### **Categorical Exclusion**

(Version: AUG06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2006-090**PEPC Project Number: **16582** 

### A. PROJECT INFORMATION

**Title:** Curry Village Service Station Hydraulic Lift Removal (Y4-0611)

Location: Yosemite Valley, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Bill Rust, Business and Revenue Management, Yosemite National Park

Project Manager: Earl Zack, DNC Parks and Resorts at Yosemite

#### B. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C(16) - Installation of underground utilities in areas showing clear evidence of recent human disturbance or areas within an existing road prism or within an existing overhead utility right-of-way.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be negligible or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under **Project Mitigations and Conditions** in **Section I** at the end of the attached *Environmental Screening Form*.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked):

☑ Environmental Screening Form
☐ Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE-XXX)
☐ Wilderness Minimum Requirement Analysis
☐ Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Determination
☐ Park Management Terms and Conditions
Other:

### C. DECISION

On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances or conditions in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in DO12, Section 3.4.

//R. Kevin Cann, Acting//	<u>9/11/06</u>
Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent	Date

Original: Statutory Compliance File

cc: Project Proponent

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Attachments (2)



### **United States Department of the Interior**

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

#### Memorandum

To: Bill Rust, Project Manager, Business and Revenue Management, Yosemite National Park

Earl Zack, Project Manager, DNC Parks and Resorts at Yosemite

From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2006-090 Curry Village Hydraulic Lift Removal (Y4-6011) (PEPC#

16582)

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C(16) - Installation of underground utilities in areas showing clear evidence of recent human disturbance or areas within an existing road prism or within an existing overhead utility right-of-way.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to the conditions stipulated in the enclosed *Categorical Exclusion Form* and associated documents when implementing this project.

//R. Kevin Cann, Acting//
Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent

9/11/06

Date

Enclosure (with attachments)

cc: Statutory Compliance File

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

# Environmental Screening Form (Version: AUG06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2006-090** PEPC Project Number: 16582

Explanation/Notes

#### A. PROJECT INFORMATION

**Title:** Curry Village Hydraulic Lift Removal (Y4-0611) Location: Yosemite Valley, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Bill Rust, Business and Revenue Management, Yosemite National Park

Project Manager: Earl Zack, DNC Parks and Resorts at Yosemite

### B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

This project will remove an abandoned hydraulic lift discovered at the Curry Village Raft Stand walkway.

Specifications for project execution will be prepared and submitted to the NPS for review. Precautions will be taken to prevent hazardous materials from being released to soil and groundwater resources. Soil samples will be taken as needed to assess the need for any soil or groundwater clean-up in accordance with the State of California regulations.

Should soil and groundwater contamination be present, the project will include clean-up to Federal and State of California standards.

**Table B1 – Background Information** 

						<u> </u>
	1.	Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list attendees. If no, explain.				Staff is familiar with the site.
	2a.	Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR				
	2b.	Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.			$\boxtimes$	
	2c.	Is the project consistent with that plan?			$\boxtimes$	
	2d.	Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current?			$\boxtimes$	
	3a.	Are there any interested or affected parties?	$\boxtimes$			The State of California.
	3b.	Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them?				The appropriate State agencies would be contacted as necessary.
	4a.	Are there any affected agencies or tribes?		$\boxtimes$		
	4b.	Has consultation been completed?				
_	Tal	ble B2 – Environmental Screening Forn				
			Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
	1.	Maps: 2 required (vicinity map & site map)	$\boxtimes$	_		Vicinity and site map; see Attachment A.
	2.	Drawings (e.g., design, construction)	Щ	Ц		
	3.	Site Plans	Ш	Ш	$\boxtimes$	
	4.	Photographs				Photo of discovery/uncovering of abandoned lift; see Attachment B.
	5.	Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain)		$\boxtimes$		
	6.	Other (Explain)		$\square$		

### Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-090

### C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

	e any impacts possible on the following ources?	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc	$\boxtimes$			Negligible: removing abondoned hydraulic lift would entail digging into previously disturbed soil located under a paved parking area.
2.	From geohazards		$\boxtimes$		rocated ander a parea pariting area.
3.	Air quality	$\boxtimes$			Negligible: the abandoned lift may contain some volatile material, a small amount of which could be released during removal; if ground contamination has occurred, appropriate containment and remediation would be initiated.
4.	Soundscapes	$\boxtimes$			Negligible: temporary noise from equipment during removal of the lift
5.	Water quality or quantity	$\boxtimes$			Negligible: project is intended to elminate a potential source of contamination; removal would not measurably increase contamination; remediation of contamination already present would proceed as necessary.
6.	Stream flow characteristics		$\boxtimes$		
7.	Marine or estuarine resources				
8.	Floodplains or wetlands		$\boxtimes$		
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use				
	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine				
11.	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat		$\boxtimes$		
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites	$\boxtimes$			Yosemite National Park is a World Heritage Site; no historic properties would be adversely affected by implementing this project.
	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		$\boxtimes$		
	Unique or important fish or fish habitat		$\boxtimes$		
	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)				Mitigated: see Condition 2, Section D, Mandatory Criteria.
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.				
17.	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources	$\boxtimes$			Negligible: work would be done during the off- season and would only temporarily affect the visitor experience during removal of the lift.
18.	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources	$\boxtimes$			The assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist.
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure		$\boxtimes$		
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.		$\boxtimes$		
21.	Energy resources		$\boxtimes$		
22.			$\boxtimes$		
	Resource, including energy, conservation potential				
	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.		$\boxtimes$		
	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity				
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?		$\boxtimes$		
<b>Cor</b> 1.	nments, Mitigations and Conditions: None				

## Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-090

### D. MANDATORY CRITERIA

If	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Have material adverse effects on public health or safety?		$\boxtimes$		Mitigated: see Condition 1, below
2.	Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?		$\boxtimes$		Mitigated: the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
3.		П	$\boxtimes$		
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?				
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		$\boxtimes$		
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?		$\boxtimes$		
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?		$\boxtimes$		Mitigated: the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?		$\boxtimes$		
9.	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?		$\boxtimes$		
10.	Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?		$\boxtimes$		
11.	Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?		$\boxtimes$		
12.	Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?				
13.	Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?		$\boxtimes$		
14.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?				Mitigated: if project done by contractor, heavy equipment may be brought into the park; see Condition 2, below.
15.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?		$\boxtimes$		Mitigated: if project done by contractor, heavy equipment may be brought into the park; see Condition 2, below.
16.	Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?				
17.	Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?		$\boxtimes$		
18.	Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?		$\boxtimes$		
	Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?		$\boxtimes$		
	omments, Mitigations and Conditions:	i. +	tha	al- C-€	tr. Office (270 1070) for recii
1.	Provide a project safety program/ job hazards Anal before beginning any work on this project. (Safety			ik safe	by Office (579-1079) for feview and approval
2.	Ensure that equipment and material brought into th			of mat	erial that could introduce or spread noxious weeds

2. Ensure that equipment and material brought into the park is free of material that could introduce or spread noxious weeds and non-native invasive plants or animals. Inform all staff working on the project of best management practices for preventing the introduction and spread of non-native, invasive species in Division 1 specifications, Section 1355. (Environmental Planning & Compliance Office)

**Mitigations and Conditions:** 

1. None

### E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST

	SI ECIAL STATOS SI ECIES CIII		1101		
Wi	thin the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Listed or proposed threatened or endangered species (Federal or State)?		$\boxtimes$		
2.	Species of special concern (Federal or State)?		$\boxtimes$		
3.	Park rare plants or vegetation?		$\boxtimes$	П	
4.	Potential habitat for any special-status				
If "	species listed above?  yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-S	Statue	Spacia	oc Cho	ablist must be completed and attached
	mments, Mitigations and Conditions:	otatus i	Specie	es Che	exhist must be completed and attached.
1	None				
	Tione				
F.	NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVA	TION	AC	Г СН	ECKLIST
Wi	thin the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Will there be ground disturbance?				Removing the abandoned lift would require excavating the soil surrounding it
					CA MRP 0827/H: see Condition 1, below;
2.	Are there any archeological sites?				the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see the attached XXX.
					Yosemite Valley: see Condition 2, below; the
3.	Are there any Native American Indian	$\boxtimes$	П	П	assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;"
	traditional cultural resources?		_		see the attached XXX.
4.	Is the project within the boundary of an				Yosemite Valley Archeological and Historic
	archeological or historic landscape or	$\boxtimes$			Districts; the assessment of effect is "No
_	district?	$\neg$			Adverse Effect;" see the attached XXX.
	Is there a National Historic Landmark? Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of</i>	Ш			
50.	Classified Structures?		$\boxtimes$		
5c.	Is there a historic property with a DOE and				
	concurrence by the SHPO or a completed		$\boxtimes$		
	National Register form?				
5d.	Is there a cultural property requiring review				Yosemite Valley Archeological and Historic
	under NHPA, Section 106?		Ш	Ш	Districts; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see the attached XXX.
					Yosemite Valley Archeological and Historic
6.	Would there be alteration of a structure or		$\boxtimes$		Districts; the assessment of effect is "No
	cultural landscape covered by 5a-d, above?				Adverse Effect;" see the attached XXX.
	yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment	of Effe	cts fo	rm (Y	OSE-XXX) must be completed and attached.
	tigations and Conditions:				
1.	Provide a copy of the project specifications, v				
2.	1314) for review; archeological monitoring m Provide a copy of the project specifications, v		-		,
2.					monitoring may be required. (Environmental
	Planning and Compliance Office)	, 1 (662			a monitoring may be required (Environmental
	•				
G.	WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST				
	he proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Within designated Wilderness?		$\boxtimes$		
2.	Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?				
If "	yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness Mi	nimun	n Regu	ireme	nts Analysis must be completed and attached.

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-090

# Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-090

### H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Does the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1. Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? If "Yes", name the river(s).	$\boxtimes$			Merced River, Main Stem.
2. Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?		$\boxtimes$		
3. Potentially affect water quality of the area?	$\boxtimes$			Contamination from the abandoned hydraulic lift could potentially affect the Merced River; this project would remove that source of potential contamination and remediate the site, as necessary.
4. Remain consistent with its river segment classification?				There is no comprehensive management plan for the Merced Wild and Scenic River; therefore, river segment classifications do not exist; this segment could only be classified as scenic or recreational because of existing conditions; the proposed action would be consistent with either classification.
5. Protect and enhance river ORVs?				There is no comprehensive management plan for the Merced Wild and Scenic River; therefore, no ORVs have been established; the proposed action would protect and enhance any likely river ORVs that might be established.
6a. Fall within the River Protection Overlay?				There is no comprehensive management plan for the Merced Wild and Scenic River; therefore, no River Protection Overlay has been established.
6b. If "Yes", is it consistent with conditions of the River Protection Overlay?			$\boxtimes$	Based on preliminary river planning, the site of the proposed project would not fall within any likely RPO.
7. Remain consistent with the areas Management Zoning?				There is no comprehensive management plan for the Merced Wild and Scenic River; therefore, it is not known if management zoning would be used, or what management zones might be established; based on preliminary river planning, the proposed project would be consistent with likely management zoning.
8a. Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?		$\boxtimes$		
8b. If 9a is "Yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?			$\boxtimes$	
8c. If 9a is "Yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?				
9. Change the level of use within the river corridor? If "Yes", explain.				
If "yes" to questions 2, 9b, or 9c, then a WSRA S	Section	7 dete	ermina	tion must be completed and attached.
Mitigations and Conditions: 1. None				

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

### **Applicable Categorical Exclusion:**

I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

This project is removing an underground utility (abandoned hydraulic lift) from a disturbed area under a paved parking lot and would have essentially the same potential impacts as DO12 C (16) - Installation of underground utilities in areas showing clear evidence of recent human disturbance or areas within an existing road prism or within an existing overhead utility right-of-way.

### **Project Mitigations and Conditions:**

- 1. Provide a project safety program/ job hazards Analysis to the park Safety Office (379-1079) for review and approval before beginning any work on this project. (Safety Office)
- 2. Ensure that equipment and material brought into the park is free of material that could introduce or spread noxious weeds and non-native invasive plants or animals. Inform all staff working on the project of best management practices for preventing the introduction and spread of non-native, invasive species in Division 1 specifications, Section 1355. (Environmental Planning & Compliance Office)
- 3. Provide a copy of the project specifications, when available, to the park Archeologist (Laura Kirn, 209-379-1314) for review; archeological monitoring may be required. (Resources Management and Science)
- 4. Provide a copy of the project specifications, when available, to the park Native American Liaison (Jeannette Simons, 209-379-1372) for review; Native American monitoring may be required. (Environmental Planning and Compliance Office)

This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.

//GWColliver//	8/29/06
Compliance Specialist	Date
//Mark A Butler//	8/30/006
Compliance Program Manager	Date
//Bill Delaney//	9/6/06
Chief, Project Management	Date

Compliance Tracking Number: 2006-090

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

### **Attachment A**



Map 1 Curry Village Huff House Employee Housing Area showing project site.

### Attachment B



Photo 1 Removal of covering asphalt, showing abandoned hydraulic lift.

### **Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE XXX)**

(Version: FEB06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2006-090**PEPC Project Number: **16582** 

### A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

**Title:** Curry Village Hydraulic Lift Removal **Project Location and Area of Potential Effect:** 

Yosemite Valley, Mariposa County, California

Curry Village Raft Stand and Ice Rink Parking area

**Project Manager:** Bill Rust, Business and Revenue Management, Yosemite National Park

Project Manager: Earl Zack, DNC,

**Project Description:** This project will remove an abandoned hydraulic lift discovered at the Curry Village Raft Stand walkway.

Specifications for project execution will be prepared and submitted to the NPS for review.

Precautions will be taken to prevent hazardous materials from being released to soil and groundwater resources. Soil samples will be taken as needed to assess the need for any soil or groundwater cleanup in accordance with the State of California regulations.

Should soil and groundwater contamination be present, the project will include clean-up to Federal and State of California standards.

1. Atta	ached Sensitive Information**	Yes	No	Explanation/Source/Notes
a.	Maps	$\boxtimes$		Cultural Resource GIS map.
b.	Drawings		$\boxtimes$	
c.	Site Plans		$\boxtimes$	
d.	Photographs		$\boxtimes$	
e.	Sample		$\boxtimes$	
f.	List of Materials		$\boxtimes$	
g.	Other (Explain)		$\boxtimes$	

<sup>\*\*</sup> Sensitive documents not for duplication or distribution beyond park management, subject matter experts, and the project statutory compliance file.

2 of 7

### **B. DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS**

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Has the Area of Potential Effect been surveyed to identify historic properties? If Yes, provide reference for the Survey (s).	$\boxtimes$			Yosemite Valley HIstoric District Curry Village Historic Site Yosemite Valley Archeological Distsrict
	a. Would the proposed action affect a known historic property?		$\boxtimes$		
2.	List all Historic Properties in the Area of Potential Effect:	Affec Yes	ted?		Explanation/Notes
	a. CA MRP 0827/H		$\boxtimes$	Site is	s across the road from APE.
	b.				
_	c.				
3.	List resources in the Area of Potential	Affec	ted?		Evaluation/Notes
	Effect to which American Indians attach cultural and religious significance:	Yes	No		Explanation/Notes
	a. Yosemite Valley TCP	П	$\boxtimes$	Not io	dentified or nominated
	b.				
	c.				
4.	The proposed action will:	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Note
	• Destroy, remove, or alter features or	П	$\boxtimes$	П	
	elements from a historic structure • Replace historic features/elements in kind				
	Add nonhistoric features/elements to a				
	historic structure		$\boxtimes$		
	• Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (including terrain)				
	<ul> <li>Add nonhistoric features/elements (including visual, audible, or atmospheric) to a historic setting or cultural landscape</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain</li> </ul>				
	Begin or contribute to the deterioration of historic fabric, terrain, setting, landscape elements, or archeological or ethnographic resources				
	• Involve a real property transaction affecting historic cultural properties (i.e., the exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures)		$\boxtimes$		
	Potentially affect presently unidentified	П			
	historic resources				
	• Other		$\boxtimes$		

**Checklist prepared by:** Jeannette Simons **Date:** <u>8/4/06</u>

**Title:** Historic Preservation Officer

### C. SPECIALIST SECTION

**Specialists**: Your comments here (or attached) show that you have reviewed this proposal for conformity with requirements of *National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106*; with the 1995 *Servicewide Programmatic Agreement* (if applicable); with applicable parts of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*; with the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*; and have given your best professional advice about this project and the issues relevant to the Section 106 process, including identification and evaluation of historic properties and further consultation needs.

Archeologist	Name: <b>Laura Kirn</b>	Date: 8/9/06
Comments: Project will occur ad	ljacent to an archeologically sensitive are	a. Need to review project
specifications when available.		
Ground Disturbance Involved	Yes: No:	
Assessment of Effect: No Adverse	e Effect	
	ide project specifications, when available ecommendation will be made whether are	
Signature of Archeologist: //Laur	ra Kirn// (signed original on file)	
Cultural Anthropologist	Name: Sonny Montague	Date:
Comments:	·	
Assessment of Effect:		
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Cultural Anthropolog	gist:	
Curator	Name: Jonathan Bayless	Date:
Comments:	•	
Assessment of Effect:		
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Curator:		

Assessment of Effect: "No Effect"

Recommended Conditions:

Signature of Historic Landscape Architect: \_//Steven D Torgerson// (signed original on file)

Compliance Tracking No. 2006-090

5 of 7

Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Effect"

Recommended Conditions: Contact the Native American Liaison (Jeannette Simons, 209-379-1372) to arrange for consultation with Native Americans when project specifications are available.

Signature of Native American Liaison: \_//Jeannette Simons// (signed original on file)

# Compliance Tracking No. **2006-090** 6 of 7

# D. RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE DIVISION AND PARK 106 COORDINATOR REVIEWS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. **Review by specialists:** The appropriate subject-matter experts have reviewed the project and entered their comments and recommendations in Section C, above.

The foregoing assessment is adequate: the proposed action is consistent with all applicable NPS management policies, standards, guidelines, or US DOI standards and guidelines, Rehabilitation of Historic Buildings, or others, and incorporates measures to avoid Adverse Effects.

Reviewed and Accepted by	Reviewed	and	Accepted	by:
--------------------------	----------	-----	----------	-----

Signature: //Niki Stephanie Nicholas// (signed original on file) Date: 8/22/06

Chief of Resources Management & Science Division

- 2. Assessment of Effects: No Adverse Effect
- **3. Compliance Requirements:** The following is the park's assessment of Section 106 process needs and requirements for this undertaking.

### ☐ Standard 36 CFR Part 800 Consultation

Consultation under 36 CFR is needed subsequent to the preparation of this form and its review by appropriate historic resource management advisors.

### ☐ Undertaking related to the 1995 NPS Programmatic Agreement

The above action meets all conditions for a programmatic exclusion under Stipulation IV. A of the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement, and is listed in Stipulation IV. B, as:

<Choose Type of Undertaking>

#### ☐ Plan-Related Undertaking

Consultation and review of the proposed undertaking were completed in the context of a plan review process, in accordance with the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement and 36 CFR Part 800.

#### ☐ Undertaking Related to Another Agreement

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under a document such as a statewide agreement written in accordance with 37 CFR Part 800.7 or counterpart regulations.

**Agreement**: <Enter Agreement Information>

### ☐ Flood-Recovery Related Undertaking

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the letter-based agreement between the NPS, the State Historic Preservation Office, and the Council for Historic Preservation for "Highwater 97" flood repair and recovery

### ☐ Undertaking Related to the 1999 Yosemite Programmatic Agreement

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the park's 1999 programmatic agreement for planning, design, construction, operations and maintenance; the undertaking meets the stipulations identified in Article VII.C.2.

# Compliance Tracking No. **2006-090** 7 of 7

### 4. Project Stipulations and Conditions

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effects above is consistent with 36 CFR 800 criteria of effect or to mitigate potential adverse effects:

- a. Contact the park Archeologist (Laura Kirn, 209-379-1314) when project specifications are available, and at least two weeks before any ground disturbance would occur, for a determination if archeological monitoring will be necessare.
- b. Contact the Native American Liaison (Jeannette Simons, 209-379-1372) to arrange for consultation with Native Americans when project specifications are available.

### Recommended by Park Section 106 Coordinator:

Name: **Jeannette Simons** 

Title: **Historic Preservation Officer** 

Signature: //Jeannette Simons// Date: 8/28/06

### E. SUPERINTENDENT'S APPROVAL

The proposed work conforms to NPS Management Policies and NPS-28 and I approve the recommendations, stipulations, and conditions noted in Section B of this form.

Signature of Superintendent: //R. Kevin Cann, Acting// Date: 9/11/06

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.