

NON-IMPAIRMENT DETERMINATION

SOUTH ENTRANCE FEE STATION RECONFIGURATION

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

ZION NATIONAL PARK
SEPTEMBER 2018

By enacting the NPS Organic Act of 1916 (Organic Act), Congress directed the U.S. Department of the Interior and the National Park Service (NPS) to manage units "to conserve the scenery, natural and historic objects, and wild life in the System units and to provide for the enjoyment of the scenery, natural and historic objects, and wild life in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations" (54 U.S.C. 100101). NPS *Management Policies 2006*, Section 1.4.4, explains the prohibition on impairment of park resources and values:

"While Congress has given the Service the management discretion to allow impacts within parks, that discretion is limited by the statutory requirement (generally enforceable by the federal courts) that the Park Service must leave park resources and values unimpaired unless a particular law directly and specifically provides otherwise. This, the cornerstone of the Organic Act, establishes the primary responsibility of the National Park Service. It ensures that park resources and values will continue to exist in a condition that will allow the American people to have present and future opportunities for enjoyment of them."

An action constitutes impairment when its impacts "harm the integrity of park resources or values, including the opportunities that otherwise will be present for the enjoyment of those resources or values" (NPS 2006, Section 1.4.5). To determine impairment, the NPS must evaluate the "particular resources and values that will be affected; the severity, duration, and timing of the impact; the direct and indirect effects of the impact; and the cumulative effects of the impact in question and other impacts. An impact on any park resource or value may constitute impairment, but an impact would be more likely to constitute an impairment to the extent that it affects a resource or value whose conservation is:

- necessary to fulfill specific purposes identified in the establishing legislation or proclamation of the park;
- key to the natural or cultural integrity of the park or to opportunities for enjoyment of the park; or
- identified in the park's general management plan or other relevant NPS planning documents as being of significance (NPS 2006, Section 1.4.5).

Fundamental resources and values for Zion National Park (ZION) are identified in the enabling legislation for the park, the Foundation for Planning and Management Statement, and the Long Range Interpretive Plan. Based on a review of these documents, the fundamental resources and

values for ZION come from the park's geologic showcase, water shapes and landscapes, convergence of ecoregions, natural resource quality and function, wilderness character, wild and scenic rivers, remnants of humanity's past, opportunities for connection to the resources, preserving and studying the Zion natural and cultural history as well as an engineered way of life. No resources meeting the above criteria were carried forward for detailed analysis in the EA. The single impact topic retained for further analysis was Visitor Use and Experience. Non-impairment determinations are not necessary for human health and safety or visitor use and experience because impairment findings relate back to park resources and values, and these impact topics are not generally considered park resources or values according to the Organic Act.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, as guided by this analysis, good science and scholarship, advice from subject matter experts and others who have relevant knowledge and experience, and the results of public involvement activities, it is the Superintendent's professional judgment that there will be no impairment of park resources and values from implementation of the selected alternative. The NPS has determined that implementation of the selected alternative will not constitute an impairment of the resources or values of ZION. This conclusion is based on consideration of the park's purpose and significance, a thorough analysis of the environmental impacts described in the EA, comments provided by the public and others, and the professional judgment of the decision maker guided by the direction of *NPS Management Policies 2006*.