

# **United States Department of the Interior**

### NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

Yosemite National Park P. O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

To: Josh Keyes, Project Manager, Yosemite National Park

**From:** Mike Reynolds

**Subject:** NEPA and NHPA Clearance: 2018-009 Half Dome Village Pathway Lighting Installation (YH 2018) (PEPC: 79086)

The Superintendent and park interdisciplinary team have reviewed the proposed project and completed an impact analysis and documentation, and have determined the following:

- There will not be any effect on threatened, endangered, or rare species and/or their critical habitat.
- There will be no adverse effect to historic properties.
- There will not be serious or long-term undesirable environmental or visual effects.

The subject proposed project, therefore, is now cleared for all NEPA and NHPA compliance requirements as presented above. Project plans and specifications are approved and construction and/or project implementation can commence.

- Do not remove, injure, or destroy trees or other plants without prior approval. Consult with Contracting Officer prior to removing agreed-on roots and branches that interfere with construction.
- Safety During the construction phase, please secure safety area with orange construction fencing, or similar.

There are no NHPA Recommendations for Conditions or Stipulations identified.

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Superintendent:	// Michael T. Reynolds//	Date:	10/19/18
•	Michael T. Reynolds		



# **Categorical Exclusion Form**

**Project:** 2018-009 Half Dome Village Pathway Lighting Installation (YH 2018)

**PEPC Project Number:** 79086

**Description of Action (Project Description):** 

During the recent work to rehabilitate the Stoneman 819 Cabin, all of the overhead power and overhead pathway lighting in the cabins-with-bath area was removed. No pathway lighting was reinstalled at that time creating a safety hazard for the guests traveling to and from their cabins at night. In order to mitigate the safety hazard, and provide a clear path of travel for park guests, Yosemite Hospitality would like to install pathway lighting around the cabins area.

**Yosemite National Park** 

Date: 10/02/2018

The project undertaking includes the installation of thirteen (13) post-mounted pathway lights in the area of the historic bungalows in Half Dome Village. Pathway lighting is needed to provide a safe path of travel for guests accessing their cabins at night. The proposed lighting would be rustic in nature, complementing the historic character of the village. The number of lights has been minimized to the appropriate level that provides safe visibility at night. The subtle wedge design of the post lights is compatible with the historic character of the Camp Curry Developed Area of the Yosemite Valley Historic District and is consistent with post lights installed elsewhere in Half Dome Village. The lights would be mounted on three-foot tall six-by-six wooden (pine) posts anchored with metal brackets to a concrete base block.

The undertaking includes approximately 380 linear feet of trenching that will be 4-inches wide and 18-inches in depth (hand dug). The proposed trenching is located among historic bungalow cabins 1-16. The area receives heavy traffic from pedestrians and maintenance activities and is denude of vegetation with the exception of the mature pine trees. The proposed trenching is in bare dirt and no vegetation would need to be removed.

Battery-operated lights were considered but the contractor recommended that battery-operated lights are not appropriate for this location because they require a solar back-up which would not work in the forested area at the foot of Glacier Point. This area receives little direct sunlight throughout the year, particularly in winter.

### **Project Locations:**

Mariposa County, CA

### **Mitigation(s):**

- Do not remove, injure, or destroy trees or other plants without prior approval. Consult with Contracting Officer prior to removing agreed-on roots and branches that interfere with construction.
- Safety During the construction phase, please secure safety area with orange construction fencing, or similar.

**CE Citation:** C.6 Installation of navigation aids.

excluding the described	project from further NEPA analysis	. No extraordinary circumstances apply.
Signature		
Superintendent:	//Michael T. Reynolds//	<b>Date:</b> 10/29/18
	Michael T. Reynolds	

Decision: I find that the action fits within the categorical exclusion above. Therefore, I am categorically

# **Extraordinary Circumstances:**

If implemented, would the proposal	Yes/No	Notes
A. Have significant impacts on public health or safety?	No	
<b>B.</b> Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or	No	
cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds; and other ecologically significant or critical areas?		
C. Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA section 102(2)(E))?	No	
<b>D.</b> Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?	No	
<b>E.</b> Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?	No	
<b>F.</b> Have a direct relationship to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?	No	
<b>G.</b> Have significant impacts on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, as determined by either the bureau or office?	No	
<b>H.</b> Have significant impacts on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical Habitat for these species?	No	
I. Violate a federal, state, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?	No	
J. Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (EO 12898)?	No	
<b>K.</b> Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?	No	
L. Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species known to occur in the area or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order 13112)?	No	

### Yosemite National Park Date: 10/02/2018

# **ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING FORM (ESF)**

# **Updated Sept 2015 per NPS NEPA Handbook**

# A. PROJECT INFORMATION

**Project Title:** 2018-009 Half Dome Village Pathway Lighting Installation (YH 2018)

PEPC Project Number: 79086

**Project Type:** Other Maintenance Activities (MNT)

**Project Location:** 

County, State: Mariposa, California

**Project Leader:** Josh Keyes

# **B. RESOURCE IMPACTS TO CONSIDER:**

Resource	Potential for Impact	Potential Issues & Impacts
<b>Air</b> Air Quality	None	
Biological Nonnative or Exotic Species	None	
Biological Species of Special Concern or Their Habitat	None	
Biological Vegetation	None	
Biological Wildlife and/or Wildlife Habitat including terrestrial and aquatic species	None	
Cultural Archeological Resources	None	

Resource	Potential for Impact	Potential Issues & Impacts
Cultural Cultural Landscapes Camp Curry Historic District	Potential	Issue: Introduction of non-historic lights to a historic district.  Impact: Selected lights are the minimum required to safely light the path and are consistent with the park's lighting guidelines. The design has been reviewed by the park's CRM team to ensure that they are consistent with the park's Sense of Place Design Guidelines. The selected light shades are compatible with the historic district.
Cultural Ethnographic Resources	None	
Cultural Museum Collections	None	
Cultural Prehistoric/historic structures	None	
Geological Geologic Features	None	
Geological Geologic Processes	None	
Lightscapes Lightscapes Dark night skies	Potential	Issue: Introduction of pathway lights could affect the park's dark night skies initiative.  Impact: Selection of the wedge light shade design focuses the light to the ground to minimize the effects of light pollution.
Other Human Health and Safety	Potential	Issue: Lack of pathway lighting is a safety risk.  Impact: The number of lights has been minimizes to the extent possible while still providing necessary light to meet visitor safety needs.
Other Operational	None	i c y c
Other Other	None	
Socioeconomic Land Use	None	
Socioeconomic Minority and low- income populations, size, migration patterns, etc.	None	

Resource	Potential for Impact	Potential Issues & Impacts
Socioeconomic Socioeconomic	None	
Soundscapes Soundscapes	None	
Viewsheds Viewsheds	None	
Visitor Use and Experience Recreation Resources	None	
Visitor Use and Experience Visitor Use and Experience	None	(Beneficial impact to visitor safety is addressed above.)
Water Floodplains	None	
Water Marine or Estuarine Resources	None	
Water Water Quality or Quantity	None	
Water Wetlands	None	
Water Wild and Scenic River	None	
Wilderness Wilderness	None	

# ASSESSMENT OF ACTIONS HAVING AN EFFECT ON HISTORIC PROPERTIES A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

**Yosemite National Park** 

Date: 10/02/2018

1. Park: Yosemite National Park

## 2. Project Description:

**Project Name:** 2018-009 Half Dome Village Pathway Lighting Installation (YH 2018) **Prepared by:** Erin Davenport **Date Prepared:** 03/14/2018 **Telephone:** 209-379-1067

PEPC Project Number: 79086 County, State: Mariposa, CA

## Area of potential effects (as defined in 36 CFR 800.16[d])

Camp Curry Historic District; Yosemite Valley Historic District; Yosemite Valley Archeological District

# 3. Has the area of potential effects been surveyed to identify historic properties?

No Yes

# 4. Potentially Affected Resource(s):

### **Archeological Resources Affected:**

**Property Name:** Yosemite Valley Archeological District **NR Status:** 1 – Listed in Register and documented

### **Historical Structures/Resources Affected:** Yes

**Property Name:** Camp Curry Historic District **NR Status:** 1 – Listed in Register and documented

**Property Name:** Yosemite Valley Historic District **NR Status:** 1 – Listed in Register and documented

### **Cultural Landscapes Affected:** Yes

**Property Name:** Camp Curry Historic District **NR Status:** 1 – Listed in Register and documented

### **Ethnographic Resources Affected:** No

### 5. The proposed action will: (check as many as apply)

No Destroy, remove, or alter features/elements from a historic structure

No Replace historic features/elements in kind

No Add non-historic features/elements to a historic structure

No Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (inc. terrain)

Yes Add non-historic features/elements (inc. visual, audible, or atmospheric) to a historic setting or cultural landscape			
No Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible			
No Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic resources inaccessible>			
Yes Potentially affect presently unidentified cultural resources			
No Begin or contribute to deterioration of historic features, terrain, setting, landscape elements, or archeological or ethnographic resources			
No Involve a real property transaction (exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures)			
Other (please specify):			
6. Supporting Study Data: (Attach if feasible; if action is in a plan, EA or EIS, give name and project or page number.)			
A. REVIEWS BY CULTURAL RESOURCE SPECIALISTS			
The park 106 coordinator requested review by the park's cultural resource specialist/advisors as indicated by check-off boxes or as follows:			
[ X ] 106 Advisor Name: Kimball Koch Date: 10/02/2018  Check if project does not involve ground disturbance [ ] Assessment of Effect:No Potential to Cause EffectNo Historic Properties AffectedX_No			
Adverse EffectAdverse EffectStreamlined Review Recommendations for conditions or stipulations:			
Doc Method: Standard 4-Step Process			
[ X ] Anthropologist Name: Scott Carpenter Date: 08/27/2018 Comments: No known historic properties of religious and traditional significance within or near project area. Tribal consultation conducted via August 2018 Tribal Spreadsheet. No comments have been received as of date of this PEPC entry.			
Check if project does not involve ground disturbance [ ] Assessment of Effect:No Potential to Cause EffectX_No Historic Properties AffectedNo Adverse EffectAdverse EffectStreamlined Review Recommendations for conditions or stipulations:			
[ X ] Archeologist Name: Rachel Reckin Date: 08/27/2018			
Check if project does not involve ground disturbance [ ] Assessment of Effect:No Potential to Cause EffectNo Historic Properties AffectedX_No			
Assessment of Effect Form - Half Dome Village Pathway Lighting Installation (YH 2018) - PEPC ID: 79086			

Adverse EffectAdverse EffectStreamlined Review Recommendations for conditions or stipulations:
Doc Method: Standard 4-Step Process
[ X ] Historian Name: Scott Carpenter Date: 08/27/2018
Check if project does not involve ground disturbance [ ] Assessment of Effect:No Potential to Cause EffectNo Historic Properties AffectedX_No Adverse EffectAdverse EffectStreamlined Review Recommendations for conditions or stipulations:
[ X ] Historical Landscape Architect Name: Kimball Koch Date: 08/09/2018
Check if project does not involve ground disturbance [ ]  Assessment of Effect:No Potential to Cause EffectNo Historic Properties AffectedX_No Adverse EffectAdverse EffectStreamlined Review  Recommendations for conditions or stipulations:
Doc Method: Standard 4-Step Process
No Reviews From: Curator, Historical Architect, Other Advisor
B. PARK SECTION 106 COORDINATOR'S REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS
1. Assessment of Effect:
No Potential to Cause Effects
No Historic Properties Affected
X No Adverse Effect
Adverse Effect
2. Documentation Method:
[ X ] A. Standard 36 CFR Part 800 Consultation Further consultation under 36 CFR Part 800 is needed.
3. Consultation Information
SHPO Required: Yes SHPO Sent: May 11, 2018 SHPO Received: Oct 1, 2018

Assessment of Effect Form - Half Dome Village Pathway Lighting Installation (YH 2018) - PEPC ID: 79086

THPO Sent: May THPO Received:	7, 2018	
SHPO/THPO Not effects.	tes: Included on the May tribal spr	eadsheet. SHPO concurred with NPS finding of no adverse
Advisory Cou	nncil Participating: No nncil Notes: onsulting Parties: No	
		d any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the Part 800 criteria of effect or to avoid or reduce potential
_	eatment Measures: Measures to puber that setting, location, and use	revent or minimize loss or impairment of historic/prehistoric may be relevant.)
No Assessm	nent of Effect mitigations identified	1.
6. Assessment of l	Effect Notes:	
C. RECOMMEN	DED BY PARK SECTION 106 (	COORDINATOR:
Kimball Koch	//Kimball Koch//	<b>Date:</b> 10/19/18
D. SUPERINTEN	IDENT'S APPROVAL	
		nt Policies and Cultural Resource Management Guideline, ns, stipulations, or conditions noted in Section C of this
Superintendent:	//Michael T. Reynolds//	10/29/18  Date:
	Michael T. Reynolds	

**THPO Required:** Yes