Categorical Exclusion

(Version: OCT06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-022 PEPC Project Number: 17371

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: South Entrance Park Boundary Marker StabilizationLocation: South Entrance, Mariposa County, CaliforniaProject Manager: Rod Kennec, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

B. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 *C* (4) - *Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.*

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be negligible or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under **Project Mitigations and Conditions** in **Section I** at the end of the attached *Environmental Screening Form*.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked):

Environmental Screening Form

Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE-XXX)

Wilderness Minimum Requirement Analysis

Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Determination

Other:

C. DECISION

On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances or conditions in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in DO12, Section 3.4.

//MJTollefson//

2/1/07 Date

Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent

Original: Statutory Compliance File cc: Project Proponent The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Attachments (2)



United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

IN REPLY REFER TO: L7617 (YOSE-PM)

Memorandum

- To: Rod Kennec, Project Manager, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park
- From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park
- Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2007-022 South Entrance Park Boundary Marker Stabilization (PEPC #17371)

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 *C* (*4*) - *Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.*

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to the conditions stipulated in the enclosed *Categorical Exclusion Form* and associated documents when implementing this project.

//MJTollefson//

Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent

<u>2/1/07</u> Date

Enclosure (with attachments)

cc: Statutory Compliance File

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Environmental Screening Form

Compliance Tracking Number: **2007-022** PEPC Project Number: 17371

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: South Entrance Park Boundary Marker Stabilization Location: South Entrance, Mariposa County, California Project Manager: Rod Kennec, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

The South Entrance Boundary Marker was constructed by the Civilian Conservation Corp between 1938 and 1940. The marker is located at Yosemite's south boundary on State Highway 41. It is constructed of granite stone and serves as an entrance sign and boundary marker. The marker is an integral part of the carefully engineered road system that has been designed to be compatible with the natural landscape and mirrors the rustic style stonework found at the South Entrance Ranger Duplex, South Entrance Station Office and Comfort Station. The structure being addressed with this project has a total surface area of approximately 244 square feet. Stabilization activities for this project would focus on five tasks: 1) removing overgrown vegetation and eroded bank dirt to expose the masonry; 2) cleaning the entire surface utilizing a pressure washer and clean, clear water to expose deteriorated mortar joints; 3) selectively removing deteriorated, failed mortar joints and repoint with appropriate mortar; 4) replacing missing stones and resetting loose, displaced stones; and 5) replacing the missing log and historic sign utilizing the original 1938 construction blueprints. All preservation treatments and project supervision will be accomplished "in-house" by the Yosemite historic preservation team. The Yosemite preservation team project leader will analyze mortar samples to determine proportions of constituent parts and develop a mortar mix that will match the existing in color and texture.

Table B1 – Background Information

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list attendees. If no, explain.	\boxtimes			The park Historic Preservation team.
2a.	Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR				
2b.	Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.		\square		
2c.	Is the project consistent with that plan?				
2d.	Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current?			\boxtimes	
3a.	Are there any interested or affected parties?		\boxtimes		
3b.	Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them?				
4a.	Are there any affected agencies or tribes?		\boxtimes		
4b.	Has consultation been completed?				

Table B2 – Environmental Screening Form Attachments (provide Attachment letter—A, B, etc.)

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Maps: 2 required (vicinity map & site map)	\boxtimes			Park and Project Vicinity Maps; see Attachment A.
2.	Drawings (e.g., design, construction)	\square			Original Boundary Marker design drawing;
	8. (. 8., 8., ,				see Attachment B.
3.	Site Plans		\boxtimes		
4	Dhoto gramha	\square			South Entrance Boundary Marker; see
4.	Photographs				Attachment C.
5.	Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain)		\boxtimes		
6.	Other (Explain)		\boxtimes		

C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

	e any impacts possible on the following ources?	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc		\boxtimes		
2.	From geohazards		\square		
3.	Air quality		\bowtie		
4.	Soundscapes	\square			Negligible: temporary noise during restoration activities.
5.	Water quality or quantity		\boxtimes		
6.	Stream flow characteristics		\boxtimes		
7.	Marine or estuarine resources			\boxtimes	
8.	Floodplains or wetlands		\boxtimes		
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use		\boxtimes		
	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine		\square		
11.	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat		\boxtimes		
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites				Yosemite National Park is a World Heritage site; no historic properties would be adversely affected by implementing this project; see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		\boxtimes		
14.	Unique or important fish or fish habitat		\boxtimes		
15.	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)		\boxtimes		
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.		\square		
17.	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources	\boxtimes			The park visitor experience would be enhanced by the restoration of the boundary marker and entrance sign.
18.	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources				The assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure		\boxtimes		
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.		\boxtimes		
21.	Energy resources		\boxtimes		
22.	Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies		\boxtimes		
23.	Resource, including energy, conservation potential		\boxtimes		
24.	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.		\boxtimes		
25.	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity	\boxtimes			Restoration of the boundary mark and entrance sign would improve the long-term management of thse resources.
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?		\boxtimes		
Cor	nments, Mitigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				

D. MANDATORY CRITERIA

	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
	Have material adverse effects on public health or safety?		\bowtie		
2.	Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?				Mitigated: the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
	Have highly controversial environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?		\boxtimes		
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?				
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?		\boxtimes		Mitigated: the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?				
9.	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act? Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or				
10.	requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?		\boxtimes		
	Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?				
12.	Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?		\square		
13.	Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?		\boxtimes		
14.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?				
15.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?				
16.	Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?				
17.	Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?		\boxtimes		
	Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
	Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?		\boxtimes		
Co 1.	omments, Mitigations and Conditions: None				

E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST

Within the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes			
1. Listed or proposed threatened or endangered species (Federal or State)?		\square					
2. Species of special concern (Federal or State)?		\boxtimes					
3. Park rare plants or vegetation?		\boxtimes					
4. Potential habitat for any special-status species listed above?		\square					
If "yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-Status Species Checklist must be completed and attached.							
Comments, Mitigations and Conditions:							

F. NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT CHECKLIST

Wi	thin the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1.	Will there be ground disturbance?	\boxtimes			Removal of 6-12 inches of sloughing earth, over about a 16 foot square area.		
2.	Are there any archeological sites?		\boxtimes				
3.	Are there any Native American Indian traditional cultural resources?		\square				
4.	Is there a historic property (a building, structure, feature, or all or any part of an archeological district or site, or a historic district or site, or any associated landscape element) that is listed or eligible for listing on the <i>National Register</i> ?				South Entrance Boundary Marker		
5.	Is there a National Historic Landmark?		\boxtimes				
6.	Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of</i> <i>Classified Structures</i> ?				South Entrance Boundary Marker		
7.	Is there any cultural resource requiring an evaluation of eligibility as a historic property under NHPA, Section 106, before an affect determination can be made?						
8	Would there be alteration of any historic property or associated landscape element covered by 2-7, above?	\boxtimes			South Entrance Boundary Marke; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see the attached XXX.		
If '	'yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment of	of Effe	cts fo	rm (Y	OSE-XXX) must be completed and attached.		
Mi	tigations and Conditions:						
1.	1. Notify the park Archeologist (Laura Kirn, 379-1314) if any archeological deposits or materials are exposed.						

G. WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST

Is the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1. Within designated Wilderness?		\boxtimes				
2. Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?		\boxtimes				
If "yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness Minimum Requirements Analysis must be completed and attached.						
Mitigations and Conditions:						
1. None						

H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Does the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1. Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? If 'yes", name the river(s)		\boxtimes		
2. Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?			\square	
3. Potentially affect water quality of the area?			\boxtimes	
 Diminish or other wise change the values for which the river was designated as a Wild and Scenic River? If "yes", explain. 			\boxtimes	
5a. Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?		\boxtimes		
5b. If 5a is "yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?			\boxtimes	
5c. If 5a is "yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?			\boxtimes	
If "yes" to questions 2, 5b, or 5c, then a WSRA S	ection	7 dete	ermina	tion must be completed and attached.
Mitigations and Conditions:				
1. None				

I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

Applicable Categorical Exclusion:

DO12 C(4) - Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.


Project Mitigations and Conditions:

1. Notify the park Archeologist (Laura Kirn, 379-1314) if any archeological deposits or materials are exposed. (Resources Management and Science)

This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.

GWColliver	1/24/07
Compliance Specialist	Date
Mark A Butler	1/24/07
Compliance Program Manager	Date
Bill Delaney	1/31/07
Chief, Project Management	Date

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.



Map 1 Yosemite National Park showing the South Entrance

Attachment B




Figure 1 Original Design Drawing, South Entrance Boundary Marker

Attachment C



Photo 1 South Entrance Boundary Marker showing hole for the missing log that holds the entrance sign



Photo 2 View of the South Entrance Boundary Marker as one enters the park from State Highway 41 – note the missing log and entrance sign

Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE XXX)

(Version: AUG06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-022 PEPC Project Number: 17371

A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

Title: South Entrance Park Boundary Marker Stabilization

Project Location and Area of Potential Effect:

South Entrance, Mariposa County, California

South Entrance Station Historic District

Project Manager: Rod Kennec, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

Project Description: The South Entrance Boundary Marker was constructed by the Civilian Conservation Corp between 1938 and 1940. The marker is located at Yosemite's south boundary on State Highway 41. It is constructed of granite stone and serves as an entrance sign and boundary marker. The marker is an integral part of the carefully engineered road system that has been designed to be compatible with the natural landscape and mirrors the rustic style stonework found at the South Entrance Ranger Duplex, South Entrance Station Office and Comfort Station. The structure being addressed with this project has a total surface area of approximately 244 square feet. Stabilization activities for this project would focus on five tasks: 1) removing overgrown vegetation and eroded bank dirt to expose the masonry; 2) cleaning the entire surface utilizing a pressure washer and clean, clear water to expose deteriorated mortar joints; 3) selectively removing deteriorated, failed mortar joints and repoint with appropriate mortar; 4) replacing missing stones and resetting loose, displaced stones; and 5) replacing the missing log and historic sign utilizing the original 1938 construction blueprints. All preservation treatments and project supervision will be accomplished "in-house" by the Yosemite historic preservation team. The Yosemite preservation team project leader will analyze mortar samples to determine proportions of constituent parts and develop a mortar mix that will match the existing in color and texture.

1. Atta	ached Sensitive Information**	Yes	No	Explanation/Source/Notes
a.	Maps	\boxtimes		CR GIS Map
b.	Drawings		\boxtimes	
с.	Site Plans		\boxtimes	
d.	Photographs		\boxtimes	
e.	Sample		\boxtimes	
f.	List of Materials		\boxtimes	
g.	Other (Explain)		\boxtimes	

** Sensitive documents not for duplication or distribution beyond park management, subject matter experts, and the project statutory compliance file.

B. DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS

	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1. Has the Area of Potential Effect been				
surveyed to identify historic properties?	\boxtimes			South Entrance Station CLI
If Yes, provide reference for the Survey (s).				
a. Would the proposed action affect a		_		
known historic property?	\boxtimes			Boundary Marker Preservation
2. List all Historic Properties in the Area of	Affeo	tod?		
2. East an instoric i roperties in the Area of Potential Effect:				Explanation/Notes
	Yes	No	D	a stiss
a. Boundary Marker		님	Prese	ervation
b.	Ц	Ц		
с.				
3. List resources in the Area of Potential	Affeo	cted?		
Effect to which American Indians attach	Yes	No		Explanation/Notes
cultural and religious significance:				
a. Probable traditional use area	Ц	\square	No e	ffect to access or resources
b.				
C.				
4. The proposed action will:	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Note
 Destroy, remove, or alter features or 		\bowtie		
elements from a historic structure				
Replace historic features/elements in kind	\boxtimes			Preservation
 Add nonhistoric features/elements to a 		\bowtie		
historic structure		\square		
• Alter or remove features/elements of a				
historic setting or environment (including		\boxtimes		
terrain)				
 Add nonhistoric features/elements 				
(including visual, audible, or atmospheric)		\boxtimes		
to a historic setting or cultural landscape				
• Disturb, destroy, or make archeological				
resources inaccessible, or alter associated		\boxtimes		
terrain				
• Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic				
resources inaccessible, or alter associated		\boxtimes		
terrain				
• Begin or contribute to the deterioration of				
historic fabric, terrain, setting, landscape		\square		
elements, or archeological or		\boxtimes		
ethnographic resources				
• Involve a real property transaction				
affecting historic cultural properties (i.e.,				
the exchange, sale, or lease of land or		\boxtimes		
structures)				
• Potentially affect presently unidentified				
historic resources		\bowtie		
• Other		\boxtimes		

5. Describe any measures that are incorporated as part of this project that will be taken to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of prehistoric or historic fabric, setting, integrity, or data:

Checklist prepared by: Jeannette Simons Title: Historic Preservation Officer Date: 01/09/07

C. SPECIALIST SECTION

Specialists: Your comments here (or attached) show that you have reviewed this proposal for conformity with requirements of *National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106*; with the 1995 *Servicewide Programmatic Agreement* (if applicable); with applicable parts of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*; with the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*; and have given your best professional advice about this project and the issues relevant to the Section 106 process, including identification and evaluation of historic properties and further consultation needs.

Archeologist Comments:	Name: Laura Kirn	Date: 1/10/07	
Ground Disturbance Involved Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Recommended Conditions:	Yes: No: D		
Signature of Archeologist: //signed original on file//			
Cultural Anthropologist Comments:	Name: Sonny Montague	Date:	
Assessment of Effect: <choose b="" eff<=""></choose>	ect> or write it here >>		
Recommended Conditions:			
Signature of Cultural Anthropologist:			
Curator Comments:	Name: Jonathan Bayless	Date:	
Assessment of Effect: <choose effect=""> or write it here >></choose>			
Recommended Conditions:			

Signature of Curator:	

Historian	Name: Charles Palmer	Date: 1/10/07
Comments:		
Assessment of Effect: "No Adver	se Effect''	
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Historian: <u>//signed of</u>	original on file//	
Signature of Historian. //signed (singinal on file//	
	N C D	D (1/10/07
Historic Architect	Name: Sueann Brown	Date: 1/10/07
Historic Architect Comments:	Name: Sueann Brown	Date: 1/10/07
Comments:		Date: 1/10/07
Comments: Assessment of Effect: "No Adver		Date: 1/10/07
Comments:		Date: 1/10/07
Comments: Assessment of Effect: "No Adver		Date: 1/10/07

Signature of Historic Architect: //signed original on file//

Historia I andreana Anabitant	Name: Deniel Scheible	Date: 1/10/07
Historic Landscape Architect	Name. Damei Schaidle	Date. 1/10/07
Comments:		
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse	e Effect''	

Recommended Conditions:

Signature of Historic Landscape Architect: //signed original on file//

Preservation Specialist	Name: Rod Kennec	Date: 1/10/07	
Comments:			
Assessment of Effect: "No Advers	na Effort''		
Recommended Conditions: Recom	imended Conditions		
Signature of Preservation Specialis	st: //signed original on file//		
Native American Liaison	Name: Jeannette Simons	Date:	
Comments:			
Assessment of Effect: <choose b="" ef<=""></choose>	fect> or write it here >>		
Recommended Conditions:			
a			
Signature of Native American Liai	son:		
<enter specialist="" title=""></enter>	Name:	Date:	
Comments:			
Assessment of Effect: <choose b="" ef<=""></choose>	fect> or write it here >>		
Recommended Conditions:			
Recommended Conditions:			

Signature of <Enter Specialist's Title>: _____

D. RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE DIVISION AND PARK 106 COORDINATOR REVIEWS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Review by specialists: The appropriate subject-matter experts have reviewed the project and entered their comments and recommendations in Section C, above.

The foregoing assessment is adequate: the proposed action is consistent with all applicable NPS management policies, standards, guidelines, or US DOI standards and guidelines, Rehabilitation of Historic Buildings, or others, and incorporates measures to avoid Adverse Effects.

Reviewed and Accepted by:

Signature:	//Niki Stephanie Nicholas//		1/17/07
-	Chief of Resources Management & Science Division		

2. Compliance Requirements: The following is the park's assessment of Section 106 process needs and requirements for this undertaking.

Standard 36 CFR Part 800 Consultation

Consultation under 36 CFR is needed subsequent to the preparation of this form and its review by appropriate historic resource management advisors.

Undertaking related to the 1995 NPS Programmatic Agreement

The above action meets all conditions for a programmatic exclusion under Stipulation IV. A of the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement, and is listed in Stipulation IV. B, as:

9. Rehabilitation Work (limited to retaining or preserving, protecting or maintaining, & repairing or replacing in kind materials or features, consistent with Secretary of the Interior's Standards and guidelines).

Plan-Related Undertaking

Consultation and review of the proposed undertaking were completed in the context of a plan review process, in accordance with the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement and 36 CFR Part 800.

Undertaking Related to Another Agreement

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under a document such as a statewide agreement written in accordance with 37 CFR Part 800.7 or counterpart regulations.

Agreement: <Enter Agreement Information>

□ Flood-Recovery Related Undertaking

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the letter-based agreement between the NPS, the State Historic Preservation Office, and the Council for Historic Preservation for "Highwater 97" flood repair and recovery

Undertaking Related to the 1999 Yosemite Programmatic Agreement

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the park's 1999 programmatic agreement for planning, design, construction, operations and maintenance; the undertaking meets the stipulations identified in Article VII.C.2.

3. Assessment of Effects: No Adverse Effect

4. Project Stipulations and Conditions

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effects above is consistent with 36 CFR 800 criteria of effect or to mitigate potential adverse effects:

a. Notify park archeologist if archeology deposits through monitoring are exposed.

Recommended by Park Section 106 Coordinator:

Name: Jeannette Simons

Title: Historic Preservation Officer

Signature: //Jeannette Simons// Date: 12/18/06

E. SUPERINTENDENT'S APPROVAL

The proposed work conforms to NPS Management Policies and NPS-28 and I approve the recommendations, stipulations, and conditions noted in Section B of this form.

 Signature of Superintendent:
 //MJTollefson//
 Date:
 2/5/07

 Michael J. Tollefson

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.