## **Categorical Exclusion**

(Version: FEB06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2006-037**PEPC Project Number: **14775** 

### A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Curry Village, Increase Tent Size and Install Path of Travel to 11 Tents to Meet ADA Standards

Location: Yosemite Valley, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Maurice West, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

Project Manager: Gerald Ernest, DNC Parks Resorts at Yosemite

### B. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C(8) - Replacement in kind of minor structures and facilities with little or no change in location, capacity, or appearance-for example, comfort stations, pit toilets, fences, kiosks, signs, and campfire circles.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be minor or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under **Project Mitigations and Conditions** in **Section I** at the end of the attached *Environmental Screening Form*.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked):

\[
\sum\_{Cultural Resource Effects Assessment Form (XXX)}
\]
\[
\sum\_{Wilderness Minimum Requirement Analysis}
\]
\[
\sum\_{Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Determination}
\]
\[
\sum\_{Park Management Terms and Conditions}
\]
\[
\sum\_{Other:}
\]

### C. DECISION


On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances or conditions in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in DO12, Section 3.4.

_//MJ Tollefson//	3/29/06	
Michael J. Tollefson	Date	

Original: Statutory Compliance File cc: Project Proponent

Attachments (2)

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.



## **United States Department of the Interior**

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577

Yosemite, California 95389

### Memorandum

//M.I.Tollefson//

To: Maurice West, Project Manager, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

Gerald Ernest, Project Manager, DNC Parks Resorts at Yosemite

From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2006-037 Curry Village, Increase Tent Size and Install Path of

Travel to 11 Tents to Meet ADA Standards

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C (8) - Replacement in kind of minor structures and facilities with little or no change in location, capacity, or appearance-for example, comfort stations, pit toilets, fences, kiosks, signs, and campfire circles.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to the conditions stipulated in the enclosed Categorical Exclusion Form and associated documents when implementing this project.

7,71,10 10110   50.11,7	E1 = 21 0 0
Michael J. Tollefson	Date
Enclosure (with attachments)	The signed original of this document is on file at
cc: Statutory Compliance File	the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

3/29/06

# Environmental Screening Form (Version: FEB06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-037 PEPC Project Number: 14775

### A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Curry Village, Increase Tent Size and Install Path of Travel to 11 Tents to Meet ADA Standards

Location: Yosemite Valley, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Maurice West, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

Project Manager: Gerald Ernest, DNC Parks Resorts at Yosemite

### B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

This project has two phases to insure compliance with ADA standards:

Phase I: Rebuild 11 selected tents to increase their current size from 10' by 12' to a 12' by 14' tent for ADA visitor access (Curry Village tent area nearest registration desk. Affected tents are #6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13, 15, 19, 21, 22, and 23). Tents are installed on concrete piers.

Phase II: Provide asphalt path of travel to 5 of the rebuilt ADA tents (10, 11, 13, 15, 19) which meets ADA standards. Work includes scraping and grading soil as needed to meet required path specifications.

Table R1 - Rackground Information

6. Other (Explain)

I u	bic bi background information				
		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list attendees. If no, explain.		$\boxtimes$		Familiar with site; good photos.
2a.	Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR				
2b.	Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.		$\boxtimes$		
2c.	Is the project consistent with that plan?			$\boxtimes$	
2d.	Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current?			$\boxtimes$	
3a.	Are there any interested or affected parties?		$\boxtimes$		
3b.	Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them?				
4a.	Are there any affected agencies or tribes?		$\boxtimes$		
4b.	Has consultation been completed?				
<u>Ta</u>	ble B2 – Environmental Screening Forn	n Atta			
		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Maps: 2 required (vicinity map & site map)	$\boxtimes$			Site and vicinity map, see Attachment A.
2.	Drawings (e.g., design, construction)	$\boxtimes$			ADA tent configuration, see Attachment B.
3.	Site Plans				
4.	Photographs	$\boxtimes$			Affected tents and pathways, see Attachment C
5.	Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain)		$\boxtimes$		

**Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-037** 

## C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

	e any impacts possible on the following ources?	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc	$\boxtimes$			Negligible; removal of 3" of soil, 50' long and 5' or 6' wide, to install asphalt.
2.	From geohazards		$\boxtimes$		
3.	Air quality	$\boxtimes$			Negligible; temporary during construction.
4.	Soundscapes	$\boxtimes$			Negligible; temporary during construction.
5.	Water quality or quantity		$\boxtimes$		
6.	Stream or surface water flow characteristics	$\boxtimes$			Negligible; laying of impermeable asphalt could change the flow of surface water.
7.	Marine or estuarine resources			$\boxtimes$	
8.	Floodplains or wetlands		$\boxtimes$		
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use		$\boxtimes$		
10.	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine		$\boxtimes$		
11.	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat		$\boxtimes$		
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites				Yosemite is a World Heritage Site; assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see attached XXX.
13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		$\boxtimes$		
14.	Unique or important fish or fish habitat		$\boxtimes$		
15.	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)	$\boxtimes$			See condition 1 below.
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.		$\boxtimes$		
17.	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources	$\boxtimes$			Visitor experience will be enhanced by the availability of ADA compliant tents.
	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources		$\boxtimes$		
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure		$\boxtimes$		
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.		$\boxtimes$		
21.	Energy resources		$\boxtimes$		
22.	Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies		$\boxtimes$		
23.	Resource, including energy, conservation potential		$\boxtimes$		
24.	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.		$\boxtimes$		
25.	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity		$\boxtimes$		
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?				
Cor	nments, Mitigations and Conditions:				
1.	Ensure that all equipment and materials brought in noxious weeds. All staff working on site shall be introduction and arread of non-notive, investigation	nforme	d of ar	nd follo	w best management practices for preventing the

introduction and spread of non-native, invasive species as described in Division 1 specifications, Section 1355. (Environmental Planning & Compliance Office)

## Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-037

### **D. MANDATORY CRITERIA**

If	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	1		$\boxtimes$		Mitigated; see condition 1 below.
2.	Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?				Camp Curry Historic District; Yosemite Valley Historic District; Yosemite Valley Archeological District; assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect," see attached XXX.
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects?		$\boxtimes$		
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?				
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		$\boxtimes$		
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?				
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?				Camp Curry Historic District; Yosemite Valley Historic District; Yosemite Valley Archeological District; assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect," see attached XXX.
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?				
9.	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?		$\boxtimes$		
10.	Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?		$\boxtimes$		
11.	Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?		$\boxtimes$		
12.	Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?				
13.	Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?		$\boxtimes$		
14.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?				Mitigated; see condition 2 below.
15.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?				Mitigated; see condition 2 below.
16.	Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?				
17.	Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?				
18.	Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?		$\boxtimes$		
19.	Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?				
Co	omments, Mitigations and Conditions:	c	ce: //	250 105	70) 6

- 1. Submit an Accident Prevention Plan to the park Safety Office (379-1079) for review and approval before proceeding with this work. (Safety Office)
- 2. Ensure that all equipment and materials brought into the park are free of non-native, invasive plants and animals, and noxious weeds. All staff working on site shall be informed of and follow best management practices for preventing the

Compliance	<b>Tracking</b>	Number:	2006-037	
			4 of 6	

### **D. MANDATORY CRITERIA**

If implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
introduction and spread of non-native, invasive spe	ecies as	s descr	ribed in	Division 1 specifications, Section 1355.
(Environmental Planning & Compliance Office)				

### E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST

XX75	thin the ones of metantial effect one thouse	<b>1</b> 7	Ma	TAT/A	Data Nacdad to Datamaina/Natas			
	thin the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes			
1.	Listed or proposed threatened or endangered species (Federal or State)?		$\boxtimes$					
2.	Species of special concern (Federal or State)?							
3.	Park rare plants or vegetation?		$\boxtimes$					
4.	Potential habitat for any special-status species listed above?							
If '	'yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-S	Status !	Specie	es Che	cklist must be completed and attached.			
Co	Comments, Mitigations and Conditions:							
1.	None							

### F. NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT CHECKLIST

Wi	thin the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Will there be ground disturbance?	$\boxtimes$			Assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see attached XXX.
2.	Are there any archeological sites?		$\boxtimes$		
3.	Are there any Native American Indian traditional cultural resources?		$\boxtimes$		
4.	Is the project within the boundary of an archeological or historic landscape or district?				Camp Curry Historic District; Yosemite Valley Historic District; Yosemite Valley Archeological District; assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see attached XXX.
5a.	Is there a National Historic Landmark?		$\boxtimes$		
5b.	Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of Classified Structures</i> ?		$\boxtimes$		
5c.	Is there a historic property with a DOE and concurrence by the SHPO or a completed National Register form?				
5d.	Is there a cultural property requiring review under NHPA, Section 106?		$\boxtimes$		
6.	Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above?	$\boxtimes$			Path of travel is a contributing element to the Camp Curry Historic District and Yosemite Valley Historic District, assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see attached XXX.
If "	yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment	of Effe	ects fo	rm (Y	OSE-XXX) must be completed and attached.
Mi	tigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				

### G. WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST

Is the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes	
1. Within designated Wilderness?		$\boxtimes$			
2. Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?		$\boxtimes$			
If "yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness Minimum Requirements Analysis must be completed and attached.					
Mitigations and Conditions:					
1. None					

## H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Do	es the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? If 'yes', name the river(s)	$\boxtimes$			Merced River
2.	Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?		$\boxtimes$		
3.	Potentially affect water quality of the area?		$\boxtimes$		
4.	Remain consistent with its river segment classification?	$\boxtimes$			Installing paths of travel and increasing tent size to meet ADA standards are consistent with its classification of "Recreational."
5.	Protect and enhance river ORVs?				Installing paths of travel and increasing tent size to meet ADA standards will protect and enhance river ORVs.
6a.	Fall within the River Protection Overlay?		$\boxtimes$		
6b.	If "yes", is it consistent with conditions of the River Protection Overlay?			$\boxtimes$	
7.	Remain consistent with the areas Management Zoning?				Installing paths of travel and increasing tent size to meet ADA standards is consistent with its management zone of 3B - Visitor Base and Lodging.
8a.	Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?		$\boxtimes$		
8b.	If 9a is "yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?				
8c.	If 9a is "yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?			$\boxtimes$	
If "	yes" to questions 2, 9b, or 9c, then a WSRA Se	ection	7 dete	ermina	tion must be completed and attached.
Mi	tigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-037

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-037

### I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

### **Applicable Categorical Exclusion:**

Notwithstanding the small increase in size of eleven tents, the impacts of this project would be essentially the same as DO12 3.4 C (8) - Replacement in kind of minor structures and facilities with little or no change in location, capacity, or appearance-for example, comfort stations, pit toilets, fences, kiosks, signs, and campfire circles.

### **Project Mitigations and Conditions:**


- 1. Submit an Accident Prevention Plan to the park Safety Office (379-1079) for review and approval before proceeding with this work. (Safety Office)
- 2. Ensure that all equipment and materials brought into the park are free of non-native, invasive plants and animals, and noxious weeds. All staff working on site shall be informed of and follow best management practices for preventing the introduction and spread of non-native, invasive species as described in Division 1 specifications, Section 1355. (Environmental Planning & Compliance Office)


This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.

//GWColliver//	3/22/06
Compliance Specialist	Date
//Mark A Butler//	3/23/06
Compliance Program Manager	Date
//Bill Delaney//	3/28/06
Chief, Project Management	Date


The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.


## Attachment A








## **Attachment B**













CV Tents – #19 & #21 for Rebuild



### **Attachment C**



### **Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE XXX)**

(Version: FEB06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-037
PEPC Project Number: 14775

### A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

Title: Curry Village-Install Path of Travel to 11 Tents to meet ADA Standards

NPS Project Manager: Project Management, Maurice West

**Partner Project Manager:** DNC, Gerald Ernest **Project Location and Area of Potential Effect:** 

Mariposa County, California. Curry Village, Yosemite National Park

Curry Village Tent Cabin

**Project Description:** This project has two phases to insure compliance with ADA standards:

Phase I: Rebuild 11 selected tents to increase their current size to a 12ft by 14ft tent for ADA visitor access (Curry Village tent area nearest registration desk. Effected tents are #6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13, 15, 19, 21, 22, 23). Tents are installed on concrete piers.

Phase II: Provide asphalt path of travel to 5 of the rebuilt ADA tents (10, 11, 13, 15, 19) which meets ADA standards. Work includes scraping and grading soil as needed to meet required path specifications.

1. Attached Sensitive Information**		Yes	No		Explanation/Source/Notes
a.	Maps	$\boxtimes$		CR GIS	
b.	Drawings		$\boxtimes$		
c.	Site Plans		$\boxtimes$		
d.	Photographs		$\boxtimes$		
e.	Sample		$\boxtimes$		
f.	List of Materials		$\boxtimes$		
g.	Other (Explain)		$\boxtimes$		

<sup>\*\*</sup> Sensitive documents not for duplication or distribution beyond park management, subject matter experts, and the project statutory compliance file.

## **B. DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS**

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes	
1.	Has the Area of Potential Effect been				Camp Curry Historic District	
	surveyed to identify historic properties?			П	Yosemite Valley Historic District	
	If Yes, provide reference for the Survey (s).	_	_	_	Yosemite Valley Archaeological District	
	a. Would the proposed action affect a	$\square$				
	known historic property?		Ш	Ш	Circulation and path of travel.	
2.	List all Historic Properties in the Area of	Affec	ted?			
	Potential Effect:	Yes	No		Explanation/Notes	
				Path	of travel is a contributing element to the CC	
	a. Tent Cabins and path	$\boxtimes$	Ш		and YVHD	
	b.					
	c.	$\Box$	同			
			_			
3.	List resources in the Area of Potential	Affected?				
	Effect to which American Indians attach			Explanation/Notes		
	cultural and religious significance:	Yes	No		•	
	a.		$\boxtimes$			
	b.		$\boxtimes$			
	c.		$\boxtimes$			
4.	The proposed action will:	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Note	
	Destroy, remove, or alter features or				<u>*</u>	
	elements from a historic structure		$\boxtimes$	Ш		
	• Replace historic features/elements in kind		$\boxtimes$			
	<ul> <li>Add nonhistoric features/elements to a</li> </ul>		$\boxtimes$			
	historic structure	Ш		Ш		
	• Alter or remove features/elements of a					
	historic setting or environment (including	$\boxtimes$	Ш		path of travel	
	terrain)					
	Add nonhistoric features/elements					
	(including visual, audible, or atmospheric)	$\boxtimes$	Ш		path of travel	
	to a historic setting or cultural landscape					
	Disturb, destroy, or make archeological					
	resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain			Ш		
	Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic					
	resources inaccessible, or alter associated		$\boxtimes$			
	terrain	ш		ш		
	Begin or contribute to the deterioration of					
	historic fabric, terrain, setting, landscape					
	elements, or archeological or	Ш	$\boxtimes$	Ш		
	ethnographic resources					
	• Involve a real property transaction					
	affecting historic cultural properties (i.e.,					
	the exchange, sale, or lease of land or	Ш	$\boxtimes$			
	structures)					
	<ul> <li>Potentially affect presently unidentified</li> </ul>		$\boxtimes$			
	historic resources					
	• Other		$\boxtimes$			

3 of 8

5. Describe any measures that are incorporated as part of this project that will be taken to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of prehistoric or historic fabric, setting, integrity, or data:

Checklist prepared by: Jeannette Simons Date: 4/5/06

**Title:** Historic Preservation Officer

### C. SPECIALIST SECTION

**Specialists**: Your comments here (or attached) show that you have reviewed this proposal for conformity with requirements of *National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106*; with the 1995 *Servicewide Programmatic Agreement* (if applicable); with applicable parts of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*; with the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*; and have given your best professional advice about this project and the issues relevant to the Section 106 process, including identification and evaluation of historic properties and further consultation needs.

Archeologist Comments:	Name: Laura Kirn	Date: 3/8/06
Ground Disturbance Involved Assessment of Effect: "No Effect" Recommended Conditions:	Yes: No:	
Signature of Archeologist://signa	ed original on file//	
Cultural Anthropologist Comments:	Name: Sonny Montague	Date:
Assessment of Effect:		
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Cultural Anthropologic	st:	
Curator Comments:	Name: Jonathan Bayless	Date: 3/8/06
Assessment of Effect: "No Effect"		
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Curator: //signed orig	inal on file//_	

<b>Historian</b> Comments:	Name: Charles Palmer	Date: 3/8/06
Assessment of Effect: "No Advers Recommended Conditions:	ee Effect''	
Signature of Historian: //signed on	riginal on file//	
Historic Architect	Name: Sueann Brown	Date: 3/8/06
Comments:		
Assessment of Effect: "No Advers	se Effect''	
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Historic Architect://	signed original on file//	
<b>Historic Landscape Architect</b> Comments:	Name: Steven Torgerson	Date: 3/8/06
Assessment of Effect: No adverse e	effect"No Adverse Effect"	
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Historic Landscape Ar	rchitect: //signed original on file//	

Preservation Specialist Name: Doug Martin
Comments: Good presentation. Made sense to me.

Assessment of Effect: "No Effect"
Recommended Conditions: Recommended Conditions

Signature of Preservation Specialist: //signed original on file//

Native American Liaison Name: Jeannette Simons
Comments:

Assessment of Effect: "No Effect"
Recommended Conditions:

Signature of Native American Liaison: //signed original on file//

### Compliance Tracking No. 2006-037 7 of 8

### D. RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE DIVISION AND PARK 106 COORDINATOR REVIEWS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Review by specialists: The appropriate subject-matter experts have reviewed the project and entered their comments and recommendations in Section C, above.

The foregoing assessment is adequate: the proposed action is consistent with all applicable NPS

	agement policies, standards, guidelines, or US DOI standards and guidelines, Rehabilitation of oric Buildings, or others, and incorporates measures to avoid Adverse Effects.	yf
Re	iewed and Accepted by:	
Sig	nature: //Niki Stephanie Nicholas// Date: 3/12/06	
	Chief of Resources Management & Science Division	
2.	Assessment of Effects: No Adverse Effect	
3.	<b>Compliance Requirements:</b> The following is the park's assessment of Section 106 process needs and requirements for this undertaking.	
	☐ Standard 36 CFR Part 800 Consultation	
	Consultation under 36 CFR is needed subsequent to the preparation of this form and its review by appropriate historic resource management advisors.	/
	☐ Undertaking related to the 1995 NPS Programmatic Agreement	
	The above action meets all conditions for a programmatic exclusion under Stipulation IV. A of the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement, and is listed in Stipulation IV. B, as:	e
	<choose of="" type="" undertaking=""></choose>	
	☐ Plan-Related Undertaking	
	Consultation and review of the proposed undertaking were completed in the context of a plan rev process, in accordance with the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement and 36 CFR Part 800.	iev
	☐ Undertaking Related to Another Agreement	
	The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under a document such as a statewide agreement written in accordance with 37 CFR Part 800.7 or counterpart regulations.	
	Agreement: <enter agreement="" information=""></enter>	
	☐ Flood-Recovery Related Undertaking	
	The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the letter-based agreement between the NPS, the State Historic Preservation Office, and the Council for Historic Preservation of "Highwater 97" flood repair and recovery	
	☐ Undertaking Related to the 1999 Yosemite Programmatic Agreement	
	The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the park's 1999 programma agreement for planning, design, construction, operations and maintenance; the undertaking meets the stipulations identified in Article VII.C.2.	

### 4. Project Stipulations and Conditions

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effects above is consistent with 36 CFR 800 criteria of effect or to mitigate potential adverse effects:

### a. None

Recommended by Park Section 106 Coordinator:				
Name:	Jeannette Simons			
Title:	Historic Preservation Officer			
Signature:	//Jeannette Simons// Date: 3/13/06			
The propos	INTENDENT'S APPROVAL  red work conforms to NPS Management Policies and NPS-28 and I approve the lations, stipulations, and conditions noted in Section B of this form.			
Signature	of Superintendent: //MJ Tollefson			
	<b>Date:</b> <u>3/29/06</u>			

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.