Categorical Exclusion

(Version: FEB06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-035 PEPC Project Number: 14652

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Glacier Point, Viewing Area Tactile Display Relocation

Location: Galcier Point, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: John Chisum, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

B. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C (8) - Replacement in kind of minor structures and facilities with little or no change in location, capacity, or appearance - for example, comfort stations, pit toilets, fences, kiosks, signs, and campfire circles.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be minor or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under Project Mitigations and Conditions in Section I at the end of the attached Environmental Screening Form.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked): Knyironmental Screening Form

Environmental Screeni	ng roim
⊠ Cultural Resource Effe	cts Assessment Form (XXX)
Wilderness Minimum F	Requirement Analysis
☐ Wild and Scenic River	Section 7 Determination
Park Management Teri	ms and Conditions
Other:	

C. DECISION


On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances or conditions in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in DO12, Section 3.4.

_//R. Kevin Cann, Acting//	8/1/06
Michael J. Tollefson	Date

Statutory Compliance File Original: cc:

Project Proponent

Attachments (2) The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.



United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

Memorandum

To: John Chisum, Project Manager, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2006-035 Glacier Point, Viewing Area Tactile Display Relocation

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C(8) - Replacement in kind of minor structures and facilities with little or no change in location, capacity, or appearance - for example, comfort stations, pit toilets, fences, kiosks, signs, and campfire circles.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to the conditions stipulated in the enclosed *Categorical Exclusion Form* and associated documents when implementing this project.

//R. Kevin Cann, Acting//	
Michael J. Tollefson	Date
Enclosure (with attachments)	The signed original of this document is on file at
cc: Statutory Compliance File	the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

0/1/06

Environmental Screening Form

(Version: FEB06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2006-035**

PEPC Project Number: 14652

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Glacier Point, Viewing Area Tactile Display Relocation

Location: Glacier Point, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: John Chisum, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

This project will make the tactile display at the Glacier Point viewing area compliant with ADAAG standards and improve pedestrian traffic flow in the area. The existing display, located along the eastern walkway accessing the amphitheatre, does not provide the 5' of access around the entire display or the approach clearance as required by ADAAG. The display also creates a bottleneck in the walkway which compromises effective pedestrian traffic flow. The new location will move the display approximately 65 feet into the center of the adjacent Glacier Point viewing platform. The rock border, where the display is currently mounted, shall be removed. The display will be mounted in the new location with a rock border foundation configuration. The surface of the foundation will be designed to match comparable entities in the area and will be accepted by the History, Architecture, and Landscapes Branch. Importantly, the viewing platform currently provides approximately 1400 square feet of view space. The installation of the display will reduce the area by 24 square feet, or less than 1.7%. Furthermore, a clearance of not less than 8' will be retained between existing walls and the edge of the display. The 8' clearance will allow for proper pedestrian traffic flow around the display.

Table B1 – Background Information

1 a	Table D1 – Dackground Information						
		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes		
1.	Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list attendees. If no, explain.				Facilities Management staff.		
2a.	Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR						
2b.	Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.		\boxtimes				
2c.	Is the project consistent with that plan?			\boxtimes			
2d.	Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current?			\boxtimes			
3a.	Are there any interested or affected parties?		\boxtimes				
3b.	Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them?						
4a.	Are there any affected agencies or tribes?		\boxtimes				
4b.	Has consultation been completed?						
Table B2 – Environmental Screening Form Attachments (provide Attachment letter—A, B, etc.)							

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Maps: 2 required (vicinity map & site map)	\boxtimes			Project vicinity map; see Attachment A.
2.	Drawings (e.g., design, construction)		\boxtimes		
3.	Site Plans		\boxtimes		
4.	Photographs		\boxtimes		
5.	Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain)		\boxtimes		
6.	Other (Explain)		\boxtimes		

C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

	e any impacts possible on the following	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
res	ources?			- "	
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc				Negligible; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see section F. National Historic Preservation Act Checklist and the attached XXX.
2.	From geohazards		\boxtimes		
3.	Air quality	\boxtimes			Negligible; temporary during construction.
4.	Soundscapes	\boxtimes			Negligible; temporary during construction.
5.	Water quality or quantity		\boxtimes		
6.	Stream flow characteristics		\boxtimes		
7.	Marine or estuarine resources			\boxtimes	
8.	Floodplains or wetlands		\boxtimes		
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use		\boxtimes		
	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine		\boxtimes		
11.	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat		\boxtimes		
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites		\boxtimes		
13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		\boxtimes		
14.	Unique or important fish or fish habitat		\boxtimes		
	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)		\boxtimes		
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.		\boxtimes		
17.	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources		\boxtimes		
18.	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources		\boxtimes		
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure				
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.		\boxtimes		
21.	Energy resources		\boxtimes		
22.	Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies		\boxtimes		
23.	Resource, including energy, conservation potential		\boxtimes		
24.	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.		\boxtimes		
25.	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity				
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?				
	nments, Mitigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				

D. MANDATORY CRITERIA

If	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Have material adverse effects on public health or safety?		\boxtimes		Mitigated; see Condition 1, below.
2.	Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?				Mitigated; see Section F. National Historic Preservation Act Checklist and the attached XXX.
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?				
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?				
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?				Mitigated; see Section F. National Historic Preservation Act Checklist and the attached XXX.
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?				
9.	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?				
10.	Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?		\boxtimes		
11.	Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?		\boxtimes		
12.	Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?		\boxtimes		
13.	Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?		\boxtimes		
14.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?				
15.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?		\boxtimes		
16.	Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?				
17.	Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?		\boxtimes		
18.	Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?				
	Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?		\boxtimes		
C o 1.	omments, Mitigations and Conditions: None				

E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHI	ECKI	LIST		
Within the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1. Listed or proposed threatened or endangered species (Federal or State)?		\boxtimes		
2. Species of special concern (Federal or State)?		\boxtimes		
3. Park rare plants or vegetation?		\boxtimes		
4. Potential habitat for any special-status species listed above?				
If "yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-	Status	Specie	es Che	cklist must be completed and attached.
Comments, Mitigations and Conditions: 1. None				
F. NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVA	TION	AC'	Г СНІ	ECKLIST
Within the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1. Will there be ground disturbance?	\boxtimes			The assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Condition 1, below, and the attached XXX.
2. Are there any archeological sites?				CA - MRP - 1392HS. The assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Condition 1, below, and the attached XXX.
3. Are there any Native American Indian traditional cultural resources?	\boxtimes			Traditional Cultural Place; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see the attached XXX.
4. Is the project within the boundary of an archeological or historic landscape or district?	\boxtimes			Glacier Point Historic District; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see the attached XXX.
5a. Is there a National Historic Landmark?		\boxtimes		
5b. Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of Classified Structures</i> ?		\boxtimes		
5c. Is there a historic property with a DOE and concurrence by the SHPO or a completed National Register form?		\boxtimes		
5d. Is there a cultural property requiring review under NHPA, Section 106?				Glacier Point Cultural Landscape; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see the attached XXX.
6. Would there be alteration of a structure or cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above?	\boxtimes			Glacier Point Cultural Landscape; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect."
If "yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment	of Effe	ects fo	rm (Yo	OSE-XXX) must be completed and attached.
Mitigations and Conditions: 1. Conduct archeological monitoring during slo	-			• •
addresses recovery of historic artifacts, docti	memal	ion an	u acce	ssion. (Resources Management and Sciences)
G. WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST				
Is the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1. Within designated Wilderness?		\boxtimes		
2. Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?		\boxtimes		
If "yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness M	inimun	1 Real	iiremen	nts Analysis must be completed and attached.

Mitigations and Conditions:
1. None

H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Do	es the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? If 'yes', name the river(s)				
2.	Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?		\boxtimes		
3.	Potentially affect water quality of the area?				
4.	Remain consistent with its river segment classification?				
5.	Protect and enhance river ORVs?			\boxtimes	
6a.	Fall within the River Protection Overlay?			\boxtimes	
6b.	If "yes", is it consistent with conditions of the River Protection Overlay?				
7.	Remain consistent with the areas Management Zoning?				
8a.	Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?		\boxtimes		
8b.	If 9a is "yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?				
8c.	If 9a is "yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?				
If "	yes" to questions 2, 9b, or 9c, then a WSRA Se	ection	7 dete	rmination must b	e completed and attached.
Mi	tigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				

I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

Applicable Categorical Exclusion:

The display is being moved only a short distance to meet ADA unobstructed clearance standards and an adjacent wall will be moved slightly and rebuilt using the same materials; therefore the project is essentially DO12 3.4 C (8) - Replacement in kind of minor structures and facilities with little or no change in location, capacity, or appearance-for example, comfort stations, pit toilets, fences, kiosks, signs, and campfire circles.

Project Mitigations and Conditions:


- 1. Submit an Accident Prevention Plan to the park Safety Office (379-1079) for review and approval before proceeding with this work. (Safety Office)
- 2. Coordinate with the park Archeologist (Laura Kirn, 379-1314) with at least 2 weeks notice, for archeological monitoring during slope excavation. Work to be guided by a monitoring plan that addresses recovery of historic artifacts, documentation and accession. (Resources Management and Sciences.)
- 3. Confirm that grades comply with the ADA standards; less than 5% for path run and less than 2% for cross slope. (Project Management)

This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.

//GWColliver	7/18/06
Compliance Specialist	Date
//Mark A Butler//	7/25/06
Compliance Program Manager	Date
//Bill Delaney//	7/31/06
Chief, Project Management	Date

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Attachment A



Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE XXX)

(Version: FEB06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-035
PEPC Project Number: 14652

A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

Title: Glacier Point, Viewing Area, Tactile Display Relocation **NPS Project Manager:** Facilities Management, John Chisum

Project Location and Area of Potential Effect:

Mariposa County, California. Glacier Point, Yosemite National Park

Glacier Point Cultural Landscape

Project Description: This project will make the tactile display at the Glacier Point viewing area compliant with ADAAG standards and improve pedestrian traffic flow in the area. The existing display, located along the eastern walkway accessing the amphitheatre, does not provide the 5' of access around the entire display or the approach clearance as required by ADAAG. The display also creates a bottleneck in the walkway which compromises effective pedestrian traffic flow. The new location will move the display approximately 65 feet into the center of the adjacent Glacier Point viewing platform. The rock border, where the display is currently mounted, shall be removed. The display will be mounted in the new location with a rock border foundation configuration. The surface of the foundation will be designed to match comparable entities in the area and will be accepted by the History, Architecture, and Landscapes Branch. Importantly, the viewing platform currently provides approximately 1400 square feet of view space. The installation of the display will reduce the area by 24 square feet, or less than 1.7%. Furthermore, a clearance of not less than 8' will be retained between existing walls and the edge of the display. The 8' clearance will allow for proper pedestrian traffic flow around the display.

1. Attached Sensitive Information**		Yes	No	Explanation/Source/Notes
a.	Maps	\boxtimes		CR GIS Map
b.	Drawings		\boxtimes	
c.	Site Plans		\boxtimes	
d.	Photographs		\boxtimes	
e.	Sample		\boxtimes	
f.	List of Materials		\boxtimes	
g.	Other (Explain)		\boxtimes	

^{**} Sensitive documents not for duplication or distribution beyond park management, subject matter experts, and the project statutory compliance file.

2 of 8

B. DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Has the Area of Potential Effect been surveyed to identify historic properties? If Yes, provide reference for the Survey (s).	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		Cultural Resource Studies for the Glacier Point Rehabilitation & Restoration Project (Flint, Price, & Stroble 1997)
	a. Would the proposed action affect a known historic property?				Glacier Point is a historic landscape
2.	List all Historic Properties in the Area of Potential Effect:	Affec Yes	ted? No		Explanation/Notes
	a. Glacier Point	\boxtimes		Land	scape
	b. Archeological Site			CA-N	MRP-1392H
	c.				
3.	List resources in the Area of Potential	Affec	ted?		
	Effect to which American Indians attach	Yes	No		Explanation/Notes
	a. Traditional Cultural Place	\boxtimes	$\overline{}$	No no	otential to effect.
	b.		H	No p	otential to effect.
	С.	Ħ	Ħ		
4.	The proposed action will:	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Note
	Destroy, remove, or alter features or				1
	elements from a historic structure		\boxtimes	Ш	
	• Replace historic features/elements in kind		\boxtimes		
	 Add nonhistoric features/elements to a historic structure 				
	 Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (including terrain) 				
	• Add nonhistoric features/elements (including visual, audible, or atmospheric) to a historic setting or cultural landscape	\boxtimes			
	 Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain 		\boxtimes		
	 Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain 	\boxtimes			
	Begin or contribute to the deterioration of historic fabric, terrain, setting, landscape elements, or archeological or ethnographic resources				
	 Involve a real property transaction affecting historic cultural properties (i.e., the exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures) 				
	Potentially affect presently unidentified historic resources	\boxtimes			Ground disturbance has potential to expose previously unrecorded archeological deposits.
	• Other	П	\boxtimes	П	

5. Describe any measures that are incorporated as part of this project that will be taken to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of prehistoric or historic fabric, setting, integrity, or data:

Checklist prepared by: Jeannette Simons Date: 8/28/06

Title: Historic Preservation Officer

C. SPECIALIST SECTION

Specialists: Your comments here (or attached) show that you have reviewed this proposal for conformity with requirements of *National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106*; with the 1995 *Servicewide Programmatic Agreement* (if applicable); with applicable parts of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*; with the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*; and have given your best professional advice about this project and the issues relevant to the Section 106 process, including identification and evaluation of historic properties and further consultation needs.

Archeologist Comments:	Name: Laura Kirn	Date:3/8/06				
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse		Wadata ka				
Recommended Conditions: Conduct archeological monitoring during slope excavation. Work to be guided by a monitoring plan that addressess recovery of historic artifacts, documentation and accession. Signature of Archeologist: _//Laura Kirn// (signed original on file)						
Signature of Archeologist. //Laura	Kirm/ (signed original on the)					
Cultural Anthropologist Comments:	Name: Sonny Montague	Date:				
Assessment of Effect:						
Recommended Conditions:						
Signature of Cultural Anthropologist:						
Curator	Name: Jonathan Bayless	Date:				
Comments:						
Assessment of Effect:						
Recommended Conditions:						
Signature of Curator:						

Yosemite National Park
Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE-XXX)

Compliance Tracking No. **2006-035** 5 of 8

Historian Name: Charles Palmer Date: 6/20/06
Comments:

Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Effect"
Recommended Conditions:

Signature of Historian: //Charles Palmer// (signed original on file)

Historic Architect Name: Sueann Brown
Comments:

Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Effect"
Recommended Conditions:

Signature of Historic Architect: //Sueann Brown// (signed original on file)

Historic Landscape Architect Name: Steven Torgerson

Date:6/21/06

Comments:

Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Effect"

Recommended Conditions: Rock detail shall be accepted by historic landscape architect before installation. Contractor will be required to do & shown stone masonary work similar to that found in area.

Signature of Historic Landscape Architect: //Stephen D Torgerson// (signed original on file)

Preservation Specialist Comments:	Name: Doug Martin	Date:
Assessment of Effect:		
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Preservation Specialist:		_
Native American Liaison	Name: Jeannette Simons	Date:
Comments:		
Assessment of Effect:		
Assessment of Effect: Recommended Conditions:		

D. RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE DIVISION AND PARK 106 COORDINATOR REVIEWS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Review by specialists: The appropriate subject-matter experts have reviewed the project and entered their comments and recommendations in Section C, above.

Compliance Tracking No. 2006-035

7 of 8

The foregoing assessment is adequate: the proposed action is consistent with all applicable NPS

	_	ement policies, standards, guidelines, or US & Buildings, or others, and incorporates me		· ·
Re	viewed	red and Accepted by:		
Sig	gnature	Chief of Resources Management & S		Date: <u>7/5/06</u>
2.	Asses	sessment of Effects: No Adverse Effect		
3.	Comp	mpliance Requirements: The following is needs and requi	the park's assessn rements for this und	_
		☐ Standard 36 CFR Part 800 Consultati	on	
		Consultation under 36 CFR is needed subsetappropriate historic resource management at		on of this form and its review by
	☐ Undertaking related to the 1995 NPS Programmatic Agreement			reement
		The above action meets all conditions for a parametric agreement, and is lawayside exhibits, and memorial plaques.		
		☐ Plan-Related Undertaking		
		Consultation and review of the proposed unprocess, in accordance with the 1995 NPS p		
☐ Undertaking Related to Another Agreement				
		The proposed undertaking is covered for Sestatewide agreement written in accordance v		
		Agreement:		
		☐ Flood-Recovery Related Undertaking		
		The proposed undertaking is covered for Sobetween the NPS, the State Historic Preserfor "Highwater 97" flood repair and recover	ation Office, and the	
	\boxtimes	☐ Undertaking Related to the 1999 Yose	mite Programmat	ic Agreement

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the park's 1999 programmatic agreement for planning, design, construction, operations and maintenance; the undertaking meets the stipulations identified in Article VII.C.2.

Compliance Tracking No. **2006-035** 8 of 8

4. Project Stipulations and Conditions

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effects above is consistent with 36 CFR 800 criteria of effect or to mitigate potential adverse effects:

- a. Conduct archeological monitoring during slope excavation. Work to be guided by a monitoring plan that addressess recovery of historic artifacts, documentation and accession.
- b. Contractor performing rock work will have demonstrated experience in similar masonry.
- c. Documentation will be provided to EP&C for project file. Coordinate product acceptance with Park Historic Landscape Architect.

Recommended by Park Section 106 Coordinator:

Name: **Jeannette Simons**

Title: **Historic Preservation Officer**

Signature: //Jeannette Simons// Date: 7/10/06

E. SUPERINTENDENT'S APPROVAL

The proposed work conforms to NPS Management Policies and NPS-28 and I approve the recommendations, stipulations, and conditions noted in Section B of this form.

Signature of Superintendent: //R. Kevin Cann, Acting// Date: 8/1/06

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.