

IN REPLY REFER TO: L7615(YOSE-PM)

## **United States Department of the Interior**

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P. O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

#### Memorandum

**To:** Joseph Llewellyn, Project Manager, Yosemite National Park

From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: NEPA and NHPA Clearance: 2017-007 El Portal Adams Residential Remodel (65631)

The Executive Leadership Team has reviewed the proposed project/action and completed its environmental assessment documentation, and we have determined the following:

- There will not be any effect on threatened, endangered, or rare species and/or their critical habitat.
- There will be no adverse effect on historical, cultural, or archeological resources.
- There will not be serious or long-term undesirable environmental or visual effects.

The subject proposed project, therefore, is now cleared for all NEPA and NHPA compliance requirements as presented above. Project plans and specifications are approved and construction and/or project implementation can commence.

For the proposed project actions to be within compliance requirements during construction and/or project implementation, the following mitigations must be adhered to:

• No mitigations identified.

#### **Recommendations for Conditions or Stipulations:**

Due to the proximity of the house to sensitive cultural resources, staging of equipment and vehicle parking will occur in the driveway and/or previously disturbed parking area/pullout located at the south end of the house

For complete compliance information see PEPC Project 65631.

Palmer L. Jenkins (Acting Superintendent)

Enclosure (with attachments)

cc: Statutory Compliance File

Letter of Compliance Completion - El Portal Ada

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.



National Park Service U.S. Department of the Interior

### **Categorical Exclusion Form**

**Project:** 2017-007 El Portal Adams Residential Remodel **PEPC Project Number:** 65631 **Project Description:** 

The Ansel Adams Gallery recently acquired a residence in El Portal at 9770 Eagle Peak Road. The building is generally in excellent condition; however the exterior siding was fabricated with asbestos fibers. While the asbestos is considered stable, it is our intention to house our staff in as safe an environment as reasonably possible and eliminate the long term potential for employment related asbestos exposure.

This project will:

- Remove the existing siding panels and replace with a fire resistant fiber concrete siding.
- Replace all the existing windows (aluminum frame single pane) with energy efficient windows.
- Inspect and replace insulation as necessary.
- Install new ductless HVAC units in the bedrooms and primary living spaces.

The remodel is limited to the exterior envelope. Doors, roofs, or other portions of the building will not be altered or modified. There will be no changes to the electrical or plumbing unless inspection finds unsafe conditions.

#### **Project Locations:**

Mariposa County, CA

#### Mitigations:

• No mitigations identified.

**CE Citation:** C.3 Routine maintenance and repairs to non-historic structures, facilities, utilities, grounds and trails.

Decision: I find that the action fits within the categorical exclusion above. Therefore, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No extraordinary circumstances apply.

Superintendent: //Palmer L. Jenkins//

**Date:** 4/16/17

Palmer L. Jenkins

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Categorical Exclusion Form - 2017-007 El Portal Adams Residential Remodel - PEPC ID: 65631

#### **Extraordinary Circumstances:**

If implemented, would the proposal	Yes/No	Notes
A. Have significant impacts on public health or safety?	No	
<b>B.</b> Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds; and other ecologically significant or critical areas?	No	
<b>C.</b> Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA section 102(2)(E))?	No	
<b>D.</b> Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?	No	
<b>E.</b> Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?	No	
<b>F.</b> Have a direct relationship to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?	No	
<b>G.</b> Have significant impacts on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, as determined by either the bureau or office?	No	
<b>H.</b> Have significant impacts on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical Habitat for these species?	No	
<b>I.</b> Violate a federal, state, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?	No	
<b>J.</b> Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (EO 12898)?	No	
<b>K.</b> Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?	No	
<b>L.</b> Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species known to occur in the area or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order 13112)?	No	



## **ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING FORM (ESF)**

Updated Sept 2015 per NPS NEPA Handbook

#### A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Title:	2017-007 El Portal Adams Residential Remodel	
<b>PEPC Project Number:</b>	65631	
Project Type:	Repair/Rehabilitation (REHAB)	
Project Location:		
County, State:	Mariposa, California	
Project Leader:	Joseph Llewellyn	

#### **B. RESOURCE IMPACTS TO CONSIDER:**

Resource	Potential for Impact	Potential Issues & Impacts
<b>Air</b> Air Quality	Potential	Temporary air emissions during the house remodel.
<b>Biological</b> Nonnative or Exotic Species	None	
<b>Biological</b> Species of Special Concern or Their Habitat	None	
<b>Biological</b> Vegetation	None	
<b>Biological</b> Wildlife and/or Wildlife Habitat including terrestrial and aquatic species	None	
Cultural Archeological Resources	Potential	The project area is adjacent to a sensitive cultural resource. Due to the proximity of the house to sensitive cultural resources, staging of equipment and vehicle parking will occur in the driveway and/or previously disturbed parking area/pullout located at the south end of the house
<b>Cultural</b> Cultural Landscapes	None	

Environmental Screening Form (ESF) - 2017-007 El Portal Adams Residential Remodel - PEPC ID: 65631

Resource	Potential for Impact	Potential Issues & Impacts
Cultural Ethnographic Resources	Potential	The proposed project is near but will avoid recorded ethnographic resources. Tribal notification was sent via the April Tribal Spreadsheet.
Cultural Museum Collections	None	
Cultural Prehistoric/historic structures	None	
<b>Geological</b> Geologic Features	None	
Geological Geologic Processes	None	
Lightscapes Lightscapes	None	
Other Human Health and Safety	None	
<b>Other</b> Operational	None	
Socioeconomic Land Use	None	
Socioeconomic Minority and low- income populations, size, migration patterns, etc.	None	
Socioeconomic Socioeconomic	None	
Soundscapes Soundscapes	Potential	There will be temporary construction noises during the remodel.
Viewsheds Viewsheds	None	
Visitor Use and Experience Recreation Resources	None	
Visitor Use and Experience Visitor Use and	None	

Environmental Screening Form (ESF) - 2017-007 El Portal Adams Residential Remodel - PEPC ID: 65631

Resource	Potential for Impact	Potential Issues & Impacts
Experience		
Water Floodplains	None	
Water Marine or Estuarine Resources	None	
Water Water Quality or Quantity	None	
Water Wetlands	None	
Water Wild and Scenic River	None	
Wilderness Wilderness	None	

#### **Recommended:**

Compliance Specialists	Date
<u>//Renea Kennec//</u> Compliance Specialist – Renea Kennec	_3/29/17
<u>_//Madelyn Ruffner//</u> Compliance Program Manager – Madelyn Ruffner	_3/31/17
<u>//R. Brad Lewis//</u> Acting Chief, Project Management – Ron Gaunt	4/7/17

#### Approved:

Acting Superintendent	Date
_//Palmer L. Jenkins//	_4/17/17
Palmer L. Jenkins	

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Environmental Screening Form (ESF) – 2017-007 El Portal Adams Residential Remodel - PEPC ID: 65631



# ASSESSMENT OF ACTIONS HAVING AN EFFECT ON HISTORIC PROPERTIES

#### A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

1. Park: Yosemite National Park

#### 2. Project Description:

Project Name: 2017-007 El Portal Adams Residential RemodelPrepared by: Renea KennecDate Prepared: 03/14/2017Telephone: 209-379-1038PEPC Project Number: 65631

Area of potential effects (as defined in 36 CFR 800.16[d]) El Portal Archeological District

#### 3. Has the area of potential effects been surveyed to identify historic properties?

No X Yes Source or reference:

#### 4. Potentially Affected Resources:

Archeological resources affected:
Name and numbers: El Portal Archeological District
NR status: 1 - Listed in Register and documented
Archeological Resources Notes: The house is adjacent to a sensitive cultural resource.

#### **Ethnographic Resources Affected:**

Name and numbers: El Portal Resources of Cultural Significance (un-evaluated) Ethnographic Resources Affected Notes: The project does not have the potential to affect ethnographic resources.

#### **5.** The proposed action will: (check as many as apply)

- No Destroy, remove, or alter features/elements from a historic structure
- No Replace historic features/elements in kind
- No Add non-historic features/elements to a historic structure
- No Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (inc. terrain)
- Add non-historic features/elements (inc. visual, audible, or atmospheric) to a historic setting No or cultural landscape
- No Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible

Assessment of Effect Form - El Portal Adams Residential Remodel - PEPC ID: 65631

No Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic resources inaccessible

Yes Potentially affect presently unidentified cultural resources

Begin or contribute to deterioration of historic features, terrain, setting, landscape elements,

- No or archeological or ethnographic resources
- No Involve a real property transaction (exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures) Other (please specify):

#### 6. Supporting Study Data:

#### (Attach if feasible; if action is in a plan, EA or EIS, give name and project or page number.)

#### **B. REVIEWS BY CULTURAL RESOURCE SPECIALISTS**

## The park 106 coordinator requested review by the park's cultural resource specialist/advisors as indicated by check-off boxes or as follows:

[X] 106 Advisor
 Name: Kimball Koch
 Date: 03/29/2017
 Comments: Per the assessments made by the archeologist and the American Indian liaison, there are no adverse effects to archeological or anthropological resources. No historic structures, landscapes or objects are affected.

Check if project does not involve ground disturbance [ ] Assessment of Effect: \_\_\_\_\_ No Potential to Cause Effect \_\_\_\_\_ No Historic Properties Affected \_\_\_\_\_ X\_ No Adverse Effect \_\_\_\_\_ Adverse Effect \_\_\_\_\_ Streamlined Review Recommendations for conditions or stipulations:

[X] Anthropologist
 Name: Eirik Thorsgard
 Date: 03/20/2017
 Comments: The proposed project is near but will avoid recorded ethnographic resources. Tribal notification was sent via the April Tribal Spreadsheet.

Check if project does not involve ground disturbance [X] Assessment of Effect: \_\_\_\_\_ No Potential to Cause Effect \_\_\_\_\_ No Historic Properties Affected \_\_\_\_\_ X\_ No Adverse Effect \_\_\_\_\_ Adverse Effect \_\_\_\_\_ Streamlined Review Recommendations for conditions or stipulations:

[X] Archeologist Name: Sara Dolan Date: 03/20/2017 Comments: The project area is adjacent to a sensitive cultural resource.

*Check if project does not involve ground disturbance* [X] Assessment of Effect: \_\_\_\_No Potential to Cause Effect \_\_\_\_No Historic Properties Affected \_\_X\_\_No Adverse Effect \_\_\_\_Adverse Effect \_\_\_\_Streamlined Review Recommendations for conditions or stipulations: Due to the proximity of the house to sensitive cultural resources, staging of equipment and vehicle parking will occur in the driveway and/or previously disturbed parking area/pullout located at the south end of the house

Assessment of Effect Form - El Portal Adams Residential Remodel - PEPC ID: 65631

[ X ] Historian Name: Scott Carpenter Date: 03/29/2017

*Check if project does not involve ground disturbance* [ ] Assessment of Effect: \_\_\_\_\_ No Potential to Cause Effect \_\_\_\_\_ X\_\_\_ No Historic Properties Affected \_\_\_\_\_ No Adverse Effect \_\_\_\_\_ Adverse Effect \_\_\_\_\_ Streamlined Review Recommendations for conditions or stipulations:

[ X ] Historical Architect Name: Scott Carpenter Date: 03/29/2017

Check if project does not involve ground disturbance [] Assessment of Effect: \_\_\_\_\_ No Potential to Cause Effect \_\_\_\_\_ No Historic Properties Affected \_\_\_\_\_ No Adverse Effect \_\_\_\_\_ Adverse Effect \_\_\_\_\_ Streamlined Review Recommendations for conditions or stipulations:

[X] Historical Landscape Architect
 Name: Kimball Koch
 Date: 03/27/2017
 Comments: No National Register historic structures, landscapes or objects would be affected by this action.

*Check if project does not involve ground disturbance* [ ] Assessment of Effect: \_\_\_\_\_ No Potential to Cause Effect \_\_\_\_\_ No Historic Properties Affected \_\_\_\_\_ No Adverse Effect \_\_\_\_\_ Adverse Effect \_\_\_\_\_ Streamlined Review Recommendations for conditions or stipulations:

Doc Method: Park Specific or Other Programmatic Agreement

No Reviews From: Curator, Other Advisor

#### C. PARK SECTION 106 COORDINATOR'S REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### **1. Assessment of Effect:**

No Potential to Cause Effects

No Historic Properties Affected

X No Adverse Effect

**Adverse Effect** 

#### 2. Documentation Method:

[ ] A. STANDARD 36 CFR PART 800 CONSULTATION Further consultation under 36 CFR Part 800 is needed.

[ ] B. STREAMLINED REVIEW UNDER THE 2008 SERVICEWIDE PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT (PA)

Assessment of Effect Form - El Portal Adams Residential Remodel - PEPC ID: 65631

The above action meets all conditions for a streamlined review under section III of the 2008 Servicewide PA for Section 106 compliance.

APPLICABLE STREAMLINED REVIEW Criteria (Specify 1-16 of the list of streamlined review criteria.)

#### [] C. PLAN-RELATED UNDERTAKING

Consultation and review of the proposed undertaking were completed in the context of a plan review process, in accordance with the 2008 Servicewide PA and 36 CFR Part 800. Specify plan/EA/EIS:

#### [X] D. UNDERTAKING RELATED TO ANOTHER AGREEMENT

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under another document such as a statewide agreement established in accord with 36 CFR 800.7 or counterpart regulations.

1999 Programmatic Agreement as amended in 2014 and 2016

#### [] E. COMBINED NEPA/NHPA Document

Documentation is required for the preparation of an EA/FONSI or an EIS/ROD has been developed and used so as also to meet the requirements of 36 CFR 800.3 through 800.6

[] G. Memo to SHPO/THPO

[] H. Memo to ACHP

SHPO/THPO Notes:

#### **3. Additional Consulting Parties Information:**

Additional Consulting Parties: No

#### 4. Stipulations and Conditions:

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effect above is consistent with 36 CFR Part 800 criteria of effect or to avoid or reduce potential adverse effects.

#### **5.** Mitigations/Treatment Measures:

Measures to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of historic/prehistoric properties: (Remember that setting, location, and use may be relevant.)

No Assessment of Effect mitigations identified.

#### D. RECOMMENDED BY PARK SECTION 106 COORDINATOR:

#### Park Historic Preservation Officer:

Kimball Koch //Kim

//Kimball Koch//

Date: 3/29/17

#### E. SUPERINTENDENT'S APPROVAL

The proposed work conforms to the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*, and I have reviewed and approve the recommendations, stipulations, or conditions noted in Section C of this form.

Acting Superintendent: //Palmer L. Jenkins//

Palmer L. Jenkins

Date: 4/17/17

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.



## OLD EL PORTAL EAST EL PORTAL

Foresta R 0 A 5650 Highway 140 9760 5666 9770 5674 5611 5692 5696 9765 0 9757 5583 5581 5573 El Portal Play Park Р 9760 973 5567 9758 Granite Rive 9745 9742 9747 9752 Merced 9746 Highway 140 9738 9750 9742 5554 0723 5555 9735 9734 To Old El Portal West Page 09 9738 10921 🗐 10b Shuttle Stop Restrooms  $\boxtimes$ Post Office Fire Hydrant Park Boundary OLD EL PORTAL Gas Meter/Shut Off Aller Campground Picnic area ്റ Bicycle trail 8036 Address EAS⊤ Electric Meter/Shut Off P Parking ۳١ Ĩ. Food service Store Placename Fire Department Connection 10 Yarts Bus Stop Building Name/Number AED ( Telephone Gas station