ASSESSMENT OF ACTIONS HAVING AN EFFECT ON HISTORIC PROPERTIES

A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

1. Park: Lewis and Clark National Historic Park

2. Project Description:

Project Name: Conserve 50 Vulnerable Ethnological and Historic Objects in Museum Collection
Prepared by: Rachel Stokeld **Date Prepared:** 07/30/2021 **Telephone:** (503) 861-4440
PEPC Project Number: 103744
Locations:
County, State: Clatsop, OR
Describe project:
The LEWI museum collection includes ethnological and historic materials relating to local indigenous peoples, Lewis and Clark, and early homesteaders. A Harper's Ferry Center objects conservator completed a Collection Condition Survey for these materials in 2013, providing baseline condition documentation and identifying vulnerable items needing conservation. The report assessed the urgency of the treatment need, prioritized the recommended conservation actions, and detailed cost estimates. This project will provide funding for conservation of 50 items in the museum collection. Object identified as in highest need by HFC conservator will be treated as funds allow.

Area of potential effects (as defined in 36 CFR 800.16[d])
na

3. Has the area of potential effects been surveyed to identify historic properties?

_X_ **No**
___ **Yes**

4. Potentially Affected Resource(s):

Archeological Resources Present: No

Historical Structures/Resources Present: No

Cultural Landscapes Present: No

Ethnographic Resources Present: No

5. The proposed action will: (check as many as apply)

No Destroy, remove, or alter features/elements from a historic structure
No Replace historic features/elements in kind
No Add non-historic features/elements to a historic structure
No Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (inc. terrain)

No Add non-historic features/elements (inc. visual, audible, or atmospheric) to a historic setting or cultural landscape

No Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible

No Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic resources inaccessible>

No Potentially affect presently unidentified cultural resources

No Begin or contribute to deterioration of historic features, terrain, setting, landscape elements, or archeological or ethnographic resources

No Involve a real property transaction (exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures)

___ Other (please specify): _____

6. Supporting Study Data:
(Attach if feasible; if action is in a plan, EA or EIS, give name and project or page number.)

B. REVIEWS BY CULTURAL RESOURCE SPECIALISTS

The park 106 coordinator requested review by the park's cultural resource specialist/advisors as indicated by check-off boxes or as follows:

[X] Curator
Name: Theresa Langford
Date: 10/21/2021
Comments: This project is in line with recommendations by professional conservators to stabilize and extend the lifespan of significant museum collection items. Each object will have a detailed treatment proposal to be reviewed by the Curator or CR Specialist prior to work commencing.

Check if project does not involve ground disturbance **[X]**
Assessment of Effect: ___No Potential to Cause Effect ___No Historic Properties Affected __X__No Adverse Effect ___Adverse Effect ___Streamlined Review
Recommendations for conditions or stipulations:

No Reviews From: Archeologist, Historical Architect, Historian, 106 Advisor, Other Advisor, Anthropologist, Historical Landscape Architect

---

C. PARK SECTION 106 COORDINATOR'S REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Assessment of Effect:

X No Potential to Cause Effects

_____ No Historic Properties Affected

_____ No Adverse Effect

_____ Adverse Effect

2. Documentation Method:

[ ] A. Standard 36 CFR Part 800 Consultation
Further consultation under 36 CFR Part 800 is needed.

[ ] B. Streamlined Review Under the 2008 Servicewide Programmatic Agreement (PA)
The above action meets all conditions for a streamlined review under section III of the 2008 Servicewide PA for Section 106 compliance.

Applicable Streamlined Review Criteria
(Specify 1-16 of the list of streamlined review criteria.)

[ ] C. Undertaking Related to Park Specific or Another Agreement
The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under another document such as a park, region or statewide agreement established in accord with 36 CFR 800.7 or 36 CFR 800.14.

[ ] D. Combined NEPA/NHPA Process
Process and documentation required for the preparation of an EA/FONSI or an EIS/ROD to comply with Section 106 is in accord with 36 CFR 800.8.c.

[X] E. Memo to Project File

3. Consultation Information

SHPO Required: No
SHPO Sent:
SHPO Received:

THPO Required:
THPO Sent:
THPO Received:

SHPO/THPO Notes:

Advisory Council Participating: No
Advisory Council Notes:

N/A

4. Stipulations and Conditions:

N/A

5. Mitigations/Treatment Measures: Measures to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of historic/prehistoric properties: (Remember that setting, location, and use may be relevant.)

No Assessment of Effect mitigations identified.

6. Assessment of Effect Notes:

D. RECOMMENDED BY PARK SECTION 106 COORDINATOR:

Compliance Specialist:
NHPA Specialist
Rachel Stokeld Rachel Stokeld 11/8/2021 **Date:**

E. SUPERINTENDENT'S APPROVAL

The proposed work conforms to the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*, and I have reviewed and approve the recommendations, stipulations, or conditions noted in Section C of this form.

Signature

Superintendent: JONATHAN BURPEE Digitally signed by JONATHAN BURPEE Date: 2021.11.05 14:25:17 -07'00' **Date:** _____