

# **United States Department of the Interior**

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-046

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577

Yosemite, California 95389

IN REPLY REFER TO: L7617 (YOSE-PM)

#### Memorandum

To: Tom Darcy, Project Manager, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

Superintendent, Yosemite National Park From:

Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2007-046 Yosemite Valley Visitor Center Roof Replacement

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C (3) - Routine maintenance and repairs to non-historic structures, facilities, utilities, grounds, and trails.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to any conditions that may be stipulated in the enclosed Categorical Exclusion Form and associated documents when implementing this project.

//R. Kevin Cann//	
Michael J. Tollefson	Date
Enclosure (with attachments)	
,	The signed original of this document is on file at
cc: Statutory Compliance File	the Environmental Planning and Compliance
	Office in Yosemite National Park.

# **Categorical Exclusion**

(Version: OCT06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-046 PEPC Project Number: 18018

#### A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Yosemite Valley Visitor Center Roof Replacement Location: Yosemite Village, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Tom Darcy, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

#### **B.** COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C (3) - Routine maintenance and repairs to non-historic structures, facilities, utilities, grounds, and trails.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be negligible or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under Project Mitigations and Conditions in Section I at the end of the attached Environmental Screening Form.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked): Environmental Screening Form Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE-XXX) Wilderness Minimum Requirement Analysis ☐ Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Determination Other: C. DECISION

On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances or conditions in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in DO12, Section 3.4.

//R. Kevin Cann//	_04/26/07
Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent	Date

Statutory Compliance File Original: **Project Proponent** cc:

Attachments (2)

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

# **Environmental Screening Form**

(Version: NOV06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2007-046**PEPC Project Number: **18018** 

#### A. PROJECT INFORMATION

**Title:** Yosemite Valley Visitor Center Roof Replacement **Location:** Yosemite Village, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Tom Darcy, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

#### B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

This project will replace the failing roof on the Yosemite Valley Visitor Center. The proposed design is a spray on coating as opposed to the current rolled roof with seams.

- Remove the existing roof and prepare the underlayment.
- Replace skupper drains as needed.
- Secure roof jacks, vents, flashing and any other roof penetration components.
- Caulk and seal all flashing and seams on the parapet wall caps and air conditioning ducting.
- Install two ladder plates on roof for upper and lower roof sections.
- Spray polyurethane foam to entire roof and raise all low areas to limit ponding of water on roof.
- Spray undercoating.
- Add 25 lbs. granuals to add durability to the roof surface.
- Spray a top coat of a standard "Class A" silicone elastomeric coating.

Top coating will be grey to match existing roof color. The Cultural Resources group did not have any concerns. All waste materials will be disposed of in the county landfill.

Ta	ble B1 – Background Information				
		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list attendees. If no, explain.	$\boxtimes$			Facilties Management staff.
2a.	Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR				
2b.	Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.				
2c.	Is the project consistent with that plan?			$\boxtimes$	
2d.	Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current?			$\boxtimes$	
3a.	Are there any interested or affected parties?		$\boxtimes$		
3b.	Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them?				
4a.	Are there any affected agencies or tribes?		$\boxtimes$		
4b.	Has consultation been completed?				
Ta	ble B2 – Environmental Screening Forn	1 Atta Yes	chm No	ents (j N/A	provide Attachment letter—A, B, etc.)  Explanation/Notes
1.	Maps: 2 required (vicinity map & site map)	$\boxtimes$	110	14/11	Vicinity map; see Attachment A.
2.	Drawings (e.g., design, construction)		$\boxtimes$		vicinity map, see recueinment re.
3.	Site Plans	H		Ħ	
4.	Photographs	H		H	
5.	Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain)	H		H	
		H			
6.	Other (Explain)		$\boxtimes$		

## C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

<b>A</b>							
Are any impacts possible on the following resources? Yes No N/.				N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc		$\boxtimes$				
2.	From geohazards		$\boxtimes$				
3.	Air quality	$\boxtimes$			Temporary air emissions during the re-roofing.		
4.	Soundscapes				Temporary construction noises during the re- roofing.		
5.	Water quality or quantity	П	$\boxtimes$				
6.	Stream flow characteristics		$\boxtimes$				
7.	Marine or estuarine resources	ī		$\boxtimes$			
8.	Floodplains or wetlands		$\boxtimes$				
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use						
10.	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine		$\boxtimes$				
11.	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat		$\boxtimes$				
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites				Yosemite National Park is a World Heritage site; no historic properties would be adversely affected by implimenting this project; see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.		
13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		$\boxtimes$				
14.	Unique or important fish or fish habitat		$\boxtimes$				
15.	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)	$\boxtimes$			Negligible; see Condition 2, Section D. Mandatory Criteria, below.		
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.		$\boxtimes$				
17.	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources		$\boxtimes$				
18.	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources	$\boxtimes$			No historic properties would be adversely affected by implementing this project; see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.		
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure						
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.		$\boxtimes$				
21.	Energy resources		$\boxtimes$				
22.	Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies		$\boxtimes$				
23.	Resource, including energy, conservation potential		$\boxtimes$				
24.	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.		$\boxtimes$				
25.	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity	$\boxtimes$			The proposed roof replacement would enhance the park's long-term management of resources.		
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?		$\boxtimes$				
Cor	nments, Mitigations and Conditions:						
1.	None						

## D. MANDATORY CRITERIA

If	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
	Have material adverse effects on public health or safety?		$\boxtimes$		
2.	Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?				Mitigated: see Section F, Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects?		$\boxtimes$		
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?		$\boxtimes$		
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		$\boxtimes$		
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?		$\boxtimes$		
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?		$\boxtimes$		
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?		$\boxtimes$		
9.	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?		$\boxtimes$		
10.	Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?		$\boxtimes$		
11.	Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?		$\boxtimes$		
12.	Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?		$\boxtimes$		
13.	Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?		$\boxtimes$		
14.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?				Mitigated: see Condition 2, below.
15.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?		$\boxtimes$		Mitigated: see Condition 2, below.
16.	Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?		$\boxtimes$		
17.	Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?		$\boxtimes$		
18.	Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?		$\boxtimes$		
19.	Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?				
Co	mments, Mitigations and Conditions:				

1. Ensure that all equipment and materials brought into the park are free of non-native, invasive plants and animals, and noxious weeds. All staff working on site shall be informed of and follow best management practices for preventing the introduction and spread of non-native, invasive species as described in Division 1 Specifications, Section 1355.

E.	E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST						
W	ithin the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1.	Listed or proposed threatened or	П	$\boxtimes$	П			
2.	endangered species (Federal or State)? Species of special concern (Federal or						
۷.	State)?		$\boxtimes$				
3.	Park rare plants or vegetation?		$\boxtimes$				
4.	Potential habitat for any special-status			$\Box$			
	species listed above?						
_	"yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-	Status	Speci	es Che	cklist must be completed and attached.		
1.	omments, Mitigations and Conditions: None						
1.	None						
10	NATIONAL WIGHORG PROGRAM	TION:		E 0111	DOWN YOR		
	NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVA						
	ithin the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1. 2.	Will there be ground disturbance? Are there any archeological sites?						
3.	Are there any Native American Indian		_				
	traditional cultural resources?	Ш	$\boxtimes$	Ш			
4.	Is there a historic property (a building,						
	structure, feature, or all or any part of an				Yosemite Valley Historic District; the		
	archeological district or site, or a historic district or site, or any associated landscape				assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;"		
	element) that is listed or eligible for listing				see the attached XXX.		
	on the <i>National Register</i> ?						
5.	Is there a National Historic Landmark?		$\boxtimes$				
6.	Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of</i>	П	$\boxtimes$	П			
7	Classified Structures?						
7.	Is there any cultural resource requiring an evaluation of eligibility as a historic		_				
	property under NHPA, Section 106, before		$\boxtimes$	Ш			
	an affect determination can be made?						
8	Would there be alteration of any historic	_	_	_			
	property or associated landscape element	Ш	$\boxtimes$	Ш			
If (	covered by 2-7, above? "yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment	of Eff	ota fa	(V	OSE VVV) must be completed and attached		
	itigations and Conditions:	OI EIIE	ects 10	1111 (10	OSE-AAA) must be completed and attached.		
1.	8	sical S	cienc	e Data	Technician (Katy Warner, 379-3267) to ensure		
	air quality monitoring equipment is not dama				,		
G	. WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST						
Is	the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1.	Within designated Wilderness?		$\boxtimes$				
2.	Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?		$\boxtimes$				
	'yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness M	inimun	n Requ	uiremer	nts Analysis must be completed and attached.		
Mi	itigations and Conditions:						
1.	None						

Yosemite N	ational	Park
<b>Environment</b>	al Scree	nina Form

# H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Does the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1. Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? If 'yes', name the river(s)	$\boxtimes$					
2. Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?		$\boxtimes$				
3. Potentially affect water quality of the area?		$\boxtimes$				
4. Diminish or other wise change the values for which the river was designated as a Wild and Scenic River? If "yes", explain.						
5a. Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?		$\boxtimes$				
5b. If 5a is "yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?			$\boxtimes$			
5c. If 5a is "yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?			$\boxtimes$			
If "yes" to questions 2, 5b, or 5c, then a WSRA Section 7 determination must be completed and attached.						
Mitigations and Conditions:						
1. None						

#### I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

### **Applicable Categorical Exclusion:**

DO12 3.4 *C* (3) - Routine maintenance and repairs to non-historic structures, facilities, utilities, grounds, and trails.

#### **Project Mitigations and Conditions:**


- 1. Ensure that all equipment and materials brought into the park are free of non-native, invasive plants and animals, and noxious weeds. All staff working on site shall be informed of and follow best management practices for preventing the introduction and spread of non-native, invasive species as described in Division 1 Specifications, Section 1355. (Environmental Planning and Compliance)
- 2. Coordinate roofing replacement with the Physical Science Data Technician (Katy Warner, 379-3267) to ensure air quality monitoring equipment is not damaged. (Resources Management and Science)
- 3. Ensure that the installation of the new Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning unit is coordinated with Project Management (Tom Rogge, 379-1382) prior to the roofing replacement. (Project Management)

This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.

//Elexis Mayer//	04/11/07
Compliance Specialist	Date
//Ann Roberts//	04/23/07
Compliance Program Manager	Date
//Bill Delaney//	04/23/07
Chief, Project Management	Date

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

# Attachment A



Map 1 - Valley Visitor Center, Building #598 (9035)

# **Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE XXX)**

(Version: AUG06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-046
PEPC Project Number: 18018

#### A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

**Title:** Yosemite Valley Visitor Center Roof Replacement

#### **Project Location and Area of Potential Effect:**

Yosemite Village, Mariposa County, California

Yosemite Village Area

Project Manager: Tom Darcy, Facilities Management, Yosemite National Park

**Project Description:** This project will replace the failing roof on the Yosemite Valley Visitor Center. The proposed design is a spray on coating as opposed to the current rolled roof with seams.

- Remove the existing roof and prepare the underlayment
- Replace skupper drains as needed
- Secure roof jacks, vents, flashing and any other roof penetration components
- Caulk and seal all flashing and seams on the parapet wall caps and air conditioning ducting
- Install two ladder plates on roof for upper and lower roof sections
- Spray polyurethane foam to entire roof and raise all low areas to limit ponding of water on roof
- Spray undercoating
- Add 25 lbs. granuals to add durability to the roof surface
- Spray a top coat of a standard "Class A" silicone elastomeric coating

Top coating will be grey to match existing roof color. The Cultural Resources group did not have any concerns. All waste materials will be disposed of in the county landfill.

1. Attached Sensitive Information**	Yes	No	Explanation/Source/Notes
a. Maps		$\boxtimes$	
b. Drawings		$\boxtimes$	
c. Site Plans		$\boxtimes$	
d. Photographs		$\boxtimes$	
e. Sample		$\boxtimes$	
f. List of Materials		$\boxtimes$	
g. Other (Explain)		$\boxtimes$	

<sup>\*\*</sup> Sensitive documents not for duplication or distribution beyond park management, subject matter experts, and the project statutory compliance file.

## **B. DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS**

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Has the Area of Potential Effect been				Yosemite Valley Historic District
	surveyed to identify historic properties?	$\boxtimes$	П		Yosemite Village Historic District
	If Yes, provide reference for the Survey (s).		ш	ш	Tosenine vinage Instolle Bistrict
	a. Would the proposed action affect a		_		
	known historic property?		$\boxtimes$		Visitor Center not a contributing feature.
	known instoric property:				
2	List all Historic Properties in the Area of	Affec	tod?		
4.	Potential Effect:	Yes	No		Explanation/Notes
	a. Yosemite Valley Historic District			No vi	isual impact.
	•	H			•
	b. Yosemite Village Historic District	H		NO VI	isual impact.
	c.	Ш	Ш		
3	List resources in the Area of Potential	Affec	tod?		
3.	Effect to which American Indians attach	Allec	icu.		Explanation/Notes
	cultural and religious significance:	Yes	No		Lapididition (vecs
	a. None	П	$\boxtimes$	APE	is roof - above ground.
	b.	П			č
	c.	T T	П		
4.	The proposed action will:	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Note
	• Destroy, remove, or alter features or		$\boxtimes$		
	elements from a historic structure	ш		ш	
	• Replace historic features/elements in kind		$\boxtimes$		
	<ul> <li>Add nonhistoric features/elements to a</li> </ul>		$\boxtimes$		
	historic structure	Ш		Ш	
	• Alter or remove features/elements of a				
	historic setting or environment (including		$\boxtimes$		
	terrain)				
	<ul> <li>Add nonhistoric features/elements</li> </ul>				
	(including visual, audible, or atmospheric)		$\boxtimes$		
	to a historic setting or cultural landscape				
	• Disturb, destroy, or make archeological				
	resources inaccessible, or alter associated		$\boxtimes$		
	terrain				
	<ul> <li>Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic</li> </ul>				
	resources inaccessible, or alter associated		$\boxtimes$		
	terrain				
	• Begin or contribute to the deterioration of				
	historic fabric, terrain, setting, landscape		$\boxtimes$		
	elements, or archeological or	ш		ш	
	ethnographic resources				
	• Involve a real property transaction				
	affecting historic cultural properties (i.e.,		$\boxtimes$		
	the exchange, sale, or lease of land or	Ш	$\triangle$	Ш	
	structures)				
	<ul> <li>Potentially affect presently unidentified</li> </ul>		$\square$		
	historic resources			Ш	
	• Other		$\boxtimes$		

5. Describe any measures that are incorporated as part of this project that will be taken to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of prehistoric or historic fabric, setting, integrity, or data:

Checklist prepared by: Jeannette Simons Date: <u>3/30/07</u>

**Title:** Historic Preservation Officer

### C. SPECIALIST SECTION

**Specialists**: Your comments here (or attached) show that you have reviewed this proposal for conformity with requirements of *National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106*; with the 1995 *Servicewide Programmatic Agreement* (if applicable); with applicable parts of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*; with the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*; and have given your best professional advice about this project and the issues relevant to the Section 106 process, including identification and evaluation of historic properties and further consultation needs.

Archeologist Comments:	Name: Laura Kirn	Date: 04/02/07
Ground Disturbance Involved Assessment of Effect: Recommended Conditions:	Yes: No: 🖂	
Signature of Archeologist: //Lan	ura Kirn//	
Cultural Anthropologist Comments:	Name: Sonny Montague	Date:
Assessment of Effect:		
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Cultural Anthropolo	gist:	
Curator	Name: Jonathan Bayless	Date:
Comments:		
Assessment of Effect:		
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Curator:		

Historian	Name: Charles Palmer	Date:
Comments:		
Assessment of Effect:		
Recommended Conditions:		
Recommended Conditions.		
Signature of Historian:		
Signature of Historian.		
Historic Architect	Name: Sueann Brown	Date:
Comments:	Name: Sueann brown	Date:
Comments.		
Assessment of Effect:		
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Historic Architect:		
Historical Landscape Architect	Name: Dave Humphrey	Date: 04/02/07
Comments: None		
Assessment of Effect: No Adverse l	Effect	
Recommended Conditions: None		
Signature of Historic Landscape Ar	chitect: <u>//Dave Humphrey//</u>	

Preservation Specialist Comments:	Name: Rod Kennec	Date: 04/02/07
Assessment of Effect: No Adverse I	Effect	
Recommended Conditions: Recomm	mended Conditions	
Signature of Preservation Specialist	: <u>//Rod Kennec//</u>	
Native American Liaison	Name: Jeannette Simons	Date:
Comments:		
Assessment of Effect:		
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Native American Liais	on:	
<enter specialist="" title=""></enter>	Name:	Date:
Comments:		
Assessment of Effect:		
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of <enter specialist's="" td="" titl<=""><td>e&gt;:</td><td></td></enter>	e>:	

# D. RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE DIVISION AND PARK 106 COORDINATOR REVIEWS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

**1. Review by specialists:** The appropriate subject-matter experts have reviewed the project and entered their comments and recommendations in Section C, above.

The foregoing assessment is adequate: the proposed action is consistent with all applicable NPS management policies, standards, guidelines, or US DOI standards and guidelines, Rehabilitation of Historic Buildings, or others, and incorporates measures to avoid Adverse Effects.

Re	viewed	and Accepted by:
Sig	nature	e: _//Niki Nicholas// Date: _04/08/07
	,	Chief of Resources Management & Science Division
2.	Comp	<b>pliance Requirements:</b> The following is the park's assessment of Section 106 process needs and requirements for this undertaking.
		Standard 36 CFR Part 800 Consultation
		Consultation under 36 CFR is needed subsequent to the preparation of this form and its review by appropriate historic resource management advisors.
		Undertaking related to the 1995 NPS Programmatic Agreement
		The above action meets all conditions for a programmatic exclusion under Stipulation IV. A of the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement, and is listed in Stipulation IV. B, as:
		<choose of="" type="" undertaking=""></choose>
		Plan-Related Undertaking
		Consultation and review of the proposed undertaking were completed in the context of a plan review process, in accordance with the 1995 NPS programmatic agreement and 36 CFR Part 800.
		Undertaking Related to Another Agreement
		The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under a document such as a statewide agreement written in accordance with 37 CFR Part 800.7 or counterpart regulations.
		Agreement: <enter agreement="" information=""></enter>
		Flood-Recovery Related Undertaking
		The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the letter-based agreement between the NPS, the State Historic Preservation Office, and the Council for Historic Preservation for "Highwater 97" flood repair and recovery
	$\boxtimes$	Undertaking Related to the 1999 Yosemite Programmatic Agreement
		The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under the park's 1999 programmatic agreement for planning, design, construction, operations and maintenance; the undertaking meets the stipulations identified in Article VII.C.2.

## 3. Assessment of Effects: No Effect

# 4. Project Stipulations and Conditions

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effects above is consistent with 36 CFR 800 criteria of effect or to mitigate potential adverse effects:

a. None

Recommended by Park Section 106 Coordinator:				
Name:	Jeannette Simons			
Title:	Historic Preservation Officer			
Signature:	<u>//Jeannette//</u> Date: <u>04/26/07</u>			
The propos	INTENDENT'S APPROVAL  red work conforms to NPS Management Policies and NPS-28 and I approve the lations, stipulations, and conditions noted in Section B of this form.			
Signature	of Superintendent: //R. Kevin Cann// Date: 04/26/07  Michael J. Tollefson			

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.