

# United States Department of the Interior

## NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

Yosemite National Park P. O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

#### Memorandum

**To:** Treacy Stone, Project Manager, Yosemite National Park

From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

**Subject:** NEPA and NHPA Clearance: 2017-010 YH 2017 MAINTENANCE RESERVE: Warehouse

Modification for Cash Operations (71330)

The Executive Leadership Team has reviewed the proposed project and completed its environmental assessment documentation, and we have determined the following:

- There will not be any effect on threatened, endangered, or rare species and/or their critical habitat.
- There will be no adverse effect on historical, cultural, or archeological resources.
- There will not be serious or long-term undesirable environmental or visual effects.

The subject proposed project, therefore, is now cleared for all NEPA and NHPA compliance requirements as presented above. Project plans and specifications are approved and construction and/or project implementation can commence.

For complete compliance information see PEPC Project 71330.

//Ronald Borne//_acting for	
Palmer L. Jenkins (Acting Superintendent)	

Enclosure (with attachments)

cc: Statutory Compliance File

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.



# **Categorical Exclusion Form**

Project: 2017-010 YH 2017 MAINTENANCE RESERVE: Warehouse Modification for Cash

Operations

**PEPC Project Number:** 71330

**Description of Action (Project Description):** 

When Yosemite Hospitality assumed the Concession contract at Yosemite in March of 2016, the existing cash operation was moved from its location in the bank building to a temporary location in the general office building. The general office building is scheduled to be closed later in 2017, which necessitates finding a permanent location where money can be shipped to and from, stored, and managed in a secure environment. A secure location to do so is critical to the daily operation of all guest related activities in the Park.

**Yosemite National Park** 

Date: 05/10/2017

This project will relocate the Yosemite Hospitality cash operations from its existing location in the general office building to two non-functioning meat lockers used for storage in the shipping and receiving Warehouse. The remodel will modify the two meat lockers to a secured space for cash operations and a separate storage space for vending. The exterior of the building will remain the same except for the installation of a small lift to enable shipments of bulk coins to be delivered from armored cars to the storage site. Both rooms would be dry walled, with lighting and electrical outlets installed. The cash storage area would have a security alarm system, fire detection, and a small HVAC system installed. This location would become the permanent site for all receipts and change order processing in the park for Yosemite Hospitality operations.

The existing space was used to ship and receive large meat orders, which has not occurred since the 1980's. The space is currently used to store used computer equipment and vending storage.

Yosemite Hospitality intends to contract with a qualified contractor, acceptable to the NPS, to alter the interior space of the two lockers. The project will remove the refrigeration equipment, install drywall on the walls, and install a mini split HVAC system via an exterior compressor placed adjacent to the IT compressors and interior fan coil assemblies. New electrical circuits will be installed from the main panels in the generator room, complete with area lighting for these spaces. Battery operated smoke and CO2 detectors will be added to the spaces. A security alarm and locks will be added to the cash operation area to make it a secure money storage location.

Photo-documentation will be performed before, during and after construction by the contractor and project manager.

#### **Project Locations:**

Mariposa County, CA

**CE Citation:** C.19 Construction or rehabilitation in previously disturbed or developed areas, required to meet health or safety regulations, or to meet requirements for making facilities accessible to the handicapped.

#### **CE Justification:**

Decision: I find that the action fits within the categorical exclusion above. Therefore, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No extraordinary circumstances apply.

**Superintendent:** //Ronald Borne// acting for **Date:** 5/11/17

Palmer L. Jenkins (Acting Superintendent)

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

# **Extraordinary Circumstances:**

If implemented, would the proposal	Yes/No	Notes
<b>A.</b> Have significant impacts on public health or safety?	No	
<b>B.</b> Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds; and other ecologically significant or critical areas?	No	
C. Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA section 102(2)(E))?	No	
<b>D.</b> Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?	No	
<b>E.</b> Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?	No	
<b>F.</b> Have a direct relationship to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?	No	
<b>G.</b> Have significant impacts on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, as determined by either the bureau or office?	No	
<b>H.</b> Have significant impacts on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical Habitat for these species?	No	
<b>I.</b> Violate a federal, state, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?	No	
<b>J.</b> Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (EO 12898)?	No	
<b>K.</b> Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?	No	
<b>L.</b> Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species known to occur in the area or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order 13112)?	No	



**Yosemite National Park** 

Date: 05/10/2017

# **ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING FORM (ESF)**

Updated Sept 2015 per NPS NEPA Handbook

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

**Project Title:** 2017-010 Yosemite Hospitality 2017 MAINTENANCE RESERVE: Warehouse

**Modification for Cash Operations** 

PEPC Project 71330

Number:

**Project Type:** Concessions (CON)

**Project Location:** 

County, State: Mariposa, California

Project Leader: Treacy Stone, Project Manager, Yosemite National Park

## **B. RESOURCE IMPACTS TO CONSIDER:**

Resource	Potential	Potential Issues & Impacts
	for	_
	Impact	
Air	None	
Air Quality		
Biological	None	
Nonnative or		
Exotic Species		
Biological	None	
Species of Special		
Concern or Their		
Habitat		
Biological	None	
Vegetation		
Biological	None	
Wildlife and/or		
Wildlife Habitat		
including		
terrestrial and		
aquatic species		
Cultural	None	
Archeological		
Resources		
Cultural	None	
Cultural		
Landscapes		
Cultural	None	
Ethnographic		
Resources		

Resource	Potential	Potential Issues & Impacts
	for Impact	
Cultural	None	
Museum		
Collections		
Cultural	None	
Prehistoric/historic		
structures		
Geological	None	
Geologic Features		
Geological	None	
Geologic		
Processes		
Lightscapes	None	
Lightscapes	-	
Other	None	
Human Health and		
Safety		
Other	Potential	This remodel will allow the human resources activities for the
Operational		concessioner to be relocated to the warehouse, allowing them to maintain
- F		operations in Yosemite Valley while the general offices building is
		demolished.
Socioeconomic	None	
Land Use		
Socioeconomic	None	
Minority and low-		
income		
populations, size,		
migration patterns,		
etc.		
Socioeconomic	None	
Socioeconomic		
Soundscapes	None	
Soundscapes		
Viewsheds	None	
Viewsheds		
Visitor Use and	None	
Experience		
Recreation		
Resources		
Visitor Use and	None	
Experience		
Visitor Use and		
Experience		
Water	None	
Floodplains		
Water	None	
Marine or		
Estuarine		
Resources		

Resource	Potential	Potential Issues & Impacts
	for	
	Impact	
Water	None	
Water Quality or		
Quantity		
Water	None	
Wetlands		
Water	None	
Wild and Scenic		
River		
Wilderness	None	
Wilderness		
Pagammandad:		

## **Recommended:**

Compliance Specialists	Date
//Krisin Anderson// Compliance Specialist – Kristin Anderson	_5/10/17
//Madelyn Ruffner// Compliance Program Manager – Madelyn Ruffner	_5/10/17
_//Ron Gaunt// Chief, Project Management – Ron Gaunt	_5/11/17

# Approved:

Superintendent	Date
//Ronald Borne// acting for	_5/11/17
Palmer L. Jenkins (Acting Superintendent)	

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

#### Yosemite National Park Date: 05/10/2017

# ASSESSMENT OF ACTIONS HAVING AN EFFECT ON HISTORIC PROPERTIES

#### A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

1. Park: Yosemite National Park

# 2. Project Description:

Project Name: 2017-010 Yosemite Hospitality 2017 MAINTENANCE RESERVE: Warehouse

Modification for Cash Operations

Prepared by: Kristin Anderson Date Prepared: 05/09/2017 Telephone: 209-379-1002

PEPC Project Number: 71330 Locations: Mariposa, CA

### Area of potential effects (as defined in 36 CFR 800.16[d])

Yosemite Valley Historic District, Yosemite Valley Archeological District

3.	Has	the a	rea of	potential	effects	heen	surveyed	to	identify	historic	pro	nerties'	?
$\sim$	1143	uic a	ı ca vı	potential	CIICUS	DCCII	Bui ICICu	w	iuciiui v	III STOLIC	DI U	DCI LICS	•

No
X Yes
Source or reference:

#### 4. Potentially Affected Resource(s):

## 5. The proposed action will: (check as many as apply)

No	Destroy, remove, or alter features/elements from a historic structure
No	Replace historic features/elements in kind
No	Add non-historic features/elements to a historic structure
No	Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (inc. terrain)
No	Add non-historic features/elements (inc. visual, audible, or atmospheric) to a historic setting or cultural landscape
No	Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible
No	Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic resources inaccessible
No	Potentially affect presently unidentified cultural resources
	Begin or contribute to deterioration of historic features, terrain, setting, landscape elements,
No	or archeological or ethnographic resources
No	Involve a real property transaction (exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures)

Assessment of Effect Form - YH 2017 MAINTENANCE RESERVE: Warehouse Modification for Cash Operations - PEPC

Other (please specify):
6. Supporting Study Data: (Attach if feasible; if action is in a plan, EA or EIS, give name and project or page number.)
<b>B. REVIEWS BY CULTURAL RESOURCE SPECIALISTS</b> The park 106 coordinator requested review by the park's cultural resource specialist/advisors as indicated by check-off boxes or as follows:
[ X ] 106 Advisor  Name: Kimball Koch  Date: 05/09/2017  Check if project does not involve ground disturbance [ X ]
Assessment of Effect: No Potential to Cause Effect No Historic Properties AffectedX No Adverse Effect Adverse Effect Streamlined Review
Recommendations for conditions or stipulations:  Doc Method: Park Specific or Other Programmatic Agreement
[ X ] Anthropologist Name: Eirik Thorsgard Date: 05/09/2017 Check if project does not involve ground disturbance [ X ]
Assessment of Effect: No Potential to Cause Effect No Historic Properties AffectedX No Adverse Effect Adverse Effect Streamlined Review
Recommendations for conditions or stipulations:  Doc Method: Park Specific or Other Programmatic Agreement
[ X ] Archeologist Name: Sara Dolan Date: 05/09/2017 Check if project does not involve ground disturbance [ ]
Assessment of Effect: No Potential to Cause Effect No Historic Properties AffectedX No Adverse Effect Adverse Effect Streamlined Review
Recommendations for conditions or stipulations:  Doc Method: Park Specific or Other Programmatic Agreement
[ X ] Historian Name: Scott Carpenter Date: 05/09/2017 Check if project does not involve ground disturbance [ X ]
Assessment of Effect: No Potential to Cause Effect No Historic Properties AffectedX No Adverse Effect Adverse Effect Streamlined Review
Recommendations for conditions or stipulations:
Assessment of Effect Form - YH 2017 MAINTENANCE RESERVE: Warehouse Modification for Cash Operations - PEPC

ID: 71330

Doc Method: Park Specific or Other Programmatic Agreement
[ X ] Historical Landscape Architect Name: Kimball Koch Date: 05/09/2017 Check if project does not involve ground disturbance [ X ]
Assessment of Effect: No Potential to Cause Effect No Historic Properties AffectedX No Adverse Effect Adverse Effect Streamlined Review
Recommendations for conditions or stipulations:  Doc Method: Park Specific or Other Programmatic Agreement
No Reviews From: Curator, Historical Architect, Other Advisor
C. PARK SECTION 106 COORDINATOR'S REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS
1. Assessment of Effect:
No Potential to Cause Effects
No Historic Properties Affected
X No Adverse Effect
Adverse Effect
2. Documentation Method:
[ ] A. STANDARD 36 CFR PART 800 CONSULTATION
Further consultation under 36 CFR Part 800 is needed.
[ ] B. STREAMLINED REVIEW UNDER THE 2008 SERVICEWIDE PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT (PA)
The above action meets all conditions for a streamlined review under section III of the 2008 Servicewide PA
for Section 106 compliance.
APPLICABLE STREAMLINED REVIEW Criteria (Specify 1-16 of the list of streamlined review criteria.)
[ ] C. PLAN-RELATED UNDERTAKING
Consultation and review of the proposed undertaking were completed in the context of a plan review process, in accordance with the 2008 Servicewide PA and 36 CFR Part 800. Specify plan/EA/EIS:
[ X ] D. UNDERTAKING RELATED TO ANOTHER AGREEMENT
The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under another document such as a statewide agreement established in accord with 36 CFR 800.7 or counterpart regulations.  1999 Parkwide PA as amended in 2014 and 2016
[ ] E. COMBINED NEPA/NHPA Document
Documentation is required for the preparation of an EA/FONSI or an EIS/ROD has been developed and used so as also to meet the requirements of 36 CFR 800.3 through 800.6  [ ] G. Memo to SHPO/THPO [ ] H. Memo to ACHP
SHPO/THPO Notes:

# 3. Additional Consulting Parties Information:

Assessment of Effect Form - YH 2017 MAINTENANCE RESERVE: Warehouse Modification for Cash Operations - PEPC

Additional Consulting Parties: No

## 4. Stipulations and Conditions:

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effect above is consistent with 36 CFR Part 800 criteria of effect or to avoid or reduce potential adverse effects.

## **5. Mitigations/Treatment Measures:**

Measures to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of historic/prehistoric properties: (Remember that setting, location, and use may be relevant.)

No Assessment of Effect mitigations identified.

_			~-~~	~~~~
1)	RECOMMENDED	RVPARK	CHTTIAN 106	TYMADININ ATTAR.
v.		DIIANN		

Historic Preserva	tion Officer:		
Kimball Koch _//I	Kimball Koch//	<b>Date:</b> 5/10/17	_
The proposed wo	have reviewed and approve the recon	Policies and Cultural Resource Management Imendations, stipulations, or conditions not	
Superintendent:	//Ronald Borne// acting for Palmer L. Jenkins	<b>Date:</b> 5/11/17	_
		document is on file at the and Compliance Office in	

