

United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

Memorandum

To: Maurice West, Project Manager, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

Chris Wicks, Project Manager, DNC Parks and Resorts at Yosemite

From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2007-082 Parkwide Annual Roof Replacement (Moore and Little

White Cottages)

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C(4) - Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to any conditions that may be stipulated in the enclosed *Categorical Exclusion Form* and associated documents when implementing this project.

//MJTollefeson//	_ 7/2	23/07
Michael J. Tollefson	Da	ate

Enclosure (with attachments)

cc: Statutory Compliance File

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Categorical Exclusion

(Version: OCT06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-082
PEPC Project Number: 19152

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Parkwide Annual Roof Replacement (Moore and Little White Cottages)

Location: Wawona, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Maurice West, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

Project Manager: Chris Wicks, DNC Parks and Resorts at Yosemite

B. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

Environmental Screening Form

☐ Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE-XXX)

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 C(4) - Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be negligible or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under **Project Mitigations and Conditions** in **Section I** at the end of the attached *Environmental Screening Form*.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked):

Wilderness Minimum Requirement A	Analysis
☐ Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Det	termination
Other:	
C. DECISION	
am familiar, I am categorically excluding th	formation in the statutory compliance file, with which I he described project from further NEPA analysis. No DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in
//Cicely Muldoon//	7/23/07
Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent	Date
	The signed original of this document is on file at the

Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Original: Statutory Compliance File

Project Proponent

Attachments (2)

Environmental Screening Form

(Version: NOV06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2007-082**

PEPC Project Number: 19152

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Parkwide Annual Roof Replacement (Moore and Little White Cottages)

Location: Wawona, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Maurice West, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

Project Manager: Chris Wicks, DNC Parks and Resorts at Yosemite

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

The purpose of this project is to install new cedar split wood shingles over existing skip sheeting on Moore and Little White buildings at the Wawona Complex. Shingles to match in look, size, and texture those of original sugar pine shingles. The project will also repair fascia boards and all wood siding located above wood shingles. Complete painting of fascia and siding located above wood shingles will also be done. Work to be performed on the Little White cottage includes the soffit areas as identified and matched with in-kind materials and paint. Delaware North will request the removal of two cedar trees located in close vicinity to the Moore cottage as an aspect of this project. Tree trunks are approximately 2' from the structure and branches overhang the upper roof area. The main staging area will be located at the Wawona back dock area - with satellite areas located in the parking areas nearby the structures. Work to occur during low visitation.

General Scope of Work - (please refer to the project statement for detailed scope.)

- 1. All roofing materials to be split cedar shingles 5" x 24" minimum length, split ½" thick with 10" exposure per manufacturer's warranty, with fire retardant treatment. Materials and installation would meet Cedar Shake and Shingle Bureau requirements.
- 2. The shingle installation pattern will match existing historic sugar pine installation; with the gap between shingles lined up with the center of the shingle in the row below.
- 3. All flashings and metal trims to be copper 28 gauge.
- 4. All wood repairs to be performed with in-kind materials.
- 5. Fasteners to be galvanized for wood materials of proper length to secure a tight fit.
- 6. Copper fasteners to be used on copper materials.
- 7. Delaware North will contact Historic Preservation Team to view workmanship and historic design as project progresses.

Note: The Moore Cottage cupola will be replaced in-kind per the following scope of work. Due to excessive wood rot, a section of the cupola wall system was removed during the project to winterize the Moore roof. The original pieces of cupola material were retained and stored on site in anticipation of replacement during the roof project. The following specification will address the rebuilding of this section. The contractor hired to perform the project will provide a detailed specification for final approval by the park Historical Architect.

- 1. Install a 2' x 4' ledger on the wall 3" below the windowsills;
- 2. Install 2' x 4' sill plate atop of the existing roof rafters 12" from existing wall;
- 3. Construct a 2' x 4' pony wall with studs at 24" on center. Wall top is to terminate 1" below wall ledger;
- 4. Install ¾ " Opposing Strand Board (OSB) from ledger to top of wall;
- 5. Install ½ " OSB board on all pony wall faces;
- 6. Install Bitchathane water protect to all newly constructed surfaces;
- 7. Install custom made siding to match original over wall surface. Prime back side of new siding;

6. Other (Explain)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-082

8. Install copper top flashing from existing wall wrigglet to over the edge of new pony wall and turn down 2" with a drip edge.

Table B1 – Background Information

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list attendees. If no, explain.	\boxtimes			Historic Preservation and History, Architecture and Landscape staff
2a.	Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR				
2b.	Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.				
2c.	Is the project consistent with that plan?			\boxtimes	
2d.	Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current?			\boxtimes	
3a.	Are there any interested or affected parties?		\boxtimes		
3b.	Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them?				
4a.	Are there any affected agencies or tribes?		\boxtimes		
4b.	Has consultation been completed?				
Table B2 – Environmental Screening Form Attachments (provide Attachment letter—A, B, etc.					
		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Maps				Wawona Hotel Layout map; see Attachment A.
2.	Drawings (e.g., design, construction)		\boxtimes		
3.	Site Plans		\boxtimes		
4.	Photographs	\boxtimes			Photos of Little White and Moore cottages; see Attachment B.
5.	Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain)		\boxtimes		

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-082
3 of 7

C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

	Are any impacts possible on the following Yes No N/A Data Needed to Determine/Notes							
	ources?							
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc							
2.	From geohazards		\boxtimes		Maglicikla, tamparany air amissions during roof			
3.	Air quality	\boxtimes			Negligible: temporary air emissions during roof replacement.			
4.	Soundscapes				Negligible: temporary construction noises during roof replacement.			
5.	Water quality or quantity		\boxtimes		•			
6.	Stream flow characteristics		\boxtimes					
7.	Marine or estuarine resources			\boxtimes				
8.	Floodplains or wetlands		\boxtimes					
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use		\boxtimes					
10.	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine		\boxtimes					
11.	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat							
					Yosemite National Park is a World Heritage site;			
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites				no historic properties would be adversely affected by implementing this project; see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.			
13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		\boxtimes		5615 W.			
	Unique or important fish or fish habitat	П	\boxtimes	Ē				
	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)				See Section D. Mandatory Criteria, below.			
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.				The roof replacement would occur during the low visitation months.			
17.	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources		\boxtimes					
18.	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources	\boxtimes			The Wawona Hotel is a National Landmark; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see the attached XXX.			
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure		\boxtimes					
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.		\boxtimes					
21.	Energy resources	П	\boxtimes	П				
22.		П	\boxtimes	Ē				
	Resource, including energy, conservation	_		_				
	potential	Ш	\boxtimes					
	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.		\boxtimes					
25.	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity	\boxtimes			The proposed roof replacement would enhance the park's long-term management of resources.			
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?		\boxtimes					
Cor	nments, Mitigations and Conditions:							
1.	None							

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-082 4 of 7

D. MANDATORY CRITERIA

If	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Have material adverse effects on public health or safety?		\boxtimes		Mitigated; see Condition 1 below.
2.	Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?				The Wawona Hotel is a National Historic Landmark; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
3.			\boxtimes		
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?		\boxtimes		
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?				
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?		\boxtimes		Mitigated; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;" see Section F, National Historic Preservation Act Checklist, below.
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?		\boxtimes		
9.	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?		\boxtimes		
10.	Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?		\boxtimes		
11.	Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?		\boxtimes		
12.	Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?				
13.	Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?		\boxtimes		
14.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?				Mitigated: see Condition 2, below.
15.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?		\boxtimes		Mitigated: see Condition 2, below.
16.	Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?		\boxtimes		
17.	Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?				
	Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?				
	Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?				
CO	omments, Mitigations and Conditions:				

- 1. Submit a Safety Work Plan to the park Safety Officer (Roger Farmer, 379-1079) for review and approval before beginning any onsite work on this project.
- 2. Ensure that all equipment and materials brought into the park are free of non-native, invasive plants and animals, and noxious weeds. All staff working on site shall be informed of and follow best management practices for preventing the introduction and spread of non-native, invasive species as described in Division 1 Specifications, Section 1355.

Compliance	Tracking	Number:	2007-082
-	_		5 of 7

E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST

Wi	thin the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes			
1.			\boxtimes					
2	endangered species (Federal or State)?							
2.	Species of special concern (Federal or State)?		\boxtimes					
3.	Park rare plants or vegetation?	П	\boxtimes	П				
4.	Potential habitat for any special-status		\square					
	species listed above?	ш		Ш				
_	'yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-S	Status	Specie	es Che	cklist must be completed and attached.			
Co	mments, Mitigations and Conditions:							
1.	None							
F.	NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVA	TION	AC	Г СНІ	ECKLIST			
Wi	thin the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes			
1.	Will there be ground disturbance?	Щ						
2.	Are there any archeological sites?		\boxtimes					
3.	Are there any Native American Indian traditional cultural resources?		\boxtimes					
4.	Is there a historic property (a building,							
	structure, feature, or all or any part of an				Wawona Hotel National Landmark; the			
	archeological district or site, or a historic		П	П	assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;"			
	district or site, or any associated landscape		ш		see the attached XXX.			
	element) that is listed or eligible for listing on the <i>National Register</i> ?							
	on the wattoma Register:				Wawona Hotel National Landmark; the			
5.	Is there a National Historic Landmark?	\boxtimes			assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect;"			
					see the attached XXX.			
6.	Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of</i>	\boxtimes	П		The assessment of effect is "No Adverse			
7.	Classified Structures? Is there any cultural resource requiring an				Effect;" see the attached XXX.			
7.	evaluation of eligibility as a historic	_						
	property under NHPA, Section 106, before	Ш	\boxtimes					
	an affect determination can be made?							
8	Would there be alteration of any historic	_		_				
	property or associated landscape element	Ш	\boxtimes	Ш				
If "	covered by 2-7, above? 'yes' to any of the above, then an Assessment of	of Effe	ets fo	rm (V(OSE VVV) must be completed and attached			
	tigations and Conditions:	or Enc	cts 10	1111 (1)	OSE-AAA) must be completed and attached.			
1.	None							
C	C WILDEDNIEGG A CT CHECKLIGT							
	WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST	T 7		B7/4				
	the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes			
1.	Within designated Wilderness? Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?							
-	If "yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness Minimum Requirements Analysis must be completed and attached.							
	tigations and Conditions:		2.090		Job made of completed and accurred.			
	None							

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-082 6 of 7

H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Do	es the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? If 'yes', name the river(s)	\boxtimes			Merced River
2.	Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?		\boxtimes		
3.	Potentially affect water quality of the area?		\boxtimes		
4.	Diminish or other wise change the values for which the river was designated as a Wild and Scenic River? If "yes", explain.				
5a.	Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?		\boxtimes		
5b.	If 5a is "yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?			\boxtimes	
5c.	If 5a is "yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?			\boxtimes	
If "	yes" to questions 2, 5b, or 5c, then a WSRA Se	ection	7 dete	ermina	tion must be completed and attached.
Mi	tigations and Conditions:	•		•	
1.	None				

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-082

I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

Applicable Categorical Exclusion:

DO12 3.4 C (4) - Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities, and grounds if the action falls under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or would not adversely affect the cultural resource.

Project Mitigations and Conditions:


- 1. Submit a Safety Work Plan to the park Safety Officer (Roger Farmer, 379-1079) for review and approval before beginning any onsite work on this project.
- 2. Ensure that all equipment and materials brought into the park are free of non-native, invasive plants and animals, and noxious weeds. All staff working on site shall be informed of and follow best management practices for preventing the introduction and spread of non-native, invasive species as described in Division 1 Specifications, Section 1355.
- 3. Consult with the Park Forester (Brian Mattos, 379-1113) to determine if the trees need to be removed. (Resources Management and Science)

This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.


//Renea Kennec//	7/3/07
Compliance Specialist	Date
//Mark A. Butler// Compliance Program Manager	7/5/07 Date
//Randall Fong//	7/19/07
Chief, Project Management	Date


The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.


Attachment A



Attachment B







Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE XXX)

(Version: AUG06)

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-082
PEPC Project Number: 19152

A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

Title: Parkwide Annual Roof Replacement (Moore and Little White Cottages CIF 4600

Project Location and Area of Potential Effect:

Wawona, Mariposa County, California

Wawona National Historic Landmark

Project Manager: Maurice West, Project Management, Yosemite National Park

Project Manager: Chris Wicks, DNC Parks and Resorts at Yosemite,

Project Description: The purpose of this project is to install new cedar split wood shingles over existing skip sheeting on Moore and Little White buildings at the Wawona Complex. Shingles to match in look, size, and texture those of original sugar pine shingles. The project will also repair fascia boards and all wood siding located above wood shingles. Complete painting of fascia and siding located above wood shingles will also be done. Work to be performed on the Little White cottage includes the soffit areas as identified and matched with in-kind materials and paint.

Delaware North will request the removal of two cedar trees located in close vicinity to the Moore cottage as an aspect of this project. Tree trunks are approximately 2' from the structure and branches overhang the upper roof area.

The main staging area will be located at the Wawona back dock area - with satellite areas located in the parking areas nearby the structures. Work to occur during low visitation.

General Scope of Work - (please refer to the project statement for detailed scope.)

- 1. All roofing materials to be split cedar shingles 5" x 24" minimum length, split ½" thick with 10" exposure per manufacturer's warranty, with fire retardant treatment. Materials and installation would meet Cedar Shake and Shingle Bureau requirements.
- 2. The shingle installation pattern will match existing historic sugar pine installation; with the gap between shingles lined up with the center of the shingle in the row below.
 - 3. All flashings and metal trims to be copper 28 gauge.
 - 4. All wood repairs to be performed with in-kind materials.
 - 5. Fasteners to be galvanized for wood materials of proper length to secure a tight fit.
 - 6. Copper fasteners to be used on copper materials.
- 7. Delaware North will contact Historic Preservation Team to view workmanship and historic design as project progresses.

Note: The Moore Cottage cupola will be replaced in-kind per the following scope of work.

Due to excessive wood rot, a section of the cupola wall system was removed during the project to winterize the Moore roof. The original pieces of cupola material were retained and stored on site in anticipation of replacement during the roof project. The following specification will address the

rebuilding of this section. The contractor hired to perform the project will provide a detailed specification for final approval by the park Historical Architect.

- 1. Install a 2' x 4' ledger on the wall 3" below the windowsills;
- 2. Install 2' x 4' sill plate atop of the existing roof rafters 12" from existing wall;
- 3. Construct a 2' x 4' pony wall with studs at 24" on center. Wall top is to terminate 1" below wall ledger;
 - 4. Install ¾ " Opposing Strand Board (OSB) from ledger to top of wall;
 - 5. Install ½ " OSB board on all pony wall faces;
 - 6. Install Bitchathane water protect to all newly constructed surfaces;
 - 7. Install custom made siding to match original over wall surface. Prime back side of new siding;
- 8. Install copper top flashing from existing wall wrigglet to over the edge of new pony wall and turn down 2" with a drip edge.

1. Attached Sensitive Information**	Yes	No	Explanation/Source/Notes
a. Maps		\boxtimes	
b. Drawings		\boxtimes	
c. Site Plans		\boxtimes	
d. Photographs		\boxtimes	
e. Sample		\boxtimes	
f. List of Materials		\boxtimes	
g. Other (Explain)		\boxtimes	

^{**} Sensitive documents not for duplication or distribution beyond park management, subject matter experts, and the project statutory compliance file.

B. DESCRIPTION OF EFFECTS

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Has the Area of Potential Effect been surveyed to identify historic properties? If Yes, provide reference for the Survey (s).	\boxtimes			Wawona National Historic Landmark nomination form.
	a. Would the proposed action affect a known historic property?				
2.	List all Historic Properties in the Area of Potential Effect:	Affec Yes	ted?		Explanation/Notes
	a. Wawona National Historic Landmark	\boxtimes		Prese	rvation/maintenance.
	b.				
	c.				
3.	List resources in the Area of Potential	Affec	ted?		
	Effect to which American Indians attach cultural and religious significance:	Yes	No		Explanation/Notes
	a. None				
	b.				
	c.				
4.	The proposed action will:	Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Note
	 Destroy, remove, or alter features or elements from a historic structure 				
	Replace historic features/elements in kind				Cedar is not the original material, but it meets the Secetary of the Interior Standards with SHPO and ACHP concurrence. Cedar would replace the original sugar pine.
	Add nonhistoric features/elements to a historic structure				,
	• Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (including terrain)		\boxtimes		
	• Add nonhistoric features/elements (including visual, audible, or atmospheric) to a historic setting or cultural landscape		\boxtimes		
	 Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain 				
	 Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic resources inaccessible, or alter associated terrain 		\boxtimes		
	Begin or contribute to the deterioration of historic fabric, terrain, setting, landscape elements, or archeological or ethnographic resources				
	 Involve a real property transaction affecting historic cultural properties (i.e., the exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures) 				
	Potentially affect presently unidentified				
	historic resources				
	• Other		\boxtimes		

5. Describe any measures that are incorporated as part of this project that will be taken to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of prehistoric or historic fabric, setting, integrity, or data:

Project designed in consultation with Park Historical Architect, and continued oversight as project progresses.

Checklist prepared by: Jeannette Simons Date: <u>06/08/07</u>

Title: Historic Preservation Officer

C. SPECIALIST SECTION

Specialists: Your comments here (or attached) show that you have reviewed this proposal for conformity with requirements of *National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106*; with the 1995 *Servicewide Programmatic Agreement* (if applicable); with applicable parts of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*; with the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*; and have given your best professional advice about this project and the issues relevant to the Section 106 process, including identification and evaluation of historic properties and further consultation needs.

Archeologist Comments:	Name: Laura Kirn	Date: 6/13/07
Ground Disturbance Involved Assessment of Effect: "No Effect" Recommended Conditions:	Yes: No: X	
Signature of Archeologist://Lauro	a Kirn//	
Cultural Anthropologist Comments:	Name: Sonny Montague	Date:
Assessment of Effect: <choose b="" eff<=""> Recommended Conditions:</choose>	ect> or write it here >>	
Signature of Cultural Anthropologis	st:	
Curator Comments:	Name: Jonathan Bayless	Date:
Assessment of Effect: < Choose Eff	ect> or write it here >>	
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Curator:		

Historian Comments:	Name: Charles Palmer	Date: 6/13/07
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse Recommended Conditions:	e Effect''	
Signature of Historian://Charles _	Palmer//	
Historic Architect	Name: Sueann Brown	Date: 6/13/07
Comments:		
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse	e Effect''	
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Historic Architect://	Sueanne Brown//	
Historical Landscape Architect Comments:	t Name: Dave Humphrey	Date: 6/13/07
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse	e Effect''	
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of Historic Landscape Are	chitect: //Daniel Schaible//	
Signature of Installe Ballascape In	- I Divier Service Service Veri	

Preservation Specialist Comments:	Name: Rod Kennec	Date: 6/13/07
Assessment of Effect: "No Adverse	Effect''	
Recommended Conditions: Recomm	nended Conditions	
Signature of Preservation Specialist:	: _//RB Kennec//	
Native American Liaison	Name: Jeannette Simons	Date:
Comments:		
Assessment of Effect: < Choose Effections:	ect> or write it here >>	
Signature of Native American Liaison	on:	
<enter specialist="" title=""> Comments:</enter>	Name:	Date:
Assessment of Effect: < Choose Effe	ect> or write it here >>	
Recommended Conditions:		
Signature of <enter specialist's="" td="" title<=""><td>e>:</td><td></td></enter>	e>:	

D. RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE DIVISION AND PARK 106 COORDINATOR REVIEWS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Review by specialists: The appropriate subject-matter experts have reviewed the project and entered their comments and recommendations in Section C, above.

тc	ınagem	ent policies, standards, g	vuate: the proposed action is consist guidelines, or US DOI standards an l incorporates measures to avoid Ad	nd guidelines, Rehabilitation of
Re	viewe	d and Accepted by:		
Si	gnatur	chief of Resources I	cholas// Management & Science Division	Date: <u>6/17/07</u>
2.	Com	pliance Requirements:	The following is the park's assessmeeds and requirements for this un	_
		Standard 36 CFR Par	t 800 Consultation	
			FR is needed subsequent to the preparaturce management advisors.	ion of this form and its review by
	\boxtimes	Undertaking related to	to the 1995 NPS Programmatic Ag	reement
			ll conditions for a programmatic exclus agreement, and is listed in Stipulation I	
			imited to retaining or preserving, protectls or features, consistent with Secretary	
		Plan-Related Underta	ıking	
			of the proposed undertaking were comp ith the 1995 NPS programmatic agreem	
		Undertaking Related t	to Another Agreement	
			g is covered for Section 106 purposes u ten in accordance with 37 CFR Part 800	
		Agreement : <enter ag<="" td=""><td>greement Information></td><td></td></enter>	greement Information>	
		Flood-Recovery Relate	ted Undertaking	
			ng is covered for Section 106 purposes that Historic Preservation Office, and the drepair and recovery	
		Undertaking Related t	to the 1999 Yosemite Programma	tic Agreement
			g is covered for Section 106 purposes u design, construction, operations and mai in Article VII.C.2.	

3. Assessment of Effects: No Adverse Effect

4. Project Stipulations and Conditions

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effects above is consistent with 36 CFR 800 criteria of effect or to mitigate potential adverse effects:

a. None

Recommen	nded by Park Section	on 106 Coordinator:			
Name:	Jeannette Simons				
Title:	Historic Preservat	ion Officer			
Signature:	_//Jeannette Simon	is//	Date: <u>6/20/07</u>		
E. SUPER	INTENDENT'S AF	PPROVAL			
	•	_	Policies and NPS-28 a l in Section B of this f		orove the
Signature	of Superintendent:	//Cicely Muldoon//	,	Date:	2/23/07
		Michael J. Tollefson	n		