Categorical Exclusion

(Version: OCT06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-018
PEPC Project Number: 17285

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

cc:

Attachments

Project Proponent

(2)

Title: Yosemite Valley Campground Office Impound Locker Relocation

Location: Yosemite Valley, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Melissa Carter, Business and Revenue Management, Yosemite National Park

B. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis, after careful consideration, under two Categorical Exclusions: DO12 3.4 C(5) - Installation of signs, displays, and kiosks, and C(18) - Installation of fencing enclosures, exclosures, or boundary fencing posing no effect on wildlife migrations.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be negligible or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under **Project Mitigations and Conditions** in **Section I** at the end of the attached *Environmental Screening Form*.

□ Preservation Assessment Form	(YOSE-XXX)
☐ Wilderness Minimum Requiren	nent Analysis
☐ Wild and Scenic River Section	7 Determination
Other:	
C. DECISION	
am familiar, I am categorically exclud	act information in the statutory compliance file, with which I ing the described project from further NEPA analysis. No as in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in
//MJTollefson//	2/1/07
Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent	Date
Original: Statutory Compliance File	The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance

Office in Yosemite National Park.



United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

L7617 (YOSE-PM)

Memorandum

To: Melissa Carter, Project Manager, Business and Revenue Management, Yosemite

National Park

From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2007-018 Yosemite Valley Campground Office Impound Locker

Relocation (PEPC #17285)

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C (5) - Installation of signs, displays, and kiosks, and C (18) - Installation of fencing enclosures, exclosures, or boundary fencing posing no effect on wildlife migrations.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to any conditions that may be stipulated in the enclosed Categorical Exclusion Form and associated documents when implementing this project.

//MJTollefson// 2/1/07 Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent

Enclosure (with attachments)

cc: Statutory Compliance File

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Environmental Screening Form

(Version: NOV06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2007-018**

PEPC Project Number: **17285**

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain)

Other (Explain)

Title: Yosemite Valley Campground Office Impound Locker Relocation

Location: Yosemite Valley, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Melissa Carter, Business and Revenue Management, Yosemite National Park

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

Due to safety concerns for staff and visitors, we propose moving the food and equipment impound locker approximately 25 feet from behind the existing fence adjacent to the Yosemite Valley Campground Office around to the front of the Office. The locker is 71" wide, 79" tall, and 83" long. It is used by Resources Management, Interpretation, Protection, and BRM staff to store food impounded from campsites, vehicles, and lodging to protect wildlife and the property and safety of park visitors. In its current location, the locker is over a seasonal creek which makes it virtually impossible to access during the spring and early summer months. It is also sinking into the mud. Currently there is no lighting, so it is hazardous when using the locker during the night. We propose to move the locker around to the front of the fence adjacent to the Office, which is already a disturbed area, and would construct a fence to screen it from view. By moving it to the new location, we will also be able to illuminate it properly for safety and security. According to Buildings and Grounds staff, additional gravel would be added and the locker placed on pier blocks. Placement would involve some soil disturbance, about 2-3 inches deep, in order to level the locker.

Table B1 – Background Information Yes No N/A **Explanation/Notes** Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list attendees. If no, explain. 2a. Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved П П plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR 2b. Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation. 2c. Is the project consistent with that plan? 2d. Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current? 3a. Are there any interested or affected parties? 3b. Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them? 4a. Are there any affected agencies or tribes? 4b. Has consultation been completed? Table B2 – Environmental Screening Form Attachments (provide Attachment letter—A, B, etc.) **Explanation/Notes** Yes No N/A \boxtimes Maps: 2 required (vicinity map & site map) Vicinity and area maps; see Attachment A. Drawings (e.g., design, construction) 2. $\overline{\boxtimes}$ Site plan drawing; see Attachment B. 3. Site Plans \boxtimes Photographs Project site; see Attachment C.

C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

	e any impacts possible on the following ources?	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc	\boxtimes			Negligible: entails slight site leveling with a maximum disturbance depth of 2-3 inches.
2.	From geohazards		\boxtimes		maximum disturbance depth of 2-3 niches.
3.	Air quality		\boxtimes		
4.	Soundscapes	\boxtimes			Negligible: temporary noise during site preparation and moving the locker with a forklift.
5.	Water quality or quantity		\boxtimes		
6.	Stream flow characteristics				
7.	Marine or estuarine resources				Negligible: will move the locker out of a
8.	Floodplains or wetlands				seasonally flooded area.
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use		\boxtimes		
	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine		\boxtimes		
11.	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat		\boxtimes		
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites	\boxtimes			Yosemite National Park is a World Heritage site; no historic properties would be adversely affected by implementing this project; see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		\boxtimes		
	Unique or important fish or fish habitat		\boxtimes		
15.	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)		\boxtimes		
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.				
17.	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources	\boxtimes			Negligible; the impound locker would be screened from view by a fence.
18.	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources				Mitigated: The assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below, including Conditions 1 and 2.
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure				
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.		\boxtimes		
	Energy resources		\boxtimes		
	Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies		\boxtimes		
23.	Resource, including energy, conservation potential		\boxtimes		
	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.		\boxtimes		
25.	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity		\boxtimes		
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?		\boxtimes		
	nments, Mitigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				

D. MANDATORY CRITERIA

If	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Have material adverse effects on public health or safety?		\boxtimes		Impound locker relocation would eliminate the current hazard to staff during spring flooding; adding lighting would eliminate the current hazard of accessing the locker in the dark of night.
2.	Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?		\boxtimes		Mitigated: The assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below, including Conditions 1 and 2.
	Have highly controversial environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?		\boxtimes		
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?				
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?		\boxtimes		Mitigated: the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?				
9.	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?		\boxtimes		
10.	Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?		\boxtimes		Mitigated: the added lighting could affect the night sky and cultural landscape; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below, including Condition 2.
11.	Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?		\boxtimes		, U
12.	Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?				
13.	Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?		\boxtimes		
14.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?				
15.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?				
16.	Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?				
17.	Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?				
18.	Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?				
	Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?				
Co	omments, Mitigations and Conditions:				

Compliance	Tracking	Number:	2007-018
			4 of 6

E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST

Wi	thin the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes	
1.	Listed or proposed threatened or		\boxtimes	П		
	endangered species (Federal or State)?			ш		
2.	Species of special concern (Federal or		\boxtimes			
2	State)?	_	\boxtimes	$\overline{}$		
3. 4.	Park rare plants or vegetation? Potential habitat for any special-status	ш				
7.	species listed above?		\boxtimes			
If '	'yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-S	Status	Specie	es Che	cklist must be completed and attached.	
	mments, Mitigations and Conditions:		1		*	
1.	None					
F	NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVA	TION	AC	г сиі	FCKI IST	
	thin the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes	
1. 2.	Will there be ground disturbance? Are there any archeological sites?				2-3 inches deep during leveling See the attached XXX.	
3.	Are there any Native American Indian				See the attached AAA.	
٥.	traditional cultural resources?	Ш	\boxtimes			
4.	Is there a historic property (a building,					
	structure, feature, or all or any part of an				Come Come Historia District Vaccorita	
	archeological district or site, or a historic		П		Camp Curry Historic District, Yosemite Valley Historic District, and Yosemite	
	district or site, or any associated landscape		Ш	Ш	Valley Archeological District	
	element) that is listed or eligible for listing				valley Melleological District	
_	on the National Register?					
5.	Is there a National Historic Landmark?	Ш		Ш		
6.	Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of Classified Structures</i> ?		\boxtimes			
7.	Is there any cultural resource requiring an					
, .	evaluation of eligibility as a historic					
	property under NHPA, Section 106, before	Ш	\boxtimes	Ш		
	an affect determination can be made?					
8	Would there be alteration of any historic	_	_	_	The assessment of effect is "No Adverse	
	property or associated landscape element	\boxtimes	Ш	Ш	Effect;" see Conditions 1 and 2, below.	
TC 4	covered by 2-7, above?	- C Tree.	. 4 - C-	(37)		
	'yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment	oi Eiie	ects 10	rm (Y	OSE-XXX) must be completed and attached.	
1.	tigations and Conditions: Construct the new fence tall enough to block	the im	nound	Hocke	er from view	
2.	Design new lighting to comply with the Draf		_			
				<u> </u>		
G.	WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST					
Is t	the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes	
1.	Within designated Wilderness?		\boxtimes			
2.						
_	If "yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness Minimum Requirements Analysis must be completed and attached.					
	tigations and Conditions:					
1.	None					

H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Do	es the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1.	Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? If 'yes'', name the river(s)	\boxtimes			Merced River, Main Stem.		
2.	Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?		\boxtimes				
3.	Potentially affect water quality of the area?		\boxtimes				
4.	Diminish or other wise change the values for which the river was designated as a Wild and Scenic River? If "yes", explain.						
5a.	Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?		\boxtimes				
5b.	If 5a is "yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?			\boxtimes			
5c.	If 5a is "yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?			\boxtimes			
If "	If "yes" to questions 2, 5b, or 5c, then a WSRA Section 7 determination must be completed and attached.						
Mi	tigations and Conditions:		•	•			
1.	None						

I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

Applicable Categorical Exclusion:

Two categorical exclusions were chosen, after careful consideration that there would be no appreciable environmental impacts, to cover this project: DO12 C(5) - Installation of signs, displays, and kiosk, and C(18) - Installation of fencing enclosures, exclosures, or boundary fencing posing no effect on wildlife migrations.

Project Mitigations and Conditions:


- 1. Construct the new fence tall enough to block the impound locker from view.
- 2. Design new lighting to comply with the Draft Yosemite Valley Guidelines for lighting.

This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.


GWColliver	1/24/07
Compliance Specialist	Date
Mark A Butler	1/24/07
Compliance Program Manager	Date
P:ll Dalanav	1/20/07
Bill Delaney	1/30/07
Chief, Project Management	Date

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Attachment A



Map 1 Project Vicinity, Yosemite National Park



Map 2 Project Vicinity, Curry Village & Campgrounds

Attachment B




Figure 1 Sketch of Project Site showing current (old) and proposed (new) location of food impound locker

Attachment C



Photo 1 The Campground Office impound locker



Photo 2 Campground Office, fenced courtyard, and impound locker to the left; the proposed location is to the right, next to the small electric utility vehicle visible behind the tree (see Photo 4)



Photo 3 The impound locker, showing showing flooding during the spring



Photo 4 The proposed location of the locker at the front of the fenced courtyard

Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE XXX)

(Version: AUG06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-038
PEPC Project Number: 17824

A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

Title: Wawona Yosemsite Association Residence (2618 W Bruce) Renovation

Project Location and Area of Potential Effect:

Wawona, Mariposa County, California

2618 W Bruce House

Project Manager: Kathy Dimont, Interpretation, Yosemite National Park

Project Description: The project entails preparing the residence at 2618 W Bruce, Wawona, Yosemite National Park (assigned for use by the Yosemite Association), for occupancy. The work would entail enlarging one bedroom window to comply with fire standards for safe exit, painting the interior of the residence, installing a kitchen range, and seeking counsel on ways to rehabilitate the paint on the building exterior (asbestos siding).

1. Attached Sensitive Information**	Yes	No	Explanation/Source/Notes
a. Maps	\boxtimes		CR GIS
b. Drawings		\boxtimes	
c. Site Plans		\boxtimes	
d. Photographs		\boxtimes	
e. Sample		\boxtimes	
f. List of Materials		\boxtimes	
g. Other (Explain)		\boxtimes	

^{**} Sensitive documents not for duplication or distribution beyond park management, subject matter experts, and the project statutory compliance file.

B. DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Has the Area of Potential Effect been surveyed to identify historic properties? If Yes, provide reference for the Survey (s).	\boxtimes			YOSE 1974B Archeological Survey
	a. Would the proposed action affect a known historic property?				
2.	List all Historic Properties in the Area of	Affec	ted?		Explanation/Notes
	Potential Effect:	Yes	No		Explanation/Notes
	a. none				
	b.	Н	Н		
	c.		Ш		
3	List resources in the Area of Potential	Affec	ted?		
3.	Effect to which American Indians attach				Explanation/Notes
	cultural and religious significance:	Yes	No		*
	a. None				
	b.				
	c.				
_				27/1	
4.	The proposed action will:	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Note
	Destroy, remove, or alter features or elements from a historic structure		\boxtimes		
	• Replace historic features/elements in kind		\boxtimes		
	Add nonhistoric features/elements to a				
	historic structure	Ш	\boxtimes	Ш	
	 Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (including terrain) 				
	• Add nonhistoric features/elements (including visual, audible, or atmospheric) to a historic setting or cultural landscape		\boxtimes		
	 Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain 				
	 Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain 				
	Begin or contribute to the deterioration of historic fabric, terrain, setting, landscape elements, or archeological or ethnographic resources		\boxtimes		
	 Involve a real property transaction affecting historic cultural properties (i.e., the exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures) 		\boxtimes		
	Potentially affect presently unidentified historic resources				
	• Other		\bowtie		

5. Describe any measures that are incorporated as part of this project that will be taken to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of prehistoric or historic fabric, setting, integrity, or data:

Checklist prepared by: Jeannette Simons Date: <u>02 01 07</u>

Title: Historic Preservation Officer

C. SPECIALIST SECTION

Specialists: Your comments here (or attached) show that you have reviewed this proposal for conformity with requirements of *National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106*; with the 1995 *Servicewide Programmatic Agreement* (if applicable); with applicable parts of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*; with the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*; and have given your best professional advice about this project and the issues relevant to the Section 106 process, including identification and evaluation of historic properties and further consultation needs.

Archeologist Comments:	Name: Laura Kirn	Date: 12/13/06
Ground Disturbance Involved Assessment of Effect: "No Effect Recommended Conditions:	Yes: No: 🗌	
Signature of Archeologist://sign	ned original on file//	
Cultural Anthropologist Comments:	Name: Sonny Montague	Date:
Assessment of Effect: <choose b="" e<=""> Recommended Conditions:</choose>	Effect> or write it here >>	
Signature of Cultural Anthropological	gist:	
Curator Comments:	Name: Jonathan Bayless	Date:
Assessment of Effect: <choose b="" e<=""></choose>	Effect> or write it here >>	
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Curator:		

Historian Comments:	Name: Charles Palmer	Date: 12/13/06
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Recommended Conditions:	e Effect''	
Signature of Historian://signed or	iginal on file//	
Historic Architect Comments:	Name: Sueann Brown	Date: 12/13/06
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Recommended Conditions: Signature of Historic Architect: //s		
Historic Landscape Architect Comments:	Name: Daniel Schaible	Date: 12/13/06
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Recommended Conditions:	e Effect''	
Signature of Historic Landscape Are	chitect: //signed original on file//	

Preservation Specialist Comments:	Name: Rod Kennec	Date: 12/13/06							
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Recommended Conditions: Recomm	Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Effect"								
Recommended Conditions. Recomm	ichaea Conditions								
Signature of Preservation Specialist:	//signed original on file//								
Native American Liaison Comments:	Name: Jeannette Simons	Date:							
Assessment of Effect: < Choose Effe	ect> or write it here >>								
Recommended Conditions:									
Signature of Native American Liaiso	on:								
< Enter Specialist Title> Comments:	Name:	Date:							
Assessment of Effect: < Choose Effe	ect> or write it here >>								
Recommended Conditions:									
Signature of <enter specialist's="" td="" title<=""><td>e>:</td><td></td></enter>	e>:								

Compliance Tracking No. 2007-018 7 of 8

D. RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE DIVISION AND PARK 106 COORDINATOR REVIEWS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Review by specialists: The appropriate subject-matter experts have reviewed the project and entered their comments and recommendations in Section C, above.

mo	ınagem	ent policies, standards,	quate: the proposed action is cons guidelines, or US DOI standards of l incorporates measures to avoid A	and guidelines, Rehabilitation of
Re	viewed	l and Accepted by:		
Sig	gnatur	e: //Niki Stephanie Nic Chief of Resources	cholas// Management & Science Division	Date: 12/15/06
2.	Com	pliance Requirements:	The following is the park's assess needs and requirements for this u	-
		Standard 36 CFR Par	rt 800 Consultation	
			FR is needed subsequent to the preparace management advisors.	ration of this form and its review by
	\boxtimes	Undertaking related t	to the 1995 NPS Programmatic A	Agreement
			all conditions for a programmatic excl agreement, and is listed in Stipulation	
		Plan-Related Underta	aking	
			of the proposed undertaking were corith the 1995 NPS programmatic agree	
		Undertaking Related	to Another Agreement	
			g is covered for Section 106 purposes ten in accordance with 37 CFR Part 8	
		Agreement: <enter ag<="" td=""><td>greement Information></td><td></td></enter>	greement Information>	
		Flood-Recovery Relat	ted Undertaking	
		1 1	ng is covered for Section 106 purpose tate Historic Preservation Office, and d repair and recovery	•
		Undertaking Related	to the 1999 Yosemite Programm	natic Agreement
			g is covered for Section 106 purposes design, construction, operations and n l in Article VII.C.2.	

3. Assessment of Effects: No Adverse Effect

4. Project Stipulations and Conditions

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effects above is consistent with 36 CFR 800 criteria of effect or to mitigate potential adverse effects:

- a. New fence should be tall enough to block the impound locker from view
- b. Lighting design should comply with Draft Yosemite Valley Guidelines for lighting.

	Recommended	by	Park	Section	106	Coordinator
--	-------------	----	-------------	----------------	-----	-------------

Name:	Jeannette Simons			
Title:	Historic Preservat	ion Officer		
Signature:	//Jeannette Simons/	//	Date: 12/21/06	
The propos	· ·	NPS Management	Policies and NPS-28 of this	
Signature	of Superintendent:	//MJTollefson//		Date: 2/1/07
		Michael I Tollefs	on	

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.