



**Extraordinary Circumstances:**

If implemented, would the proposal...	Yes/No	Notes
<b>A.</b> Have significant impacts on public health or safety?	No	
<b>B.</b> Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds; and other ecologically significant or critical areas?	No	
<b>C.</b> Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA section 102(2)(E))?	No	
<b>D.</b> Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?	No	
<b>E.</b> Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?	No	
<b>F.</b> Have a direct relationship to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?		No longer applicable per the updated 2020 CEQ NEPA regulations and DOI direction
<b>G.</b> Have significant impacts on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, as determined by either the bureau or office?	No	
<b>H.</b> Have significant impacts on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical Habitat for these species?	No	
<b>I.</b> Violate a federal, state, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?	No	
<b>J.</b> Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (EO 12898)?	No	
<b>K.</b> Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 13007)?	No	
<b>L.</b> Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species known to occur in the area or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order 13112)?	No	



## ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING FORM (ESF)

Updated Sept 2015 per NPS NEPA Handbook

### A. PROJECT INFORMATION

<b>Project Title:</b>	Adapt Infrastructure and Install Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment for Transit Buses
<b>PEPC Project Number:</b>	84592
<b>Project Type:</b>	Capital Improvement/New Construction (CI)
<b>Project Location:</b>	
<b>County, State:</b>	Mariposa, California
<b>Project Leader:</b>	Jim Donovan

### B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

See Categorical Exclusion form.

### C. RESOURCE IMPACTS TO CONSIDER:

Resource	Potential for Impact	Potential Issues & Impacts
<b>Air</b>	Potential	Issue: Improved air quality within Yosemite Valley and the surrounding area.  Impact: The proposed bus charging station will make it possible for the transit bus fleet to be electric which will reduce the amount of emissions and potentially improve the air quality in and around Yosemite Valley.
<b>Biological</b> Nonnative or Exotic Species	Potential	Issue: Potential to introduce and spread non-native invasive plant species into the park.  Impact: Earthmoving equipment shall be cleaned and inspected prior to entry to park.
<b>Biological</b> Species of Special Concern or Their Habitat <i>Frog species</i>		Issue: Several species of frog in the Valley.  Impact: No impact; work shall be monitored by park aquatic biologist on rainy days. If the work is on pavement on a dry day, no monitor needed.
<b>Biological</b> Vegetation	None	

<b>Resource</b>	<b>Potential for Impact</b>	<b>Potential Issues &amp; Impacts</b>
<b>Biological</b> Wildlife and/or Wildlife Habitat including terrestrial and aquatic species	None	
<b>Cultural</b> Archeological Resources	None	The project area was archeologically surveyed in 1974 and 1992, construction monitoring occurred in 1992 and 1999, and subsurface testing occurred in adjacent areas in 1989. No sites have been identified in the area of proposed ground disturbance. The ground here has been heavily disturbed for construction of the parking lot, multiple adjacent buildings, adjacent roads, and utilities
<b>Cultural</b> Cultural Landscapes	None	
<b>Cultural</b> Ethnographic Resources <i>Tribal interest</i>	Potential	Issue: Tribes were consulted and expressed desire for monitoring during ground disturbance.  Impact: Tribal monitor shall be present during ground disturbing activities.
<b>Cultural</b> Museum Collections	None	
<b>Cultural</b> Prehistoric/historic structures	None	
<b>Geological</b> Geologic Features	None	
<b>Geological</b> Geologic Processes	None	
<b>Lightscares</b>	None	
<b>Other</b> Human Health and Safety	None	
<b>Other</b> Operational		
<b>Socioeconomic</b> Land Use	None	

<b>Resource</b>	<b>Potential for Impact</b>	<b>Potential Issues &amp; Impacts</b>
<b>Socioeconomic</b> Minority and low-income populations, size, migration patterns, etc.	None	
<b>Socioeconomic</b>	None	
<b>Soundscapes</b>	None	
<b>Viewsheds</b>	None	
<b>Visitor Use and Experience</b> Recreation Resources	None	
<b>Visitor Use and Experience</b>	None	
<b>Water</b> Floodplains	None	
<b>Water</b> Marine or Estuarine Resources	None	
<b>Water</b> Water Quality or Quantity	None	
<b>Water</b> Wetlands	None	
<b>Water</b> Wild and Scenic River	None	
<b>Wilderness</b>	None	