### **Categorical Exclusion**

(Version: FEB06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-032 PEPC Project Number: 14626

### **A. PROJECT INFORMATION**

Title: Turtleback Dome Air Quality Structure ReplacementLocation: Mariposa County, CaliforniaYosemite ValleyNPS Project Manager: Resources ManagementScience, Katy Warner

### **B.** COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C(8) – Replacement in kind of minor structures and facilities with little or no change in location, capacity, or appearance-for example, comfort stations, pit toilets, fences, kiosks, signs, and campfire circles.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be minor or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under **Project Mitigations and Conditions** in **Section I** at the end of the attached *Environmental Screening Form*.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked):

Cultural Resource Effects Assessment Form (XXX)

Wilderness Minimum Requirement Analysis

Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Determination

Park Management Terms and Conditions

Other:

### C. DECISION

On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances or conditions in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in DO12, Section 3.4.

//MJ Tollefson//

Michael J. Tollefson

3/8/06

Date

Original: Statutory Compliance File cc: Project Proponent The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Attachments (1)



# **United States Department of the Interior**

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

IN REPLY REFER TO: L7617 (YOSE)

Memorandum

To: Katy Warner, Project Manager, Resources Management Science

From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2006-032 Turtleback Dome Air Quality Structure Replacement

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C(8) – Replacement in kind of minor structures and facilities with little or no change in location, capacity, or appearance-for example, comfort stations, pit toilets, fences, kiosks, signs, and campfire circles.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to the conditions stipulated in the enclosed *Categorical Exclusion Form* and associated documents when implementing this project.

//*MJ Tollefson*// Michael J. Tollefson 3/8/06 Date

Enclosure (with attachments)

cc: Statutory Compliance File

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

# Environmental Screening Form

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-032 PEPC Project Number: 14626

### A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Turtleback Dome Air Quality Structure Replacement Location: Mariposa County, California **Yosemite Valley** NPS Project Manager: Katy Warner, Resources Management Science

### **B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND**

This project proposal is for the replacement of the IMPROVE (Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments) aerosol sampler located on Turtleback Dome. The current structure, which is deteriorating and inadequate, will be replaced with a similar style that will hold up to the elements and better protect the equipment and the site operator. This is an open sided structure (dimensions are 8'Lx4'Wx7'H) with an A-frame roof. The south side will hold the five module boxes and have a plywood backing for wind protection. The entire structure will be painted a brown color to blend in and not reflect light. The pumps, which are currently housed in a separate metal structure, will be moved to a quieter, more insulated housing underneath the modules. The new structure will no longer require the use of guy lines, reducing the footprint. No additional power lines will be required, as the location will not be changed. The structure will be anchored with one metal sleeve for each of the four legs. Each of the sleeves will be bolted into the granite with four bolts. Each bolt will require an eight inch hole to be drilled, and will be sealed with epoxy.

#### **Table B1 – Background Information**

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list attendees. If no, explain.		$\square$		
2a.	Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR				
2b.	Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.		$\square$		
2c.	Is the project consistent with that plan?			$\boxtimes$	
2d.	Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current?			$\boxtimes$	
3a.	Are there any interested or affected parties?		$\boxtimes$		
3b.	Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them?				
4a.	Are there any affected agencies or tribes?		$\boxtimes$		
4b.	Has consultation been completed?				

#### Table B2 – Environmental Screening Form Attachments (provide Attachment letter—A, B, etc.)

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Maps: 2 required (vicinity map & site map)	$\boxtimes$			Topography Map, Attachment A
2.	Drawings (e.g., design, construction)		$\boxtimes$		
3.	Site Plans		$\boxtimes$		
4.	Photographs	$\square$			Current and proposed structure, see Attachment B.
5.	Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain)			$\boxtimes$	
6.	Other (Explain)		$\square$		

### C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

Are any impacts possible on the following resources?		Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
105					There will be 4 anchor bolts, per leg, drilled 8
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc	$\bowtie$			inches into granite. This is not a Section 106 action.
2.	From geohazards		$\boxtimes$		
3.	Air quality		$\boxtimes$		
4.	Soundscapes		$\boxtimes$		
5.	Water quality or quantity		$\boxtimes$		
6.	Stream flow characteristics		$\boxtimes$		
7.	Marine or estuarine resources			$\boxtimes$	
8.	Floodplains or wetlands		$\boxtimes$		
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use		$\boxtimes$		
	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine		$\square$		
	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat		$\square$		
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites		$\square$		Yosemite is a World Heritage Site; this is not a Section 106 action.
13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		$\boxtimes$		
	Unique or important fish or fish habitat		$\boxtimes$		
15.	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)		$\bowtie$		
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.		$\square$		
17.	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources		$\boxtimes$		
18.	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources		$\boxtimes$		
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure		$\boxtimes$		
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.		$\boxtimes$		
21.	Energy resources		$\boxtimes$		
22.	Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies		$\boxtimes$		
23.	Resource, including energy, conservation potential		$\boxtimes$		
24.	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.		$\boxtimes$		
25.	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity		$\boxtimes$		
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?		$\boxtimes$		
	nments, Mitigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				

### **D. MANDATORY CRITERIA**

	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
	Have material adverse effects on public health or safety? Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as		$\boxtimes$		Mitigated; see condition 1 below.
2.	historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?				
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects?		$\boxtimes$		
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?		$\boxtimes$		
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		$\square$		
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?				
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?		$\boxtimes$		
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?				
9.	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?				
10	Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?		$\boxtimes$		
11	Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?		$\boxtimes$		
12	Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?		$\square$		
13	Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?		$\boxtimes$		
14	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?				
15	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?				
16	Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?				
17	Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?		$\boxtimes$		
18	Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?		$\boxtimes$		
19	Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?		$\boxtimes$		

1. Submit an Accident Prevention Plan to the park Safety Office (379-1079) for review and approval before proceeding with this work. (Safety Office)

### E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST

Within the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1. Listed or proposed threatened or endangered species (Federal or State)?		$\boxtimes$				
2. Species of special concern (Federal or State)?		$\boxtimes$				
3. Park rare plants or vegetation?		$\boxtimes$				
4. Potential habitat for any special-status species listed above?		$\square$				
If "yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-Status Species Checklist must be completed and attached.						
<b>Comments, Mitigations and Conditions:</b>						

### F. NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT CHECKLIST

Wi	thin the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Will there be ground disturbance?	$\boxtimes$			Anchor bolts drilled into the granite. This is not a Section 106 Action.
2.	Are there any archeological sites?		$\boxtimes$		
3.	Are there any Native American Indian traditional cultural resources?		$\square$		
4.	Is the project within the boundary of an archeological or historic landscape or district?		$\square$		
5a.	Is there a National Historic Landmark?		$\boxtimes$		
5b.	Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of</i> <i>Classified Structures</i> ?			$\square$	
5c.	Is there a historic property with a DOE and concurrence by the SHPO or a completed National Register form?			$\boxtimes$	
5d.	Is there a cultural property requiring review under NHPA, Section 106?			$\boxtimes$	
6.	Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above?			$\boxtimes$	
If "	yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment of	of Effe	cts fo	rm (Y	OSE-XXX) must be completed and attached.
Mi	tigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				

### G. WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST

Is the proposed project:		No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1. Within designated Wilderness?		$\boxtimes$				
2. Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?		$\boxtimes$				
If "yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness Minimum Requirements Analysis must be completed and attached.						
Mitigations and Conditions:						
1. None						

### H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Does the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1. Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? If 'yes", name the river(s)		$\square$				
2. Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?			$\square$			
3. Potentially affect water quality of the area?			$\boxtimes$			
4. Remain consistent with its river segment classification?			$\square$			
5. Protect and enhance river ORVs?			$\boxtimes$			
6a. Fall within the River Protection Overlay?			$\boxtimes$			
6b. If "yes", is it consistent with conditions of the River Protection Overlay?			$\boxtimes$			
7. Remain consistent with the areas Management Zoning?			$\square$			
8a. Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?		$\square$				
8b. If 9a is "yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?			$\square$			
8c. If 9a is "yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?						
If "yes" to questions 2, 9b, or 9c, then a WSRA Section 7 determination must be completed and attached.						
Mitigations and Conditions:						
_1.						

### I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

### **Applicable Categorical Exclusion:**

DO12 3.4 C(8) – Replacement in kind of minor structures and facilities with little or no change in location, capacity, or appearance-for example, comfort stations, pit toilets, fences, kiosks, signs, and campfire circles.


#### **Project Mitigations and Conditions:**

**1.** Submit an Accident Prevention Plan to the park Safety Office (379-1079) for review and approval before proceeding with this work. (Safety Office)

	Chief, Project Management	Date
with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.	//Bill Delaney//	3/6/06
necessary compliance coordination has been completed	Compliance Program Manager	Date
project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the	//Mark A Butler//	3/2/06
This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the	Compliance Specialist	Date
	//GWColliver//	3/1/06

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

### **Attachment A**



Map 1 Vicinity Map - Turtleback Dome

## Attachment B



Photo 1 Existing IMPROVE Monitoring Station

