Categorical Exclusion

(Version: FEB06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-017
PEPC Project Number: 14452

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Yosemite Lodge, Curry Village and Degnan's, Install Door Openers to Meet ADA Standards

Location: Yosemite Valley, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Maurice West, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

Project Manager: Gerald Ernest, DNC Parks and Resorts at Yosemite

B. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 *C* (3) - Routine maintenance and repairs to non-historic structures, facilities, utilities, grounds, and trails.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be minor or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under **Project Mitigations and Conditions** in **Section I** at the end of the attached *Environmental Screening Form*.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked):

\[
\sum_{Cultural Resource Effects Assessment Form (XXX)}
\]

\triangle	Cultural Resource Effects Assessment Form (XXX)
	Wilderness Minimum Requirement Analysis
	Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Determination
	Park Management Terms and Conditions
	Other:

C. DECISION

On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances or conditions in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in DO12, Section 3.4.

//Signed Original on File//	_ 4/12/06
Michael J. Tollefson	Date

Original: Statutory Compliance File cc: Project Proponent

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Attachments (2)



United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

L7617 (YOSE-PM)

Memorandum

To: Maurice West, Project Manager, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

Gerald Ernest, Project Manager, DNC Parks and Resorts at Yosemite

From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2006-017 Yosemite Lodge, Curry Village and Degnan's, Install

Door Openers to Meet ADA Standards

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C (3) - Routine maintenance and repairs to non-historic structures, facilities, utilities, grounds, and trails.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to the conditions stipulated in the enclosed Categorical Exclusion Form and associated documents when implementing this project.

//Signed Original on File//	4/12/06
Michael J. Tollefson	Date
Enclosure (with attachments)	
cc: Statutory Compliance File	

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Environmental Screening Form (Version: FEB06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2006-017** PEPC Project Number: 14452

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Yosemite Lodge, Curry Village and Degnan's, Install Door Openers to Meet ADA Standards

Location: Yosemite Valley, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Maurice West, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

Project Manager: Gerald Ernest, DNC Parks Resorts at Yosemite

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

This project will involve the installation of assistive door opening devices on exterior doors to meet ADA standards requiring a maximum of 5 foot-pounds and 60" x 60" level and clearance to open. Specific doors needing assistive openers have been identified at various DNC locations at Yosemite Lodge, Curry Village and Degnan's Deli Structure.

Table B1 – Background Information

Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain)

6. Other (Explain)

	•	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes			
1.	Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list attendees. If no, explain.		\boxtimes		Familiar with sites.			
2a.	Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR							
2b.	Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.			\boxtimes				
2c.	Is the project consistent with that plan?			\boxtimes				
2d.	Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current?							
3a.	Are there any interested or affected parties?		\boxtimes					
3b.	Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them?							
4a.	Are there any affected agencies or tribes?		\boxtimes					
4b.	Has consultation been completed?							
Ta	Table B2 – Environmental Screening Form Attachments (provide Attachment letter—A, B, etc.)							
		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes			
1.	Maps: 2 required (vicinity map & site map)							
2.	Drawings (e.g., design, construction)		\boxtimes					
3.	Site Plans		\boxtimes					
4.	Photographs	\boxtimes			Proposed sites; Yosemite Lodge, Curry Village and Degnan's Deli, see			

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-017 2 of 6

C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

	Are any impacts possible on the following Yes No N/A Data Needed to Determine/Notes							
res	ources?				Data Needed to Determine, Notes			
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc							
2.	From geohazards							
3.	Air quality	ᆜ						
4.	Soundscapes	\boxtimes			Negligible; temporary during construction.			
5.	Water quality or quantity		\boxtimes					
6.	Stream flow characteristics		\boxtimes					
7.	Marine or estuarine resources			\boxtimes				
8.	Floodplains or wetlands		\boxtimes					
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use							
	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine		\boxtimes					
11.	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat		\boxtimes					
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites	\boxtimes			Yosemite is a World Heritage Site; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see the attached XXX.			
13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		\boxtimes					
14.	Unique or important fish or fish habitat		\boxtimes					
15.	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)		\boxtimes					
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.		\boxtimes					
17.	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources	\boxtimes			Visitor experience will be enhanced with the installation of door openers to meet ADA standards.			
18.	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources		\boxtimes					
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure		\boxtimes					
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.		\boxtimes					
21.	Energy resources		\boxtimes					
22.	Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies		\boxtimes					
23.	Resource, including energy, conservation potential		\boxtimes					
24.	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.		\boxtimes					
25.	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity		\boxtimes					
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?							
Cor	nments, Mitigations and Conditions:							
1.	None							

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-017

D. MANDATORY CRITERIA

If	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
	Have material adverse effects on public health or safety?		\boxtimes		Mitigated; see condition 1 below.
2.	Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?				Mitigated; Yosemite Valley Historic District; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see condition 2 below and the attached XXX.
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?		\boxtimes		
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?				
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?		\boxtimes		Mitigated; Yosemite Valley Historic District; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see the attached XXX.
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?				
9.	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?		\boxtimes		
10.	Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?				
11.	Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?				
12.	Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?				
13.	Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?		\boxtimes		
14.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?				
15.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?				
16.	Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?				
17.	Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?		\boxtimes		
	Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?				
	Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?				
Co	omments, Mitigations and Conditions:				
1.	Submit an Accident Prevention Plan to the park Sa this work. (Safety Office)	tety O	trice (3	379-107	(9) for review and approval before proceeding with

- 2. See condition 1 under Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist.

Compliance	Tracking	Number:	2006-017
-	_		4 of 6

E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST

Within the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
Listed or proposed threatened or		\boxtimes		
endangered species (Federal or State)?	ш		ш	
2. Species of special concern (Federal or	П	\boxtimes	П	
State)?				
3. Park rare plants or vegetation?4. Potential habitat for any special-status			Ш	
species listed above?		\boxtimes		
If "yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-	Status	Speci	es Che	cklist must be completed and attached.
Comments, Mitigations and Conditions:				•
1. None				
F. NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVA	TION	AC'	Г СНІ	ECKLIST
Within the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1. Will there be ground disturbance?		\boxtimes		
2. Are there any archeological sites?		\boxtimes		
3. Are there any Native American Indian traditional cultural resources?		\boxtimes		
4. Is the project within the boundary of an				Yosemite Valley Historic District; the
archeological or historic landscape or district?				assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see condition 1 below and the attached XXX.
5a. Is there a National Historic Landmark?		\boxtimes		
5b. Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of Classified Structures</i> ?				
5c. Is there a historic property with a DOE and				
concurrence by the SHPO or a completed National Register form?	Ш	Ш		
5d. Is there a cultural property requiring review			\boxtimes	
under NHPA, Section 106?				Yosemite Valley Cultural Landscape; the
6. Would there be alteration of a structure or	\boxtimes	П	П	assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;"
cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above?				see condition 1 below and the attached XXX.
If "yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment	of Effe	ects fo	rm (Y	OSE-XXX) must be completed and attached.
Mitigations and Conditions:				
Ensure that final locations are reviewed by park (Resources Management and Sciences)	k Histor	ric La	ndscape	e Architect, Steve Torgerson (379-1295).
(
G. WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST	•		•	
Is the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
 Within designated Wilderness? Within a Potential Wilderness Addition? 	H			
2. Within a Potential Wilderness Addition? If "yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness M	inimum	Regi	uiremer	nts Analysis must be completed and attached
Mitigations and Conditions:	mmull	ricq	ancinci	ns rmarysis must be completed and attached.
1. None				

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-017 5 of 6

H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Do	es the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1.	Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? If 'yes', name the river(s)	\boxtimes			Merced River		
2.	Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?		\boxtimes				
3.	Potentially affect water quality of the area?		\boxtimes				
4.	Remain consistent with its river segment classification?	\boxtimes			Installation of door openers to meet ADA standards is consistent with its river segment classification of "Recreational."		
5.	Protect and enhance river ORVs?	\boxtimes			Installation of door openers to meet ADA standards will protect and enhance river ORVs.		
6a.	Fall within the River Protection Overlay?		\boxtimes				
6b.	If "yes", is it consistent with conditions of the River Protection Overlay?			\boxtimes			
7.	Remain consistent with the areas Management Zoning?	\boxtimes			Installation of door openers to meet ADA standards is consistent with the area's management zoning of "3B - Visitor Base and Lodging."		
8a.	Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?		\boxtimes				
8b.	If 9a is "yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?			\boxtimes			
8c.	If 9a is "yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?			\boxtimes			
If "	If "yes" to questions 2, 9b, or 9c, then a WSRA Section 7 determination must be completed and attached.						
Mi	tigations and Conditions:						
1.	None						

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-017

I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

Applicable Categorical Exclusion:

Notwithstanding that the project would entail the installation of new hardware (assistive door opening devices) on exterior doors to meet ADA standards, the impacts would be similar to DO12 3.4 C(3) - Routine maintenance and repairs to non-historic structures, facilities, utilities, grounds, and trails.

Project Mitigations and Conditions:

- 1. Submit an Accident Prevention Plan to the park Safety Office (379-1079) for review and approval before proceeding with this work. (Safety Office)
- 2. Ensure that final locations are reviewed by park Historic Landscape Architect, Steve Torgerson (379-1295). (Resources Management and Sciences)

This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.

Gary Colliver //Signed Original on File// 4/3/06

Compliance Specialist Date

Mark A Butler //Signed Original on File// 4/3/06

Compliance Program Manager Date

Bill Delaney //Signed Original on File// 4/10/06

Chief, Project Management Date

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Attachment A



Curry Village Pavilion Main Entrance Doors – Exterior



Curry Village Pavilion Restroom Doors



Degnan's Deli Entrance Doors – Exterior



Degnan's Deli Entrance Doors – Interior




Degnan's Deli Men's Restroom Door



Yosemite Lodge Bar Doors – Exterior




Yosemite Lodge, Food Court Exit Doors – Interior



Yosemite Lodge Food Court Entrance Doors – Exterior



Yosemite Lodge Food Court Entrance Doors – Interior



Yosemite Lodge, Cliff Room Doors – Interior



Yosemite Lodge, Cliff Room Doors - Exterior

Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE XXX)

(Version: FEB06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-017
PEPC Project Number: 14452

A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

Title: Yosemite Lodge, Curry Village and Degnan's, Install Door Openers to Meet ADA Standards

NPS Project Manager: Project Management, Maurice West

Partner Project Manager: DNC, Gerald Ernest **Project Location and Area of Potential Effect:**

Mariposa County, California. Yosemite Valley, Yosemite National Park

Yosemite Lodge, Curry Village, Degnan's

Project Description: This project will involve the installation of assistive door opening devices on exterior doors to meet ADA standards requiring a maximum of 5 foot-pounds and 60"x 60" level and clearance to open. Specific doors needing assistive openers have been identified at various DNC locations at Yosemite Lodge, Curry Village and Degnan's Deli Structure.

1. Atta	nched Sensitive Information**	Yes	No	Explanation/Source/Notes
a.	Maps		\boxtimes	
b.	Drawings		\boxtimes	
c.	Site Plans		\boxtimes	
d.	Photographs		\boxtimes	
e.	Sample		\boxtimes	
f.	List of Materials		\boxtimes	
g.	Other (Explain)		\boxtimes	

^{**} Sensitive documents not for duplication or distribution beyond park management, subject matter experts, and the project statutory compliance file.

2 of 8

B. DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Has the Area of Potential Effect been surveyed to identify historic properties? If Yes, provide reference for the Survey (s).				Yosemite Valley Historic District
	a. Would the proposed action affect a known historic property?	\boxtimes			Impact the historic character and appearance of elements in the cultural landscape.
2.	List all Historic Properties in the Area of Potential Effect:	Affec Yes	ted?		Explanation/Notes
	a.		\boxtimes		of the structures are contributing elements of distoric District.
	b.		\boxtimes		
	c .		\boxtimes		
3.	List resources in the Area of Potential Effect to which American Indians attach	Affec			Explanation/Notes
	cultural and religious significance:	Yes	No		
	a.		\boxtimes		
	b.		\boxtimes		
	c.		\boxtimes		
4.	The proposed action will:	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Note
	• Destroy, remove, or alter features or elements from a historic structure		\boxtimes		
	• Replace historic features/elements in kind		\boxtimes		
	Add nonhistoric features/elements to a historic structure				
	 Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (including terrain) 				
	• Add nonhistoric features/elements (including visual, audible, or atmospheric) to a historic setting or cultural landscape	\boxtimes			visual
	 Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain 				
	 Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain 				
	• Begin or contribute to the deterioration of historic fabric, terrain, setting, landscape elements, or archeological or ethnographic resources				
	 Involve a real property transaction affecting historic cultural properties (i.e., the exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures) 		\boxtimes		
	Potentially affect presently unidentified	П	\boxtimes		
	historic resources Other				

5. Describe any measures that are incorporated as part of this project that will be taken to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of prehistoric or historic fabric, setting, integrity, or data:

Checklist prepared by: Jeannette Simons Date: 4/14/06

Title: Historic Preservation Officer

Compliance Tracking No. **2006-017** 4 of 8

C. SPECIALIST SECTION

Specialists: Your comments here (or attached) show that you have reviewed this proposal for conformity with requirements of *National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106*; with the 1995 *Servicewide Programmatic Agreement* (if applicable); with applicable parts of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*; with the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*; and have given your best professional advice about this project and the issues relevant to the Section 106 process, including identification and evaluation of historic properties and further consultation needs.

Archeologist Comments:	Name: Laura Kirn	Date: 3/8/06
Ground Disturbance Involved	Yes: No: 🖂	
Assessment of Effect: No potential Recommended Conditions:	for effect.	
Signature of Archeologist://Sign	ned Original on File//	
Cultural Anthropologist Comments:	Name: Sonny Montague	Date:
Assessment of Effect:		
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Cultural Anthropologi	st:	
Curator	Name: Jonathan Bayless	Date: 3/8/06
Comments:		
Assessment of Effect: "No Effect"		
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Curator://Signed (Original onFile//	

Historian Comments:	Name: Charles Palmer	Date: 3/8/06
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Recommended Conditions:	e Effect''	
Signature of Historian://Signed	Original on File//	
Historic Architect Comments:	Name: Sueann Brown	Date: 3/8/06
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Recommended Conditions:	e Effect''	
Signature of Historic Architect:	//Signed Original on File//	
Historic Landscape Architect Comments:	Name: Steven Torgerson	Date: 3/8/06
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Recommended Conditions: Final local conditions of the Condition of the C	e Effect" cations will need to be reviewed by Historic Landsca	pe Architect.
Signature of Historic Landscape Arc	chitect: //Signed Original on File//	

Preservation Specialist Comments:	Name: Doug Martin	Date: 3/8/06
Assessment of Effect: "No Ad	verse Effect''	
Recommended Conditions: Re-	commended Conditions	
Signature of Preservation Spec	ialist: <i>_//Signed Original on File//_</i>	
Native American Liaison	Name: Jeannette Simons	Date:
Comments:		
Assessment of Effect:		
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Native American	Liaison:	
~-g		
	Name:	Date:
Comments:		
Assessment of Effect:		
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of <enter specialis<="" td=""><td>t Title>:</td><td></td></enter>	t Title>:	

Compliance Tracking No. 2006-017

7 of 8

D. RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE DIVISION AND PARK 106 COORDINATOR REVIEWS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Review by specialists: The appropriate subject-matter experts have reviewed the project and entered their comments and recommendations in Section C, above.

тc	e foregoing assessment is adequate: the proposed action is consistent with all applicable NPS nagement policies, standards, guidelines, or US DOI standards and guidelines, Rehabilitation of storic Buildings, or others, and incorporates measures to avoid Adverse Effects.
Re	viewed and Accepted by:
	nature: Niki Stephanie Nicholas //Signed Original on File// ief of Resources Management & Science Division Date: 3/22/06
2.	Assessment of Effects: No Adverse Effect
3.	Compliance Requirements: The following is the park's assessment of Section 106 process needs and requirements for this undertaking.
	☐ Standard 36 CFR Part 800 Consultation
	Consultation under 36 CFR is needed subsequent to the preparation of this form and its review by appropriate historic resource management advisors.
	☐ Undertaking related to the 1995 NPS Programmatic Agreement
	The above action meets all conditions for a programmatic exclusion under Stipulation IV. A of the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement, and is listed in Stipulation IV. B, as:
	<choose of="" type="" undertaking=""></choose>
	☐ Plan-Related Undertaking
	Consultation and review of the proposed undertaking were completed in the context of a plan review process, in accordance with the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement and 36 CFR Part 800.
	☐ Undertaking Related to Another Agreement
	The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under a document such as a statewide agreement written in accordance with 37 CFR Part 800.7 or counterpart regulations.
	Agreement: <enter agreement="" information=""></enter>
	☐ Flood-Recovery Related Undertaking
	The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the letter-based agreement between the NPS, the State Historic Preservation Office, and the Council for Historic Preservation for "Highwater 97" flood repair and recovery
	☐ Undertaking Related to the 1999 Yosemite Programmatic Agreement
	The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the park's 1999 programmatic agreement for planning, design, construction, operations and maintenance; the undertaking meets the stipulations identified in Article VII.C.2.

4. Project Stipulations and Conditions

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effects above is consistent with 36 CFR 800 criteria of effect or to mitigate potential adverse effects:

a. Review placement with Landscape Architect.

Recommended by Park Section 106 Coordinator:				
Name:	Jeannette Simons			
Title:	Historic Preservation Officer			
Signature:	//Signed Original on File// Date: _4/13/06			
E. SUPERINTENDENT'S APPROVAL The proposed work conforms to NPS Management Policies and NPS-28 and I approve the recommendations, stipulations, and conditions noted in Section B of this form.				
Signature o	of Superintendent:MJ Tollefson			
	Date: <u>4/12/06</u>			

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.