.	Are there any affected agencies or tribes?		\boxtimes		
4b.	Has consultation been completed?				

Table B2 – Environmental Screening Form Attachments (provide Attachment letter—A, B, etc.)

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Maps: 2 required (vicinity map & site map)	\boxtimes			Vicinity Map, Attachment A.
2.	Drawings (e.g., design, construction)		\boxtimes		
3.	Site Plans		\boxtimes		
4.	Photographs	\boxtimes			Caboose, see Attachment B.
5.	Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain)		\boxtimes		
6.	Other (Explain)		\boxtimes		

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-030 Page 2 of 6

C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

	e any impacts possible on the following	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
	ources?		\boxtimes		
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc				
2.	From geohazards				
3.	Air quality			Ш	Nacialia da managama a matematian maia
4.	Soundscapes				Negligible: temporary construction noise during project work.
5.	Water quality or quantity	Щ.			
6.	Stream flow characteristics		\boxtimes		
7.	Marine or estuarine resources				
8.	Floodplains or wetlands		\boxtimes		
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use		\boxtimes		
10.	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine		\boxtimes		
11.	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat		\boxtimes		
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites		\boxtimes		
13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		\boxtimes		
14.	Unique or important fish or fish habitat		\boxtimes		
15.	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)		\boxtimes		
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.				Enhance recreational resources; El Portal Railroad Exhibit.
17.	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources	\boxtimes			Enhance visitor experience.
18.	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources	\boxtimes			Project will enhance the caboose and the El Portal Historic District; see project description and attached XXX.
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure		\boxtimes		
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.		\boxtimes		
21.	Energy resources		\boxtimes		
22.	Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies		\boxtimes		
	Resource, including energy, conservation potential		\boxtimes		
24.	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.		\boxtimes		
	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity				
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?		\boxtimes		
Cor	nments, Mitigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-030 Page 3 of 6

D. MANDATORY CRITERIA

If	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
	Have material adverse effects on public health or safety?		\boxtimes		Mitigated; see condition 1 and 2 below.		
2.	Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?				Mitigated; work will follow guidance from the California State Railroad Museum; assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see project description and attached XXX.		
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects?		\boxtimes				
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?						
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		\boxtimes				
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?						
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Properties?		\boxtimes		Mitigated; work will follow guidance from the California State Railroad Museum; assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see project description and attached XXX.		
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?		\boxtimes				
	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?		\boxtimes				
10.	Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?						
11.	Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?						
	Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?		\boxtimes				
13.	Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?						
14.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?						
15.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?		\boxtimes				
16.	Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?						
17.	Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?		\boxtimes				
18.	Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?						
	Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?						
C o	Comments, Mitigations and Conditions: 1. Submit an Accident Prevention Plan to the park Safety Office (379-1079) for review and approval before proceeding with this work. (Safety Office)						

2. Coordinate with park accessibility coordinator, Larry Harris (379-1035) to ensure ADA compliant path of travel from parking area to viewing area. (Project Management)

Compliance	Tracking	Number: 2	2006-030
-	_	Pa	age 4 of 6

E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST

Listed or proposed threatened or endangered species (Federal or State)? Species of special concern (Federal or State)? Species (Species of Special Status Species (Special Status Species (
State)? 3. Park rare plants or vegetation? 4. Potential habitat for any special-status species listed above? If "yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-Status Species Checklist must be completed and attached. Comments, Mitigations and Conditions: 1. None F. NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT CHECKLIST Within the area of potential effect: Within the area of potential effect: Will there be ground disturbance? Are there any archeological sites? Sea are there any Native American Indian traditional cultural resources? Sea Is the project within the boundary of an archeological or historic landscape or district? Sea Is there a National Historic Landmark? Sea Is there a structure(s) on the park's List of Classified Structures? Classified Structures? Sea Is there a historic property with a DOE and concurrence by the SHPO or a completed National Register form? Sed Is there a cultural property requiring review under NHPA, Section 106? Mitigated; see condition 1 below; Assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect," see attached XXX. If "yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment of Effects form (YOSE-XXX) must be completed and attached. Mitigations and Conditions: 1. Prepare a Historic Preservation Report to document project work – sample hand made or unique materials where replaced.							
4. Potential habitat for any special-status species listed above? If "yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-Status Species Checklist must be completed and attached. Comments, Mitigations and Conditions: 1. None F. NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT CHECKLIST Within the area of potential effect: Yes No N/A Data Needed to Determine/Notes 1. Will there be ground disturbance?							
If "yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-Status Species Checklist must be completed and attached. Comments, Mitigations and Conditions: 1. None F. NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT CHECKLIST Within the area of potential effect: Will there be ground disturbance? 2. Are there any archeological sites? 3. Are there any Native American Indian traditional cultural resources? 4. Is the project within the boundary of an archeological or historic landscape or district; assessment of effect is "No Effect," see comments by the Native American Liaison, page 5 of the attached XXX. 4. Is there a National Historic Landmark? 5b. Is there a National Historic Landmark? 5c. Is there a historic property with a DOE and concurrence by the SHPO or a completed National Register form? 5d. Is there a cultural property requiring review under NHPA, Section 106? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? If "yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment of Effects form (YOSE-XXX) must be completed and attached. Mitigations and Conditions: 1. Prepare a Historic Preservation Report to document project work – sample hand made or unique materials where replaced.							
If "yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-Status Species Checklist must be completed and attached. Comments, Mitigations and Conditions: 1. None F. NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT CHECKLIST Within the area of potential effect: Within the area of potential effect: Yes No N/A Data Needed to Determine/Notes 1. Will there be ground disturbance? 2. Are there any archeological sites? BI Portal Rail Exhibit is within the boundary of the Traditional Cultural Property; assessment of effect is "No Effect;" see comments by the Native American Liaison, page 5 of the attached XXX. 4. Is the project within the boundary of an archeological or historic landscape or district? 4. Is there a National Historic Landmark? 5b. Is there a National Historic Landmark? 5c. Is there a structure(s) on the park's List of Classified Structures? 5c. Is there a historic property with a DOE and concurrence by the SHPO or a completed National Register form? 5d. Is there a cultural property requiring review under NHPA, Section 106? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? If "yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment of Effects form (YOSE-XXX) must be completed and attached. Mitigations and Conditions: 1. Prepare a Historic Preservation Report to document project work – sample hand made or unique materials where replaced.							
Comments, Mitigations and Conditions: None							
F. NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT CHECKLIST Within the area of potential effect: Ves No N/A Data Needed to Determine/Notes 1. Will there be ground disturbance? 2. Are there any archeological sites? EI Portal Rail Exhibit is within the boundary of the Traditional Cultural Property; assessment of effect is "No Effect;" see comments by the Native American Liaison, page 5 of the attached XXX. 4. Is the project within the boundary of an archeological or historic landscape or district? 5a. Is there a National Historic Landmark? 5b. Is there a National Historic Landmark? 5c. Is there a historic property with a DOE and concurrence by the SHPO or a completed National Register form? 5d. Is there a cultural property requiring review under NHPA, Section 106? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? If "yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment of Effects form (YOSE-XXX) must be completed and attached. Mitigations and Conditions: 1. Prepare a Historic Preservation Report to document project work — sample hand made or unique materials where replaced.							
Within the area of potential effect:							
Within the area of potential effect:							
Within the area of potential effect:							
1. Will there be ground disturbance? 2. Are there any archeological sites? Secondary of the traditional Cultural Property; assessment of effect is "No Effect;" see comments by the Native American Liaison, page 5 of the attached XXX. 4. Is the project within the boundary of an archeological or historic landscape or district? 5a. Is there a National Historic Landmark? 5b. Is there a National Historic Landmark? 5c. Is there a structure(s) on the park's List of Classified Structures? 5c. Is there a historic property with a DOE and concurrence by the SHPO or a completed National Register form? 5d. Is there a cultural property requiring review under NHPA, Section 106? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? If "yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment of Effects form (YOSE-XXXX) must be completed and attached. Mitigations and Conditions: 1. Prepare a Historic Preservation Report to document project work – sample hand made or unique materials where replaced.							
2. Are there any archeological sites? 3. Are there any Native American Indian traditional cultural resources? 4. Is the project within the boundary of an archeological or historic landscape or district? 5a. Is there a National Historic Landmark? 5b. Is there a National Historic Landmark? 5c. Is there a historic property with a DOE and concurrence by the SHPO or a completed National Register form? 5d. Is there a cultural property requiring review under NHPA, Section 106? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? If "yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment of Effects form (YOSE-XXX) must be completed and attached. Mitigations and Conditions: I. Prepare a Historic Preservation Report to document project work – sample hand made or unique materials where replaced.							
3. Are there any Native American Indian traditional cultural resources? Are there any Native American Indian traditional cultural resources?							
3. Are there any Native American Indian traditional cultural resources?							
assessment of effect is "No Effect;" see comments by the Native American Liaison, page 5 of the attached XXX. 4. Is the project within the boundary of an archeological or historic landscape or district? 5a. Is there a National Historic Landmark? 5b. Is there a structure(s) on the park's List of Classified Structures? 5c. Is there a historic property with a DOE and concurrence by the SHPO or a completed National Register form? 5d. Is there a cultural property requiring review under NHPA, Section 106? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a							
comments by the Native American Liaison, page 5 of the attached XXX. 4. Is the project within the boundary of an archeological or historic landscape or district? 5a. Is there a National Historic Landmark? 5b. Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of Classified Structures?</i> 5c. Is there a historic property with a DOE and concurrence by the SHPO or a completed National Register form? 5d. Is there a cultural property requiring review under NHPA, Section 106? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural property requiring review under NHPA, Section 106? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 8. Mitigated; see condition 1 below; Assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect," see attached XXX. 8. Mitigated; see condition 1 below; Assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect," see attached XXX.							
page 5 of the attached XXX. 4. Is the project within the boundary of an archeological or historic landscape or district? 5a. Is there a National Historic Landmark? 5b. Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of Classified Structures</i> ? 5c. Is there a historic property with a DOE and concurrence by the SHPO or a completed National Register form? 5d. Is there a cultural property requiring review under NHPA, Section 106? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? Fig. 2 of the attached XXX. El Portal Archeological District; Assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect." Yosemite Valley Railroad Caboose #15. Mitigated; see condition 1 below; Assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect," see attached XXX. If "yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment of Effects form (YOSE-XXX) must be completed and attached. Mitigations and Conditions: 1. Prepare a Historic Preservation Report to document project work – sample hand made or unique materials where replaced.							
archeological or historic landscape or district? 5a. Is there a National Historic Landmark? 5b. Is there a structure(s) on the park's List of Classified Structures? 5c. Is there a historic property with a DOE and concurrence by the SHPO or a completed National Register form? 5d. Is there a cultural property requiring review under NHPA, Section 106? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a Structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a Structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a Structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a Structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a Structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a Structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a Structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a Structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a Structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a Structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a Structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a Structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a Structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a Structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a Structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a Structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a Structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a Structure or cultu							
district? 5a. Is there a National Historic Landmark? 5b. Is there a structure(s) on the park's List of Classified Structures? 5c. Is there a historic property with a DOE and concurrence by the SHPO or a completed National Register form? 5d. Is there a cultural property requiring review under NHPA, Section 106? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? Mitigated; see condition 1 below; Assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect," see attached XXX. If "yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment of Effects form (YOSE-XXX) must be completed and attached. Mitigations and Conditions: 1. Prepare a Historic Preservation Report to document project work – sample hand made or unique materials where replaced.							
5a. Is there a National Historic Landmark? □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □							
 5b. Is there a structure(s) on the park's List of Classified Structures? 5c. Is there a historic property with a DOE and concurrence by the SHPO or a completed National Register form? 5d. Is there a cultural property requiring review under NHPA, Section 106? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? If "yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment of Effects form (YOSE-XXX) must be completed and attached. Mitigations and Conditions: 1. Prepare a Historic Preservation Report to document project work – sample hand made or unique materials where replaced. 							
Classified Structures? 5c. Is there a historic property with a DOE and concurrence by the SHPO or a completed National Register form? 5d. Is there a cultural property requiring review under NHPA, Section 106? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? Mitigated; see condition 1 below; Assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect," see attached XXX. If "yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment of Effects form (YOSE-XXX) must be completed and attached. Mitigations and Conditions: 1. Prepare a Historic Preservation Report to document project work – sample hand made or unique materials where replaced.							
concurrence by the SHPO or a completed National Register form? 5d. Is there a cultural property requiring review under NHPA, Section 106? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? Mitigated; see condition 1 below; Assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect," see attached XXX. If "yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment of Effects form (YOSE-XXX) must be completed and attached. Mitigations and Conditions: 1. Prepare a Historic Preservation Report to document project work – sample hand made or unique materials where replaced.							
National Register form? 5d. Is there a cultural property requiring review under NHPA, Section 106? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? Mitigated; see condition 1 below; Assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect," see attached XXX. If "yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment of Effects form (YOSE-XXX) must be completed and attached. Mitigations and Conditions: 1. Prepare a Historic Preservation Report to document project work – sample hand made or unique materials where replaced.							
 5d. Is there a cultural property requiring review under NHPA, Section 106? 6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? If "yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment of Effects form (YOSE-XXX) must be completed and attached. Mitigated; see condition 1 below; Assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect," see attached XXX. If "yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment of Effects form (YOSE-XXX) must be completed and attached. Mitigations and Conditions: 1. Prepare a Historic Preservation Report to document project work – sample hand made or unique materials where replaced. 							
6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? Mitigated; see condition 1 below; Assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect," see attached XXX. If "yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment of Effects form (YOSE-XXX) must be completed and attached. Mitigations and Conditions: 1. Prepare a Historic Preservation Report to document project work – sample hand made or unique materials where replaced.							
cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? Assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect," see attached XXX. If "yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment of Effects form (YOSE-XXX) must be completed and attached. Mitigations and Conditions: 1. Prepare a Historic Preservation Report to document project work – sample hand made or unique materials where replaced.							
cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above? Assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect," see attached XXX. If "yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment of Effects form (YOSE-XXX) must be completed and attached. Mitigations and Conditions: 1. Prepare a Historic Preservation Report to document project work – sample hand made or unique materials where replaced.							
See attached XXX. If "yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment of Effects form (YOSE-XXX) must be completed and attached. Mitigations and Conditions: 1. Prepare a Historic Preservation Report to document project work – sample hand made or unique materials where replaced.							
Mitigations and Conditions: 1. Prepare a Historic Preservation Report to document project work – sample hand made or unique materials where replaced.							
1. Prepare a Historic Preservation Report to document project work – sample hand made or unique materials where replaced.							
G. WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST							
Is the proposed project: Yes No N/A Data Needed to Determine/Notes							
1. Within designated Wilderness?							
2. Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?							
If "yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness Minimum Requirements Analysis must be completed and attached.							
Mitigations and Conditions: 1. None							

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-030 Page 5 of 6

H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Do	es the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes			
1.	Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? If 'yes', name the river(s)	\boxtimes			Merced Wild and Scenic River			
2.	Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?		\boxtimes					
3.	Potentially affect water quality of the area?		\boxtimes					
4.	Remain consistent with its river segment classification?	\boxtimes			The El Portal Rail Exhibit Preservation is consistent with it's classification of 3C - Park Operations and Administration.			
5.	Protect and enhance river ORVs?	\boxtimes			The El Portal Rail Exhibit Preservation will protect and enhance river ORVs.			
6a.	Fall within the River Protection Overlay?		\boxtimes					
6b.	If "yes", is it consistent with conditions of the River Protection Overlay?			\boxtimes				
7.	Remain consistent with the areas Management Zoning?	\boxtimes			The El Portal Rail Exhibit Preservation is consistent with it's management zone of 3C - Park Operations and Administration.			
8a.	Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?							
8b.	If 9a is "yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?			\boxtimes				
8c.	If 9a is "yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?			\boxtimes				
If "yes" to questions 2, 9b, or 9c, then a WSRA Section 7 determination must be completed and attached.								
Mi	Mitigations and Conditions:							
1.								

I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

 When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

Applicable Categorical Exclusion:

DO12 3.4 *C* (4) – Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.


Project Mitigations and Conditions:

- 1. Submit an Accident Prevention Plan to the park Safety Office (379-1079) for review and approval before proceeding with this work. (Safety Office)
- 2. Coordinate with park accessibility coordinator, Larry Harris (379-1035) to ensure ADA compliant path of travel from parking area to viewing area. (Project Management)
- 3. Prepare a Historic Preservation Report to document project work sample hand made or unique materials where replaced. (Environmental Planning and Compliance)


This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.

//GWColliver//	3/6/06
Compliance Specialist	Date
//Mark A Butler//	3/8/06
Compliance Program Manager	Date
//Bill Delaney//	3/13/06
Chief, Project Management	Date


Attachment A



Attachment B







Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE XXX)

(Version: FEB06-2)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-030 PEPC Project Number: 14593

A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

Title: El Portal Rail Exhibit Caboose Preservation '06 **NPS Project Manager:** Facilities Management, Rod Kennec

Partner Project Manager: None

Project Location and Area of Potential Effect:

Mariposa County, California. El Portal, Yosemite National Park

Old El Portal Historic Cultural Landscape

Project Description: This project will focus on restoring missing or deteriorated features of the caboose and bring these features up to maintainable state. A conservation condition survey prepared by the California State Railroad Museum will provide oversight and guide the work by the National Park Service, Yosemite Historic Preservation Team. The scope of this project will include:

- Install new roofing system as recommended by CSR Museum.
- Remove and replace "in-kind" all deteriorated exterior siding and wood elements.
- Reconstruct both fore and aft platforms including priming, painting, and replacing hardware.
- Restore both entry doors.
- Restore brake hardware.
- Restore windows and window hardware.
- Repair attached boxes under right side of the caboose.
- Repaint entire exterior and re-letter with historic nomenclature.

1. Attached Sensitive Information**	Yes	No	Explanation/Source/Notes
a. Maps		\boxtimes	
b. Drawings		\boxtimes	
c. Site Plans		\boxtimes	
d. Photographs		\boxtimes	
e. Sample		\boxtimes	
f. List of Materials		\boxtimes	
g. Other (Explain)		\boxtimes	

^{**} Sensitive documents not for duplication or distribution beyond park management, subject matter experts, and the project statutory compliance file.

B. DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Has the Area of Potential Effect been				El Portal Rail Exhibit, Outdoor
	surveyed to identify historic properties?	\boxtimes	П		Transportation Museum
	If Yes, provide reference for the Survey (s).				Old El Portal CLI
	a. Would the proposed action affect a				
	known historic property?	\boxtimes	Ш	Ш	Y.V.RR Caboose #15
	1 1				
2.	List all Historic Properties in the Area of	Affec	ted?		
	Potential Effect:	Yes	No		Explanation/Notes
	a. Y.V. R.R. Caboose #15			Resto	pration
	b. CA-MRP-1038H	$\vec{\Box}$	\boxtimes		round disturbance
		Ħ	Ħ	- 12 6-	
3.	List resources in the Area of Potential	Affec	ted?		
•	Effect to which American Indians attach				Explanation/Notes
	cultural and religious significance:	Yes	No		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	a. TCP	\Box	\boxtimes		
	b.	Ħ			
	c.	Ħ	Ħ		
	·				
4.	The proposed	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Note
	action will:	2 05	2.0	- 1/1-	
	Destroy, remove, or alter features or				
	elements from a historic structure	Ш	\boxtimes	Ш	
	• Replace historic features/elements in kind		П		
	Add nonhistoric features/elements to a				
	historic structure	Ш	\boxtimes	Ш	
	• Alter or remove features/elements of a				
	historic setting or environment (including		\boxtimes		
	terrain)				
	 Add nonhistoric features/elements 				
	(including visual, audible, or atmospheric)		\boxtimes		
	to a historic setting or cultural landscape				
	• Disturb, destroy, or make archeological				
	resources inaccessible, or alter associated		\boxtimes		
	terrain				
	• Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic	_	_		
	resources inaccessible, or alter associated		\boxtimes	Ш	
	terrain				
	• Begin or contribute to the deterioration of				
	historic fabric, terrain, setting, landscape		\boxtimes		
	elements, or archeological or ethnographic	_		_	
	resources				
	• Involve a real property transaction				
	affecting historic cultural properties (i.e.,		\boxtimes		
	the exchange, sale, or lease of land or	_			
	structures)				
	Potentially affect presently unidentified				
	historic resources				
	• Other	Ш	\boxtimes		

Date: 2/8/06

Checklist prepared by: Jeannette Simons

Title: Historic Preservation Officer

C. SPECIALIST SECTION

Specialists: Your comments here (or attached) show that you have reviewed this proposal for conformity with requirements of *National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106*; with the 1995 *Servicewide Programmatic Agreement* (if applicable); with applicable parts of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*; with the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*; and have given your best professional advice about this project and the issues relevant to the Section 106 process, including identification and evaluation of historic properties and further consultation needs.

Archeologist Comments:	Name: Laura Kirn	Date: 2/8/06
Ground Disturbance Involved	Yes: No: X	
Assessment of Effect: "No Effect"		
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Archeologist: //signe	d original on file//	
	N. C. M.	ъ.
Cultural Anthropologist	Name: Sonny Montague	Date:
Comments:		
Assessment of Effect:		
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Cultural Anthropologis	st: <u>//signed original on file//</u>	
Curator	Name: Jonathan Bayless	Date: 2/14/06
Comments: Document project work museum to preserve.	; if hand-made or unique materials replaced, sample a	nd send to
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse	e Effect''	
Recommended Conditions: Any arc inclusion in YOSE muesum collections	hitectural samples shall remain NPS property and be on.	considered for
Signature of Curator: //signed orig	inal on file//_	

Historian Comments:	Name: Charles Palmer	Date: 2/08/06
Assessment of Effect: "No Adver Recommended Conditions:	se Effect''	
Signature of Historian: //signed o	original on file//	
Historic Architect Comments:	Name: Sueanne Brown	Date: 2/8/06
Assessment of Effect: "No Adver	se Effect''	
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Historic Architect: //	//signed original on file//	
Historic Landscape Architect Comments:	Name: Steven Torgerson	Date: 2/8/06
Assessment of Effect: "No Adver	se Effect''	
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Historic Landscape A	architect: //signed original on file//	

Preservation Specialist Name: Doug Martin
Comments: Antoher part of the transportation interpretation in El Portal.

Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Effect"
Recommended Conditions: Recommended Conditions

Signature of Preservation Specialist: //signed original on file//

Native American Liaison Name: Jeannette Simons
Comments:

Assessment of Effect: "No Effect"
Recommended Conditions:

Signature of Native American Liaison: //signed original on file//

D. RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE DIVISION AND PARK 106 COORDINATOR REVIEWS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Review by specialists: The appropriate subject-matter experts have reviewed the project and entered their comments and recommendations in Section C, above.

тc	e foregoing assessment is adequate: the proposed action is consistent with all applicable NPS nagement policies, standards, guidelines, or US DOI standards and guidelines, Rehabilitation of storic Buildings, or others, and incorporates measures to avoid Adverse Effects.
Re	viewed and Accepted by:
Sią	chature: //Niki Stephanie Nicholas// Date: 2/15/06 Chief of Resources Management & Science Division
2.	Assessment of Effects: No Adverse Effect
3. Compliance Requirements: The following is the park's assessment of Section 106 process needs and requirements for this undertaking.	
	☐ Standard 36 CFR Part 800 Consultation
	Consultation under 36 CFR is needed subsequent to the preparation of this form and its review by appropriate historic resource management advisors.
	☐ Undertaking related to the 1995 NPS Programmatic Agreement
	The above action meets all conditions for a programmatic exclusion under Stipulation IV. A of the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement, and is listed in Stipulation IV. B, as:
	1. Preservation Maintenance (housekeeping, routine and cyclic maintenance, and stabilization as defined in NPS-28.)
	☐ Plan-Related Undertaking
	Consultation and review of the proposed undertaking were completed in the context of a plan review process, in accordance with the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement and 36 CFR Part 800.
	☐ Undertaking Related to Another Agreement
	The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under a document such as a statewide agreement written in accordance with 37 CFR Part 800.7 or counterpart regulations.
	Agreement: <enter agreement="" information=""></enter>
	☐ Flood-Recovery Related Undertaking
	The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the letter-based agreement between the NPS, the State Historic Preservation Office, and the Council for Historic Preservation for "Highwater 97" flood repair and recovery
	☐ Undertaking Related to the 1999 Yosemite Programmatic Agreement
	The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the park's 1999 programmatic agreement for planning, design, construction, operations and maintenance; the undertaking meets the stipulations identified in Article VII.C.2.

4. Project Stipulations and Conditions

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effects above is consistent with 36 CFR 800 criteria of effect or to mitigate potential adverse effects:

a. Document project work with Historic Preservation Report; if handmade or unique materials are replaced, sample and sent to museum to preserve.

Recommended by Park Section 106 Coordinator:				
Name:	Jeannette Simons			
Title:	Historic Preservation Officer			
Signature:	<u>//Jeannette Simons//</u> Date: <u>3/2/06</u>			
E. SUPER	INTENDENT'S APPROVAL			
	ed work conforms to NPS Management Policies and NPS-28 and I approve the ations, stipulations, and conditions noted in Section B of this form.			
Signature o	of Superintendent: //MJ Tollefson//			
	Date: 3/17/06			