

United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Yosemite National Park P.O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

Memorandum

To: Monica Buhler, Project Manager, Resources Management and Science, Yosemite

National Park

From: Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: Notice to Proceed, 2007-117 Grouse Creek Marijuana Garden Ecological Restoration

Your proposed project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 E(2) - Restoration of noncontroversial (based on internal scoping requirements in section 2-6) native species into suitable habitats within their historic range.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. This project clearance is valid providing that you adhere to any conditions that may be stipulated in the enclosed *Categorical Exclusion Form* and associated documents when implementing this project.

//Michael J. Tollefson//
Michael J. Tollefson

10/04/07 Date

Enclosure (with attachments)

cc: Statutory Compliance File

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Categorical Exclusion

(Version: OCT06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2007-117**PEPC Project Number: **20060**

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Grouse Creek Marijuana Garden Ecological Restoration

Location: Grouse Creek, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Monica Buhler, Resources Management and Science, Yosemite National Park

B. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

This project is an action that has been determined to result in no measurable environmental effects. It is therefore categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) analysis under Categorical Exclusion: DO12 3.4 *E* (2) - Restoration of noncontroversial (based on internal scoping requirements in section 2-6) native species into suitable habitats within their historic range.

Necessary compliance coordination has been completed regarding the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act, as applicable. Environmental impacts will be negligible or less when the project is implemented with the conditions stipulated under **Project Mitigations and Conditions** in **Section I** at the end of the attached *Environmental Screening Form*.

Additional supporting information for this determination and the stipulated conditions can be found in the following attachments (when checked):

| Environmental Screening Form | Preservation Assessment Form (YOSE-XXX) | Wilderness Minimum Requirement Analysis | Wild and Scenic River Section 7 Determination | Other:

C. DECISION

On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances or conditions in DO12 3.5 or 3.6 apply and the action is fully described in DO12, Section 3.4.

//Michael J. Tollefson//
Michael J. Tollefson, Superintendent
Date

Original: Statutory Compliance File

cc: Project Proponent

Attachments (2)

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Environmental Screening Form

(Version: NOV06)

Compliance Tracking Number: **2007-117**PEPC Project Number: **20060**

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Grouse Creek Marijuana Garden Ecological Restoration

Location: Grouse Creek, Mariposa County, California

Project Manager: Monica Buhler, Resources Management and Science, Yosemite National Park

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

Two marijuana gardens were recently discovered near Grouse Creek in Yosemite National Park. Yosemite National Park Law Enforcement rangers removed 7,500 plants along with irrigation tubing, campsite remains, and garbage. The area is now largely denuded of vegetation with terracing into the slope. Soil erosion potential is high. Ecological restoration is essential in preventing soil erosion and re-establishing natural contours to the land in order for the regeneration of plant communities to take place. Restoration of the sites will also benefit future law enforcement related issues by discouraging further illegal use of this site.

There are approximately 1,500 holes two feet in diameter that were dug for the planting. The holes are terraced and soil is loosely packed around the outside of the hole. Vegetation within the site was cut and piled around the perimeter of the garden. The following actions are proposed to restore the site to natural conditions:

- Soil would be put back into the hole and contoured to natural conditions
- Brush walls would be pulled back into the interior of the sites after the holes are filled.
- The campsite would be de-compacted, re-contoured, mulched and restored to natural conditions
- All litter would be removed from the site.

After the two gardens are restored to natural conditions, staff will spend several days removing the social trail that was formed by NPS law enforcement and restoration crews accessing the site. Restoration work will take approximately one month. In order to avoid more erosion, work should take place before significant winter precipitation.

Cultural resource staff (Laura Kirn) have no concerns about the project.

Table B1 – Background Information

		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes
1.	Did NPS staff conduct a site visit? If yes, list attendees. If no, explain.	\boxtimes			Resources Management and Science staff.
2a.	Is the project providing compliance for an action associated with but not covered by an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.); OR				
2b.	Is the project in an approved plan? (Identify the plan and provide a section or page citation.		\boxtimes		
2c.	Is the project consistent with that plan?			\boxtimes	
2d.	Is the Plan's CE, FONSI, or ROD current?			\boxtimes	
3a.	Are there any interested or affected parties?		\boxtimes		
3b.	Has a diligent effort been made to communicate with them?				
4a.	Are there any affected agencies or tribes?		\boxtimes		
4b.	Has consultation been completed?				

Table B2 – Environmental Screening Form Attachments (provide Attachment letter—A, B, etc.)

	8	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
		Yes	No	N/A	Explanation/Notes	
1.	Maps		\boxtimes			
2.	Drawings (e.g., design, construction)		\boxtimes			
3.	Site Plans		\boxtimes			
4.	Photographs	\boxtimes			Photos of area to be restored; see Attachment A.	
5.	Non-NEPA/NHPA Approvals (Explain)		\boxtimes			
6.	Other (Explain)		\boxtimes			

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-117

C. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL RESOURCE EFFECTS

	e any impacts possible on the following ources?	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Geologic resources: soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc	\boxtimes			Restoration would include soil disturbance up to 12" deep.
2.	From geohazards		\boxtimes		•
3.	Air quality		\boxtimes		
4.	Soundscapes		\boxtimes		
5.	Water quality or quantity		\boxtimes		
6.	Stream flow characteristics				Slopes would be restored to natural contours to decrease erosion potential.
7.	Marine or estuarine resources			\boxtimes	
8.	Floodplains or wetlands		\boxtimes		
9.	Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use		\boxtimes		
	Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine		\boxtimes		
11.	Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat		\boxtimes		
12.	Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites	\boxtimes			
13.	Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat		\boxtimes		
14.	Unique or important fish or fish habitat		\boxtimes		
15.	Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)		\boxtimes		
16.	Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.		\boxtimes		
17.	Visitor experience, aesthetic resources		\boxtimes		
18.	Cultural resources including cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources		\boxtimes		
19.	Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure				
20.	Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.		\boxtimes		
21.	Energy resources		\boxtimes		
22.	Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies		\boxtimes		
23.	Resource, including energy, conservation potential		\boxtimes		
24.	Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.		\boxtimes		
25.	Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity	\boxtimes			This restoration project will protect long-term management of natural resources.
26	Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?				
	nments, Mitigations and Conditions:				
1.	None				

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-117
4 of 7

D. MANDATORY CRITERIA

If	implemented, would the proposed action:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	1		\boxtimes		
2.	Have adverse effects on such unique characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks?				
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects?	П	\boxtimes		
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?				
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?		\boxtimes		
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?				
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?				
	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act?		\boxtimes		
10.	Threaten to violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?		\boxtimes		
11.	Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA sec. 102(2)(E)?		\boxtimes		
12.	Have a disproportionate, significant adverse effect on low-income or minority populations (EO 12898)?				
13.	Restrict access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 130007)?		\boxtimes		
14.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of federally listed noxious weeds (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act)?				
15.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of non-native invasive species or actions that may promote the introduction, growth or expansion of the range of non-native invasive species (EO 13112)?				
16.	Require a permit from a federal, state, or local agency to proceed, unless the agency from which the permit is required agrees that a CE is appropriate?		\boxtimes		
17.	Have the potential for significant impact as indicated by a federal, state, or local agency or Indian tribe?		\boxtimes		
18.	Have the potential to be controversial because of disagreement over possible environmental effects?				
	Have the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values?				
C o 1.	omments, Mitigations and Conditions: None				

Compliance	Tracking	Number:	2007-117
-	_		5 of 7

E. SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST

Wi	thin the area of potential effect, are there:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes				
	Listed or proposed threatened or		\boxtimes	П					
2	endangered species (Federal or State)?								
2.	Species of special concern (Federal or State)?		\boxtimes						
3.	Park rare plants or vegetation?	П	\bowtie	П					
4.	Potential habitat for any special-status								
	species listed above?	Ш		Ш					
_	If "yes" to any of the above questions, a Special-Status Species Checklist must be completed and attached.								
_	Comments, Mitigations and Conditions:								
1.	None								
F.	NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVA	TION	AC	Г СН	ECKLIST				
Wi	thin the area of potential effect:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes				
1.	Will there be ground disturbance?	\boxtimes			Restoration would include soil disturbance				
2.	Are there any archeological sites?		\boxtimes		up to 12" deep.				
3.	Are there any Native American Indian								
	traditional cultural resources?		\boxtimes						
4.	Is there a historic property (a building,								
	structure, feature, or all or any part of an								
	archeological district or site, or a historic district or site, or any associated landscape		\boxtimes						
	element) that is listed or eligible for listing								
	on the National Register?								
5.	Is there a National Historic Landmark?		\boxtimes						
6.	Is there a structure(s) on the park's <i>List of</i>	П	\boxtimes	П					
7	Classified Structures?								
7.	Is there any cultural resource requiring an evaluation of eligibility as a historic	_		_					
	property under NHPA, Section 106, before	Ш	\boxtimes	Ш					
	an affect determination can be made?								
8	Would there be alteration of any historic								
	property or associated landscape element	Ш		Ш					
If '	covered by 2-7, above? 'yes' to any of the above, then an Assessment of	of Effe	cts fo	rm (Y	OSE-XXX) must be completed and attached				
	tigations and Conditions:	or Enc	013 10	1111 (1)	OSE 7777) must be completed and attached.				
1.	None								
G	WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST								
		1 7	NIa	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes				
1.	the proposed project: Within designated Wilderness?	Yes	No 🖂	IN/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes				
2.	Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?		\square						
	yes" to either of the above, then a Wilderness Mi	inimun		ıiremei	nts Analysis must be completed and attached.				
	tigations and Conditions:								
	None								

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-117 6 of 7

H. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST

Do	es the proposed project:	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.	Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? If 'yes'', name the river(s)	\boxtimes			Merced River
2.	Fall within the bed and banks AND affect the free-flow of the river?		\boxtimes		
3.	Potentially affect water quality of the area?		\boxtimes		
4.	Diminish or other wise change the values for which the river was designated as a Wild and Scenic River? If "yes", explain.				
5a.	Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?	\boxtimes			Grouse Creek
5b.	If 5a is "yes", will the project affect the Wild and Scenic River corridor?		\boxtimes		
5c.	If 5a is "yes", will the project unreasonably diminish scenic, recreational, or fish and wildlife values?				
If "	'yes" to questions 2, 5b, or 5c, then a WSRA Se	ection	7 dete	ermina	tion must be completed and attached.
Mi	tigations and Conditions:	•			
1.	None				

Compliance Tracking Number: 2007-117

I. NEPA Analysis and Approval Conditions

When implemented as detailed in the project description and following all Project Mitigations and Conditions listed below, this project meets the terms and conditions of a categorical exclusion to NEPA.

Applicable Categorical Exclusion:

DO12 3.4 *E* (2) - Restoration of noncontroversial (based on internal scoping requirements in section 2-6) native species into suitable habitats within their historic range.

Project Mitigations and Conditions:

1. None

This project has been reviewed in accordance with the above criteria and it has been determined that the project will result in no or minimal environmental effects. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review required under the National Environmental Policy Act. Additionally, the necessary compliance coordination has been completed with regard to the National Historic Preservation Act, the Wilderness Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Endangered Species Act.

//Renea Kennec// Acting	09/27/07
Compliance Specialist	Date
//Mark Husbands// Acting	09/27/07
Compliance Program Manager	Date
//Bill Delaney//	10/03/07
Chief, Project Management	Date

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

Attachment A



