Categorical Exclusion

(Version: FEB06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2006-061**PEPC Project Number: **15708**

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Yosemite Valley Administration Building Exterior Door Lock Replacement

Location: Yosemite Valley, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Tom Darcy, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

B. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C(4) - Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be minor or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under **Project Mitigations and Conditions** in **Section I** at the end of the attached *Environmental Screening Form*.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked):

| Cultural Resource Effects Assessment Form (XXX)
| Wilderness Minimum Requirement Analysis
| Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Determination
| Park Management Terms and Conditions
| Other:

C. DECISION

On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances or conditions in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in DO12, Section 3.4.


_//MJ Tollefson//	6/27/06
Michael J. Tollefson	Date

Original: Statutory Compliance File

cc: Project Proponent

Attachments (2)

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.



United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

Memorandum

To: Tom Darcy, Project Manager, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2006-061 Yosemite Valley Administration Building Exterior Door

Lock Replacement

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C(4) - Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to the conditions stipulated in the enclosed *Categorical Exclusion Form* and associated documents when implementing this project.

//MJ Tollefson//	6/27/06
Michael J. Tollefson	Date

Enclosure (with attachments)

cc: Statutory Compliance File

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Environmental Screening Form (Version: FEB06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-061 PEPC Project Number: 15708

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Yosemite Valley Administration Building Exterior Door Lock Replacement

Location: Yosemite Valley, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Tom Darcy, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

This project will replace exterior door locks on this building for increased security and operational efficiency.

The door on west side of building currently has a "panic bar." This panic bar would be replaced with one that would look pretty much the same but it would be equipped with an electronic locking device. A "through-wire" hinge would be added to the door. It would be located just below the existing center hinge and would be the only additional component you would see on the exterior of that door. It would be a 4" hinge.

Wiring from the panic bar to the hinge would be concealed inside the door and a hole would be drilled through the door casing and the wall between the boiler room and the hallway. That hole would be drilled behind the hinge so it would only be visible from the boiler room. From there it would be tied into the controller and then to the electrical panel with conduit.

The door on the east side of the building (Lobby) would be fitted with a "storage function" accessible knob set to permit free egress from the building and prohibit entry unless you have a key. This would require adding a knob to the exterior of the door, just above the existing pull handle (where the existing lock cylinder is located) or if the existing door would accept a panic bar with an exterior lock cylinder the exterior appearance of the door would not change. Both of these options would change the interior appearance of the door as they will require replacing the existing interior knob with a door knob or a panic bar.

Table B1 – Background Information

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list attendees. If no, explain.		\boxtimes		Pictures provided & familiar with site.
2a.	Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR				
2b.	Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.		\boxtimes		
2c.	Is the project consistent with that plan?			\boxtimes	
2d.	Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current?			\boxtimes	
3a.	Are there any interested or affected parties?		\boxtimes		
3b.	Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them?				
4a.	Are there any affected agencies or tribes?		\boxtimes		
4b.	Has consultation been completed?				

Table B2 – Environmental Screening Form Attachments (provide Attachment letter—A, B, etc.)

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Maps: 2 required (vicinity map & site map)				
2.	Drawings (e.g., design, construction)				
3.	Site Plans				
4.	Photographs	\boxtimes			Several, of subject doors and hardware; see Attachment A.
5.	Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain)		\boxtimes		
6.	Other (Explain)		\boxtimes		

C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

Are	e any impacts possible on the following	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
rese	ources?	res	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc		\boxtimes		
2.	From geohazards		\boxtimes		
3.	Air quality		\boxtimes		
4.	Soundscapes		\boxtimes		
5.	Water quality or quantity		\boxtimes		
6.	Stream flow characteristics		\boxtimes		
7.	Marine or estuarine resources			\boxtimes	
8.	Floodplains or wetlands		\boxtimes		
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use		\boxtimes		
	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine		\boxtimes		
11.	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat		\boxtimes		
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites	\boxtimes			Yosemite National Park is a World Heritage Site. This project will have "No Adverse Effect" on historic cultural properties; see section F. National Historic Preservation Act Checklist and the attached XXX.
13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		\boxtimes		
14.	Unique or important fish or fish habitat		\boxtimes		
15.	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)		\boxtimes		
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.		\boxtimes		
17.	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources		\boxtimes		
18.	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources	\boxtimes			The park Administration Building B64 is on the LCS; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see section F. National Historic Preservation Act Checklist and the attached XXX.
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure		\boxtimes		
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.		\boxtimes		
21.	Energy resources		\boxtimes		
22.	Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies		\boxtimes		
	Resource, including energy, conservation potential		\boxtimes		
24.	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.		\boxtimes		
25.	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity		\boxtimes		
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?		\boxtimes		
Cor	nments, Mitigations and Conditions:				
1.	See section F. National Historic Preservation Act C	Checkli	st and	attache	ed XXX.

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-061

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-061

D. MANDATORY CRITERIA

If	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes			
1.	1		\boxtimes					
2.	Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?				Mitigated: the park Administration Building B64 is on the LCS; see section F. National Historic Preservation Act Checklist and the attached XXX.			
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects?		\boxtimes					
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?		\boxtimes					
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		\boxtimes					
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?							
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?		\boxtimes		Mitigated: the park Administration Building B64 is on the LCS; see section F. National Historic Preservation Act Checklist and the attached XXX.			
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?							
9.	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?		\boxtimes					
10.	Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?		\boxtimes					
11.	Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?		\boxtimes					
12.	Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?							
13.	Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?		\boxtimes					
14.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?							
15.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?							
16.	Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?							
17.	Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?							
18.	Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?		\boxtimes					
19.	Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?		\boxtimes					
Co	Comments, Mitigations and Conditions: 1. See section F. National Historic Preservation Act Checklist and attached XXX.							

Yosemite National Park	Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-061		
Environmental Screening Form	5 of 7		
E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST			
Within the area of potential effect, are there: Yes No N/	A Data Needed to Determine/Notes		

Within the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes			
1. Listed or proposed threatened or endangered species (Federal or State)?							
2. Species of special concern (Federal or State)?							
3. Park rare plants or vegetation?	П	\boxtimes	П				
4. Potential habitat for any special-status	_						
species listed above?	Ш		Ш				
If "yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-S	Status	Specie	es Che	cklist must be completed and attached.			
Comments, Mitigations and Conditions:							
1. None							
F. NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVA	TION	AC.	Г СНІ	ECKLIST			
Within the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes			
1. Will there be ground disturbance?		\boxtimes					
2. Are there any archeological sites?		\boxtimes					
3. Are there any Native American Indian		\bowtie	П				
traditional cultural resources?							
4. Is the project within the boundary of an				Yosemite Valley Historic District and			
archeological or historic landscape or district?	\boxtimes	Ш	Ш	Yosemite Village Historic District.			
5a. Is there a National Historic Landmark?		\boxtimes					
5b. Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of</i>							
Classified Structures?		Ш	Ш	Administration Building B64			
5c. Is there a historic property with a DOE and							
concurrence by the SHPO or a completed		\boxtimes					
National Register form?							
5d. Is there a cultural property requiring review		\boxtimes	П				
under NHPA, Section 106?				All III Dilli Boll I			
6. Would there be alteration of a structure or				Administration Building B64; the assessment			
cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above?	\boxtimes	Ш	Ш	of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see condition 1 and the attached XXX.			
If "yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment	of Effe	ects fo	rm (V				
Mitigations and Conditions:	or Life	2013 10	1111 (1 (OSE 7777) must be completed and attached.			
 Preferred alternative is lever handle on exterior 	or vers	sus pa	nic har	dware on interior.			
				ght, coordinate with the park Historic Architect			
(Sueann Brown, 379-1222).							
G. WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST							
	Veg	No	NT/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes			
Is the proposed project: 1. Within designated Wilderness?	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes			
2. Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?	H						
	inimun		ıiremer	nts Analysis must be completed and attached			
If "yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness Minimum Requirements Analysis must be completed and attached. Mitigations and Conditions:							
1. None							

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-061

H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Do	es the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1.	Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? If 'yes', name the river(s)		\boxtimes				
2.	Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?						
3.	Potentially affect water quality of the area?			\boxtimes			
4.	Remain consistent with its river segment classification?						
5.	Protect and enhance river ORVs?			\boxtimes			
6a.	Fall within the River Protection Overlay?						
6b.	If "yes", is it consistent with conditions of the River Protection Overlay?						
7.	Remain consistent with the areas Management Zoning?						
8a.	Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?		\boxtimes				
8b.	If 9a is "yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?						
8c.	If 9a is "yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?			\boxtimes			
If "	If "yes" to questions 2, 9b, or 9c, then a WSRA Section 7 determination must be completed and attached.						
Mi	Mitigations and Conditions:						
1.	None						

I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

Applicable Categorical Exclusion:

Although adding new materials, the effects of this project would be essentially the same as those covered by DO12 3.4 C(4) - Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.

Project Mitigations and Conditions:

- 1. Preferred alternative is lever handle on exterior versus panic hardware on interior. (Resources Management and Science)
- 2. If the door handle height must be changed from the existing height, coordinate with the park Historic Architect (Sueann Brown, 379-1222). (Resources Management and Science)

This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.

//GWColliver//	6/23/06
Compliance Specialist	Date
//Mark A Butler//	626/06
Compliance Program Manager	Date
//Mark A Butler, Acting//	6/26/06
for Chief, Project Management	Date

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-061

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Attachment A





East Exterior Door East Interior Door





West Door Hinge West Exterior Door



West Interior Door

Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE XXX)

(Version: FEB06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2006-061**PEPC Project Number: **15708**

A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

Title: Replace Exterior Door Locks for NPS Valley Administration Building

Project Location and Area of Potential Effect:

Yosemite Valley, Mariposa County, California

Yosemite Village Historic District, Yosemite Valley Historic District, Administration Building B 64 Built in 1924 LCS # 005778

Project Manager: Tom Darcy, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

Project Description: This project will replace exterior door locks on this building for increased security and operation efficiency.

The door on west side of building currently has a "panic bar." We would be replacing this panic bar with one that will look pretty much the same but it will be equipped with an electronic locking device. A "through-wire" hinge will be added to the door. It will be located just below the existing center hinge and will be the only additional component you will see on the exterior of that door. It will be a 4" hinge.

Wiring from the panic bar to the hinge will be concealed inside the door and a hole will be drilled through the door casing and the wall between the boiler room and the hallway. That hole will be drilled behind the hinge so it will only be visible from the boiler room. From there it will be tied into the controller and then to the electrical panel with conduit.

The door on the east side of the building (Lobby)will be fitted with a "storage function" accessible knob set to permit free egress from the building and prohibit entry unless you have a key. This will require adding a knob to the exterior of the door, just above the existing pull handle (where the existing lock cylinder is located) or if the existing door would accept a panic bar with an exterior lock cylinder the exterior appearance of the door will not change. Both of these options would change the interior appearance of the door as they will require replacing the existing interior knob with a door knob or a panic bar.

1. Attached Sensitive Information**	Yes No	Explanation/Source/Notes
a. Maps		
b. Drawings		
c. Site Plans		
d. Photographs		
e. Sample		
f. List of Materials		
g. Other (Explain)		

^{**} Sensitive documents not for duplication or distribution beyond park management, subject matter experts, and the project statutory compliance file.

2 of 8

B. DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Has the Area of Potential Effect been surveyed to identify historic properties? If Yes, provide reference for the Survey (s).	\boxtimes			Yosemite Valley Historic District
	a. Would the proposed action affect a known historic property?				Admin Building B64 LCS 005778
2.	List all Historic Properties in the Area of Potential Effect:	Affec Yes	ted? No		Explanation/Notes
	a. Admin Building B64	\boxtimes		add n	on-hisotric elements
	b.				
	c.				
3.	List resources in the Area of Potential	Affec	ted?		
	Effect to which American Indians attach cultural and religious significance:	Yes	No		Explanation/Notes
	a. None				
	b.				
	C.				
4.	The proposed action will:	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Note
	Destroy, remove, or alter features or elements from a historic structure	\boxtimes			May remove original fixtures
	• Replace historic features/elements in kind		\boxtimes		
	Add nonhistoric features/elements to a historic structure	\boxtimes			increased security hardware
	• Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (including terrain)				
	• Add nonhistoric features/elements (including visual, audible, or atmospheric) to a historic setting or cultural landscape	\boxtimes			Hardware will alter appearance
	 Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain 				
	 Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain 				
	Begin or contribute to the deterioration of historic fabric, terrain, setting, landscape elements, or archeological or ethnographic resources				
	• Involve a real property transaction affecting historic cultural properties (i.e., the exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures)		\boxtimes		
	Potentially affect presently unidentified historic resources				
	• Other		\boxtimes		

3 of 8

5. Describe any measures that are incorporated as part of this project that will be taken to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of prehistoric or historic fabric, setting, integrity, or data:

Checklist prepared by: Jeannette Simons Date: 8/2/2006

Title: Historic Preservation Officer

4 of 8

C. SPECIALIST SECTION

Specialists: Your comments here (or attached) show that you have reviewed this proposal for conformity with requirements of *National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106*; with the 1995 *Servicewide Programmatic Agreement* (if applicable); with applicable parts of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*; with the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*; and have given your best professional advice about this project and the issues relevant to the Section 106 process, including identification and evaluation of historic properties and further consultation needs.

Archeologist Comments:	Name: Laura Kirn	Date:5//10/06			
Ground Disturbance Involved Assessment of Effect: "No Effect Recommended Conditions:	Yes: No: X				
Signature of Archeologist://	signed copy on file//				
Cultural Anthropologist Comments:	Name: Sonny Montague	Date:			
Assessment of Effect: <choose effect=""> or write it here >></choose> Recommended Conditions:					
Signature of Cultural Anthropologist:					
Curator Comments:	Name: Jonathan Bayless	Date:			
Assessment of Effect: <choose effect=""> or write it here >></choose>					
Recommended Conditions:					
Signature of Curator:		_			

Name: Charles Palmer Date: 05/10/06 Historian Comments: Assessment of Effect: "No Effect" Recommended Conditions: Signature of Historian: __//signed copy on file//_____ **Historic Architect** Name: Sueann Brown Date:05/10/06 Comments: Assessment of Effect: "No Effect" Recommended Conditions: New work on west side door will not be visible. Preferred alternative is lever handle on exterior rather than paic bar on interior of lobby. Signature of Historic Architect: __//signed copy on file//____ **Historic Landscape Architect** Name: **Steven Torgerson** Date: 05/10/06 Comments: Assessment of Effect: "No Effect" **Recommended Conditions:** Signature of Historic Landscape Architect: __//signed copy on file//_____

Preservation Specialist Comments:	Name: Doug Martin	Date: 05/10/06
Assessment of Effect: "No Adv	verse Effect''	
Recommended Conditions: Rec	commended Conditions	
Prefer lever on mall door outsic	le rather than new panic hardware inside.	
Signature of Preservation Speci	alist: <u>//signed copy on file//</u>	
Native American Liaison Comments:	Name: Jeannette Simons	Date:
Assessment of Effect: <choose< b=""></choose<>	Effect> or write it here >>	
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Native American I	Liaison:	
<enter specialist="" title=""> Comments:</enter>	Name:	Date:
Assessment of Effect: <choose< b=""></choose<>	Effect> or write it here >>	
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of <enter specialist<="" td=""><td>Title>:</td><td></td></enter>	Title>:	

Compliance Tracking No. 2006-061 7 of 8

D. RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE DIVISION AND PARK 106 COORDINATOR REVIEWS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Review by specialists: The appropriate subject-matter experts have reviewed the project and entered their comments and recommendations in Section C, above.

The foregoing assessment is adequate: the proposed action is consistent with all applicable NPS

			guidelines, or US DOI standards and gu l incorporates measures to avoid Adverse		Pehabilitation of
Re	viewed	and Accepted by:			
Sig	gnature	://Niki Stephanie N	Nicholas//	Date: _	5/16/06
		Chief of Resources	Management & Science Division		
2.	Assess	sment of Effects: No A	dverse Effect		
3.	Comp	liance Requirements:	The following is the park's assessment needs and requirements for this underta		106 process
		Standard 36 CFR Par	t 800 Consultation		
	Consultation under 36 CFR is needed subsequent to the preparation of this form and its review by appropriate historic resource management advisors.				and its review by
		Undertaking related t	to the 1995 NPS Programmatic Agreen	nent	
	The above action meets all conditions for a programmatic exclusion under Stipulation IV. A of the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement, and is listed in Stipulation IV. B, as:			ation IV. A of the	
		< Choose Type of Underta	aking>		
☐ Plan-Related Undertaking					
			of the proposed undertaking were completed ith the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement as		
	☐ Undertaking Related to Another Agreement				
			g is covered for Section 106 purposes under a ten in accordance with 37 CFR Part 800.7 or		
		Agreement : <enter ag<="" td=""><td>greement Information></td><td></td><td></td></enter>	greement Information>		
☐ Flood-Recovery Related Undertaking					
			ng is covered for Section 106 purposes under ate Historic Preservation Office, and the Cou d repair and recovery		
		Undertaking Related	to the 1999 Yosemite Programmatic A	greement	
			g is covered for Section 106 purposes under a design, construction, operations and maintenal in Article VII.C.2.		

Compliance Tracking No. **2006-061** 8 of 8

4. Project Stipulations and Conditions

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effects above is consistent with 36 CFR 800 criteria of effect or to mitigate potential adverse effects:

1. Preferred alternative is lever handle on exterior versus panic hardware on the interior.

Recommended by Park Section 106 Coordinator:					
Name:	Jeannette Simons				
Title:	Historic Preservation Officer				
Signature:	_//Jeannette Simons//_	Date: <u>5/24/06</u>			
E. SUPERINTENDENT'S APPROVAL The proposed work conforms to NPS Management Policies and NPS-28 and I approve the recommendations, stipulations, and conditions noted in Section B of this form.					
Signature of Superintendent://MJTollefson//					
Date: <u>6/27/06</u>					