Categorical Exclusion

(Version: OCT06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2007-034**PEPC Project Number: **17666**

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: El Portal Road Reconstruction Non-Destructive Data Collection

Location: El Portal, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Mark Husbands, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

B. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 *E* (6) - Non-destructive data collection, inventory (including field, aerial, and satellite surveying and mapping), study, research, and monitoring activities.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be negligible or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under **Project Mitigations and Conditions** in **Section I** at the end of the attached *Environmental Screening Form*.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked):

| Environmental Screening Form | Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE-XXX) | Wilderness Minimum Requirement Analysis | Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Determination | Other:

C. DECISION

On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances or conditions in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in DO12, Section 3.4.

//MJTollefson// 1/26/07
Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent Date

Original: Statutory Compliance File cc: Project Proponent

Attachments (1)

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.



United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

Memorandum

To: Mark Husbands, Project Manager, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2007-034 El Portal Road Reconstruction Non-Destructive Data

Collection

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 E(6) - Non-destructive data collection, inventory (including field, aerial, and satellite surveying and mapping), study, research, and monitoring activities.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable.

Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent	Date
Enclosure (with attachments)	

cc: Statutory Compliance File

//MJTollefson//

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

1/26/07

Environmental Screening Form (Version: NOV06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-034 PEPC Project Number: 17666

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: El Portal Road Reconstruction Non-Destructive Data Collection

Location: Yosemite Valley, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Mark Husbands, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

As part of the preparation of an Environmental Assessment for the El Portal Road Reconstruction, a variety of non-destructive data collection activities, including wildlife surveys, wetland and vegetation surveys, transportation studies, geologic and hydrologic analysis, analysis of historic properties and cultural landscape features, and other nondestructive research activities will be needed. All of the activities proposed in this project would be conducted by park staff or contractors in the El Portal Road Reconstruction project area. Data would be collected by observation, transit, GIS, etc., and no activity would create ground disturbance or disturbance to vegetation or wildlife in excess of that created by a person walking and observing in the project area.

Table B1 - Background Information

		1 65	110	11/71	Explanation/10tes
1.	Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list attendees. If no, explain.	\boxtimes			Project Management staff.
2a.	Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR				
2b.	Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.			\boxtimes	
2c.	Is the project consistent with that plan?			\boxtimes	
2d.	Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current?			\boxtimes	
3a.	Are there any interested or affected parties?		\boxtimes		
3b.	Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them?				
4a.	Are there any affected agencies or tribes?		\boxtimes		
4b.	Has consultation been completed?				
Ta	ble B2 – Environmental Screening Form				
	1	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Maps: 2 required (vicinity map & site map)	\square			Vicinity map; see Attachment A.
2.	Drawings (e.g., design, construction)	Щ			
3.	Site Plans				
4.	Photographs		\boxtimes		
5.	Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain)		\boxtimes		
6.	Other (Explain)		\boxtimes		

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-034 2 of 6

C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

	e any impacts possible on the following	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
	ources?				Data Needed to Determine, Needs
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc		\boxtimes		
2.	From geohazards				
3.	Air quality				
4.	Soundscapes		\boxtimes		
5.	Water quality or quantity		\boxtimes		
6.	Stream flow characteristics		\boxtimes		
7.	Marine or estuarine resources		\boxtimes		
8.	Floodplains or wetlands		\boxtimes		
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use				
	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine		\boxtimes		
11.	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat		\boxtimes		
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites				Yosemite National Park is a World Heritage site; no historic properties would be adversely affected by implimenting this project.
13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		\boxtimes		
14.	Unique or important fish or fish habitat		\boxtimes		
15.	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)		\boxtimes		
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.		\boxtimes		
17.	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources		\boxtimes		
	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources		\boxtimes		
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure		\boxtimes		
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.		\boxtimes		
21.	Energy resources		\boxtimes		
22.	Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies		\boxtimes		
23.	Resource, including energy, conservation potential		\boxtimes		
24.	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.		\boxtimes		
25.	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity				
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?				
	nments, Mitigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				

D. MANDATORY CRITERIA

If	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	1		\boxtimes		
2.	Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?				
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects?	П	\boxtimes		
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?				
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?				
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?				
	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?		\boxtimes		
10.	Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?		\boxtimes		
11.	Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?		\boxtimes		
12.	Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?				
13.	Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?		\boxtimes		
14.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?				
15.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?				
16.	Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?		\boxtimes		
17.	Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?		\boxtimes		
18.	Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?				
	Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?				
C o 1.	omments, Mitigations and Conditions: None				

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-034 4 of 6

E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST

XX75	241 41	X 7	NT.	NT/A	D-4- N1-14- D-4
	thin the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Listed or proposed threatened or endangered species (Federal or State)?				
2.	Species of special concern (Federal or State)?		\boxtimes		
3.	Park rare plants or vegetation?		\boxtimes		
	Potential habitat for any special-status		\square		
	species listed above?	Ш		Ш	
If '	'yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-S	Status	Specie	es Che	cklist must be completed and attached.
Co	mments, Mitigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				
F	NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVA	TION		г сні	FCKI IST
	thin the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Will there be ground disturbance?	H		H	
2.	Are there any archeological sites?		\boxtimes		
3.	Are there any Native American Indian traditional cultural resources?		\boxtimes		
4.	Is there a historic property (a building, structure, feature, or all or any part of an archeological district or site, or a historic district or site, or any associated landscape element) that is listed or eligible for listing on the <i>National Register</i> ?				
5.	Is there a National Historic Landmark?		\boxtimes		
6.	Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of Classified Structures</i> ?				
7.	Is there any cultural resource requiring an evaluation of eligibility as a historic property under NHPA, Section 106, before an affect determination can be made?		\boxtimes		
8	Would there be alteration of any historic property or associated landscape element covered by 2-7, above?				
If '	'yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment	of Effe	ects fo	rm (Yo	OSE-XXX) must be completed and attached.
Mi	tigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				
G.	WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST				
Is	the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Within designated Wilderness?		\boxtimes		
2.	Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?		\boxtimes		
If '	'yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness M	inimun	n Requ	iiremer	nts Analysis must be completed and attached.
Mi	tigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-034 5 of 6

H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Do	es the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? If 'yes', name the river(s)	\boxtimes			Merced River
2.	Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?		\boxtimes		
3.	Potentially affect water quality of the area?		\boxtimes		
4.	Diminish or other wise change the values for which the river was designated as a Wild and Scenic River? If "yes", explain.				
5a.	Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?		\boxtimes		
5b.	If 5a is "yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?		\boxtimes		
5c.	If 5a is "yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?				
If "	yes" to questions 2, 5b, or 5c, then a WSRA Se	ection	7 dete	ermina	tion must be completed and attached.
Mi	tigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-034

I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

Applicable Categorical Exclusion:

DO12 3.4E (6) - Non-destructive data collection, inventory (including field, aerial, and satellite surveying and mapping), study, research, and monitoring activities.

Project Mitigations and Conditions:

1. None

This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.

//Renea Kennec//	1/17/07
Compliance Specialist	Date
//Mark A Butler//	1/24/07
Compliance Program Manager	Date
//Bill Delaney//	1/24/07
Chief, Project Management	Date

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Attachment A

El Portal Road Reconstruction Non-Destructive Data Collection

