

United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

Memorandum

To: Maurice West, Project Manager, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

Chris Wicks, Project Manager, DNC Parks and Resorts at Yosemite

From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2007-063 Yosemite Village Indian Creek Apartment Balconies and

Stairs Replacement

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C(4) - Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to any conditions that may be stipulated in the enclosed *Categorical Exclusion Form* and associated documents when implementing this project.

//R.Kevin Cann//	_7/19/07
Michael J. Tollefson	Date
Enclosure (with attachments)	
cc: Statutory Compliance File	

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Categorical Exclusion

(Version: OCT06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-063
PEPC Project Number: 18824

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Yosemite Village Indian Creek Apartment Balconies and Stairs Replacement

Location: Yosemite Valley, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Maurice West, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

Project Manager: Chris Wicks, DNC Parks and Resorts at Yosemite

B. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

Environmental Screening Form

Project Proponent

(2)

cc:

Attachments

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C(4) - Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be negligible or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under **Project Mitigations and Conditions** in **Section I** at the end of the attached *Environmental Screening Form*.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked):

∑ Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE	(-XXX)
☐ Wilderness Minimum Requirement And	alysis
☐ Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Deter	mination
Other:	
C. DECISION	
am familiar, I am categorically excluding the	rmation in the statutory compliance file, with which I described project from further NEPA analysis. No O12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in
//R.Kevin Cann//	7/19/07
Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent	Date
Original: Statutory Compliance File	

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Environmental Screening Form

(Version: NOV06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2007-063**

PEPC Project Number: 18824

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Yosemite Village Indian Creek Apartment Balconies and Stairs Replacement

Location: Yosemite Village, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Maurice West, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

Project Manager: Chris Wicks, DNC Parks and Resorts at Yosemite

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

This project will replace existing wood stairs and balcony decks to meet Uniform Building Code (UBC) compliance. The work will include: an engineered design with in-kind materials (wood); complete demolition and removal of three sets of existing stairs and four decks; new support structures; new stair and deck members; new railing, handrails, and balusters meeting UBC compliance; and painting of all, including adjacent walls as needed. During removal and replacement of the stairs, temporary access will be provided by connecting adjacent balcony and stairs with walkway and railings spanning the opening. Construction must be performed by a State of California licensed contractor with sufficient experience to deliver the project as approved for construction. Existing conditions are in an advanced state of deterioration, are hazardous, and are non-code compliant. There have been intermittent repairs but the majority of the wood members on the balcony decks and stairs are old and rotted, making them unsafe to use. Furthermore, poor design and no seismic restraints were installed making them very susceptible to failure in the case of a seismic event.

The basic scope of work for this project includes:

- 1. Remove existing stair units, hand rails, pier blocks, footings and deck materials (3-units).
- 2. Install wall ledgers, concrete footings, wood post, support beams and additional joist per detail provided.
- 3. Install new Douglas Fir 2" x 4" decking with \(\frac{1}{4} \)" spacing between boards and UBC stair systems.
- 4. Patch defects in existing structure where old stair and accessories were removed.
- 5. Paint all work complete (1 coat of primer 2 coats of finish).
- 6. Clean and haul away all debris.

The staging area for construction activities will be located in the Indian Creek Apartments parking area.

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-063

Table B1 - Background Information Yes No N/A **Explanation/Notes** Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list \boxtimes Project Management staff. attendees. If no, explain. 2a. Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved \boxtimes plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR 2b. Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the \boxtimes plan and provide a section or page citation. \boxtimes 2c. Is the project consistent with that plan? 2d. Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current? \boxtimes 3a. Are there any interested or affected parties? 3b. Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them? 4a. Are there any affected agencies or tribes? 4b. Has consultation been completed? Table B2 – Environmental Screening Form Attachments (provide Attachment letter—A, B, etc.) No N/A Explanation/Notes Maps Site map; see Attachment A. 1. Elevation drawings; see Attachment B. 2. Drawings (e.g., design, construction) \boxtimes Site Plans \boxtimes Exisiting conditions; see Attachment C. 4. Photographs Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain) Other (Explain)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-063
3 of 7

C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

Are	Are any impacts possible on the following							
	ources?	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes			
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc	\boxtimes			Pier blocks will be buried 16" deep and measure 12" x 12".			
2.	From geohazards		\boxtimes					
3.	Air quality	\boxtimes			Negligible: temporary air emissions during structure replacement.			
4.	Soundscapes				Negligible: temporary noises during structure replacement.			
5.	Water quality or quantity		\boxtimes					
6.	Stream flow characteristics							
7.	Marine or estuarine resources			\boxtimes				
8.	Floodplains or wetlands		\boxtimes					
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use		\boxtimes					
	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine		\boxtimes					
11.	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat							
					Yosemite National Park is a World Heritage site; no historic properties would be adversely affected			
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites				by implementing this project; see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.			
13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		\boxtimes					
	Unique or important fish or fish habitat		\boxtimes					
	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)				See Section D. Condition 2, Mandatory Criteria, below.			
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.		\boxtimes					
17.	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources		\boxtimes					
18.	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources	\boxtimes			No historic properties would be adversely affected by implementing this project; see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.			
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure		\boxtimes					
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.		\boxtimes					
21.	Energy resources		\boxtimes					
22.	Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies		\boxtimes					
23.	Resource, including energy, conservation potential		\boxtimes					
24.	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.		\boxtimes					
25.	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity							
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?							
Cor	nments, Mitigations and Conditions:							
1.	None							

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-063

D. MANDATORY CRITERIA

If	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Have material adverse effects on public health or safety?		\boxtimes		Mitigated; see condition 1, below.
2.	Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?				Mitigated: see Section F, Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?				
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?				
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?		\boxtimes		
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?		\boxtimes		
	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?		\boxtimes		
10.	Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?		\boxtimes		
11.	Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?		\boxtimes		
12.	Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?				
13.	Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?		\boxtimes		
14.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?				See Section D. Mandatory Criteria, below.
15.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?		\boxtimes		See Section D. Mandatory Criteria, below.
16.	Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?		\boxtimes		
17.	Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?				
18.	Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?				
19.	Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?				
Co	mments, Mitigations and Conditions:				

- 1. Develop and submit a Safety Plan to the park Safety Office (379-1079) for review and approval before proceeding with this work.
- Ensure that all equipment and materials brought into the park are free of non-native, invasive plants and animals, and
 noxious weeds. All staff working on site shall be informed of and follow best management practices for preventing the
 introduction and spread of non-native, invasive species as described in Division 1 Specifications, Section 1355.

Compliance	Tracking	Number:	2007-063
-	_		5 of 7

E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST

	E. SI ECIAL STATUS SI ECIES CHECKEIST							
	hin the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes			
	Listed or proposed threatened or endangered species (Federal or State)?							
2.	Species of special concern (Federal or State)?		\boxtimes					
	Park rare plants or vegetation?	П	\boxtimes	П				
4.	Potential habitat for any special-status species listed above?							
	yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-S	Status	Specie	es Chec	cklist must be completed and attached			
	nments, Mitigations and Conditions:	Julius 1	Specie		exist must be completed and academa.			
	None							
F.	NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVA	TION	AC	Г СНІ	ECKLIST			
Wit	hin the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes			
1.	Will there be ground disturbance?	\boxtimes	П		Pier blocks will be buried 16" deep and			
	Will there be ground disturbance?				measure 12" x 12".			
	Are there any archeological sites?		\boxtimes					
	Are there any Native American Indian	П	\boxtimes	П				
	traditional cultural resources?							
	Is there a historic property (a building, structure, feature, or all or any part of an archeological district or site, or a historic district or site, or any associated landscape element) that is listed or eligible for listing on the <i>National Register</i> ?				Yosemite Valley Historic District; Yosemite Village Historic District; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see the attached XXX.			
	Is there a National Historic Landmark?	П	\boxtimes					
	Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of</i>							
	Classified Structures?		\boxtimes	Ш				
7.	Is there any cultural resource requiring an evaluation of eligibility as a historic property under NHPA, Section 106, before an affect determination can be made?		\boxtimes					
	Would there be alteration of any historic property or associated landscape element							
	covered by 2-7, above?		_	_				
<u>If "</u> y	yes" to any of the above, then an Assessment	of Effe	cts fo	rm (Y	OSE-XXX) must be completed and attached.			
Mit	igations and Conditions:							
	None							
G.	G. WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST							
Is th	he proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes			
	Within designated Wilderness?		\boxtimes					
	Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?							
If "y	If "yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness Minimum Requirements Analysis must be completed and attached.							
Mit	Mitigations and Conditions:							
1.	None							

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-063 6 of 7

H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Do	es the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes		
1.	Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? If 'yes', name the river(s)	\boxtimes			Merced River		
2.	Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?		\boxtimes				
3.	Potentially affect water quality of the area?		\boxtimes				
4.	Diminish or other wise change the values for which the river was designated as a Wild and Scenic River? If "yes", explain.						
5a.	Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?		\boxtimes				
5b.	If 5a is "yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?						
5c.	If 5a is "yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?			\boxtimes			
If "	If "yes" to questions 2, 5b, or 5c, then a WSRA Section 7 determination must be completed and attached.						
Mi	Mitigations and Conditions:						
1.	None						

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

Applicable Categorical Exclusion:

I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

DO12 3.4 C (4) - Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.

Project Mitigations and Conditions:


- 1. Develop and submit a Safety Plan to the park Safety Office (379-1079) for review and approval before proceeding with this work. (Safety Office)
- 2. Ensure that all equipment and materials brought into the park are free of non-native, invasive plants and animals, and noxious weeds. All staff working on site shall be informed of and follow best management practices for preventing the introduction and spread of non-native, invasive species as described in Division 1 Specifications, Section 1355. (Environmental Planning and Compliance Office)

This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.


//Renea Kennec//	7/9/07
Compliance Specialist	Date
//Mark A. Butler// Compliance Program Manager	7/11/07 D ate
//Randall Fong// Chief, Project Management	7/16/07 Date

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-063

Attachment A



Attachment B



Attachment C





Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE XXX)

(Version: AUG06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-063
PEPC Project Number: 18824

A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

Title: Yosemite Village Indian Creek Apartment Balconies and Stairs Replacement

Project Location and Area of Potential Effect:

Yosemite Village, Mariposa County, California

Yosemite Village Historic District

Project Manager: Maurice West, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

Project Manager: Chris Wicks, DNC Parks and Resorts at Yosemite,

Project Description: This project will replace existing wood stairs and balcony decks to meet Uniform Building Code (UBC) compliance. The work will include: an engineered design with in-kind materials (wood); complete demolition and removal of three sets of existing stairs and four decks; new support structures; new stair and deck members; new railing, handrails, and balusters meeting UBC compliance; and painting of all, including adjacent walls as needed. During removal and replacement of the stairs, temporary access will be provided by connecting adjacent balcony and stairs with walkway and railings spanning the opening. Construction must be performed by a State of California licensed contractor with sufficient experience to deliver the project as approved for construction. Existing conditions are in an advanced state of deterioration, are hazardous, and are non-code compliant. There have been intermittent repairs but the majority of the wood members on the balcony decks and stairs are old and rotted, making them unsafe to use. Furthermore, poor design and no seismic restraints were installed making them very susceptible to failure in the case of a seismic event.

The basic scope of work for this project includes:

- 1. Remove existing stair units, hand rails, pier blocks, footings and deck materials (3-units).
- 2. Install wall ledgers, concrete footings, wood post, support beams and additional joist per detail provided.
- 3. Install new Douglas Fir 2" x 4" decking with \(^1\)4" spacing between boards and UBC stair systems.
- 4. Patch defects in existing structure where old stair and accessories were removed.
- 5. Paint all work complete (1 coat of primer 2 coats of finish).
- 6. Clean and haul away all debris.

The staging area for construction activities will be located in the Indian Creek Apartments parking area.

1. Atta	nched Sensitive Information**	Yes	No	Explanation/Source/Notes
a.	Maps		\boxtimes	•
b.	Drawings		\boxtimes	
c.	Site Plans		\boxtimes	
d.	Photographs		\boxtimes	
e.	Sample		\boxtimes	
f.	List of Materials		\boxtimes	
g.	Other (Explain)		\boxtimes	

^{**} Sensitive documents not for duplication or distribution beyond park management, subject matter experts, and the project statutory compliance file.

B. DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Has the Area of Potential Effect been surveyed to identify historic properties? If Yes, provide reference for the Survey (s).	\boxtimes			Yosemite Village Historic District; Yosemite Valley Historic District
	a. Would the proposed action affect a known historic property?				Cultural landscape.
2.	List all Historic Properties in the Area of Potential Effect:	Affec Yes	ted?		Explanation/Notes
	a. Yosemite Village cultural landscape.	\boxtimes		Visua	al impacts.
	b.				
	c.	Ш	Ш		
3.	List resources in the Area of Potential	Affec	ted?		
	Effect to which American Indians attach cultural and religious significance:	Yes	No		Explanation/Notes
	a. None				
	b.				
	C.				
4.	The proposed action will:	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Note
	• Destroy, remove, or alter features or		\boxtimes		
	elements from a historic structure				
	Replace historic features/elements in kind				Materials and style will be compatible with the historic character.
	 Add nonhistoric features/elements to a historic structure 				
	 Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (including terrain) 				
	• Add nonhistoric features/elements (including visual, audible, or atmospheric) to a historic setting or cultural landscape				
	 Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain 				
	• Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain				
	Begin or contribute to the deterioration of historic fabric, terrain, setting, landscape elements, or archeological or ethnographic resources				
	 Involve a real property transaction affecting historic cultural properties (i.e., the exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures) 		\boxtimes		
	Potentially affect presently unidentified historic resources		\boxtimes		
	• Other	П	\boxtimes	П	

5. Describe any measures that are incorporated as part of this project that will be taken to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of prehistoric or historic fabric, setting, integrity, or data:

Checklist prepared by: Jeannette Simons Date: <u>06/08/07</u>

Title: Historic Preservation Officer

5 of 9

C. SPECIALIST SECTION

Specialists: Your comments here (or attached) show that you have reviewed this proposal for conformity with requirements of *National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106*; with the 1995 *Servicewide Programmatic Agreement* (if applicable); with applicable parts of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*; with the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*; and have given your best professional advice about this project and the issues relevant to the Section 106 process, including identification and evaluation of historic properties and further consultation needs.

Archeologist Comments:	Name: Laura Kirn	Date: 6/13/07
Ground Disturbance Involved Assessment of Effect: "No Effect" Recommended Conditions:	Yes: No:	
Signature of Archeologist: _//Laura	Kirn//	
Cultural Anthropologist Comments:	Name: Sonny Montague	Date:
Assessment of Effect: <choose b="" eff<=""> Recommended Conditions:</choose>	ect> or write it here >>	
Signature of Cultural Anthropologis	st:	
Curator Comments:	Name: Jonathan Bayless	Date: 6/13/07
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse	e Effect"	
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Curator: //Jonathan I	Bayless//	

Name: Charles Palmer Date: 6/13/07 Historian Comments: Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Effect" **Recommended Conditions:** Signature of Historian: //Charles Palmer// Name: Sueann Brown **Historic Architect** Date: 6/13/07 Comments: Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Effect" Recommended Conditions: Signature of Historic Architect: //Sueann Brown// **Historical Landscape Architect** Name: **Dave Humphrey** Date: 6/13/07 Comments: Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Effect" Recommended Conditions: Signature of Historic Landscape Architect: __//Daniel Scheible//

Preservation Specialist Comments:	Name: Rod Kennec	Date: 6/13/07
Assessment of Effect: "No Adv	verse Effect''	
Recommended Conditions: Rec	ommended Conditions	
Signature of Preservation Speci	alist: <u>//RB Kennec//</u>	
Native American Liaison Comments:	Name: Jeannette Simons	Date:
Assessment of Effect: <choose< b=""></choose<>	Effect> or write it here >>	
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Native American I	iaison:	
<enter specialist="" title=""> Comments:</enter>	Name:	Date:
Assessment of Effect: <choose< b=""></choose<>	Effect> or write it here >>	
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of <enter specialist's<="" td=""><td>Title>:</td><td></td></enter>	Title>:	

8 of 9

D. RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE DIVISION AND PARK 106 COORDINATOR REVIEWS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Review by specialists: The appropriate subject-matter experts have reviewed the project and entered their comments and recommendations in Section C, above.

The foregoing assessment is adequate: the proposed action is consistent with all applicable NPS

ma	ınagem	ent policies, standards,		andards and guidelines, Rehabilitation of oavoid Adverse Effects.
Re	viewed	d and Accepted by:		
Sig	gnatur	chief of Resources	cholas// Management & Science I	Division Date: <u>6/17/07</u>
2.	Com	pliance Requirements:	The following is the par needs and requirements	k's assessment of Section 106 process for this undertaking.
		Standard 36 CFR Par	rt 800 Consultation	
			FR is needed subsequent to turce management advisors.	he preparation of this form and its review by
	\boxtimes	Undertaking related t	to the 1995 NPS Program	nmatic Agreement
			all conditions for a programma agreement, and is listed in S	natic exclusion under Stipulation IV. A of the stipulation IV. B, as:
				ving, protecting or maintaining, & repairing or h Secretary of the Interior's Standards and
		Plan-Related Underta	aking	
				were completed in the context of a plan review atic agreement and 36 CFR Part 800.
		Undertaking Related	to Another Agreement	
				purposes under a document such as a FR Part 800.7 or counterpart regulations.
		Agreement: <enter ag<="" td=""><td>greement Information></td><td></td></enter>	greement Information>	
		Flood-Recovery Relat	ted Undertaking	
			ate Historic Preservation Of	6 purposes under the letter-based agreement fice, and the Council for Historic Preservation
		Undertaking Related	to the 1999 Yosemite Pr	ogrammatic Agreement
			design, construction, operati	purposes under the park's 1999 programmatic ons and maintenance; the undertaking meets

9 of 9

3. Assessment of Effects: No Adverse Effect

4. Project Stipulations and Conditions

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effects above is consistent with 36 CFR 800 criteria of effect or to mitigate potential adverse effects:

a. None

Recommen	nded by Park Section	on 106 Coordinator	:	
Name:	Jeannette Simons			
Title:	Historic Preservat	tion Officer		
Signature:	//Jeannette Simon	s//	Date: <u>6/20/07</u>	<u>-</u>
The propos	·	PPROVAL NPS Management I and conditions note		
recomment	ianons, supulaitons,	and contamons note	a in Section 2 of in	<i>35 Joint.</i>
Signature	of Superintendent:	//R.Kevin Cann//		Date: <u>7/19/07</u>
		Michael J. Tollefso	n	

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.