U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

CAPE HATTERAS NATIONAL SEASHORE PUBLIC MEETING ON OFF-ROAD VEHICLE MANAGEMENT PLAN/DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

HOLIDAY INN & CONFERENCE CENTER 1815 WEST MERCURY BOULEVARD HAMPTON, VIRGINIA

APRIL 29, 2010

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE, CAPE HATTERAS NATIONAL SEASHORE --

MICHAEL B. MURRAY, SUPERINTENDENT CYNDY M. HOLDA, PUBLIC AFFAIRS SPECIALIST DOUG WETMORE, ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY DIVISION PAUL STEVENS, CHIEF RANGER KENNY BALLANCE, OCRACOKE DISTRICT RANGER

THE LOUIS BERGER GROUP --

FRANK SKIDMORE, FACILITATOR LORI FOX RUDI BYRON

COURT REPORTER -- BOBBIE G. NEWMAN

CAROLINA COURT REPORTERS, INC.

105 Oakmont Professional Plaza Greenville, North Carolina 27858 TEL: (252) 355-4700 (800) 849-8448 FAX: (252) 355-4707 1

2

3

5

6

24

25

COURT REPORTER'S NOTE: The April 29, 2010, Hampton Public Hearing on Off-Road Vehicle Management Plan/Draft Environmental Impact Statement commenced at 6:00 p.m. at the Holiday Inn & Conference Center, Hampton, Virginia.

SUPERINTENDENT MICHAEL B. MURRAY: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. I'm Mike Murray, the Superintendent at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Welcome to this Public Hearing on the Draft Off-Road Vehicle Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement. As we proceed with the Hearing tonight, if anyone in the back of the room has any difficulty hearing, just raise your hand and we'll realize we need to check the sound system. Can everybody hear okay? Thanks. I want to briefly review the project (No response.) time line for the Off-Road Vehicle Management Plan. currently in the Public Comment period for the DEIS. on May 11. The newsletter that was available at the sign-in desk gives this (on the slide) information, or very similar information, and all the details on how to comment. We've conducted Public Hearings this week: Monday, Ocracoke and Buxton; Tuesday, Kill Devil Hills; and, last night, we were in Raleigh, and tonight we are in Hampton. And then, the comment period, again, stays open through May 11. After the public comment period closes, the Park Service will be reviewing all the comments and begin preparation of the Final

Environmental Impact Statement, which includes written responses to all the substantive comments. Then internal work will be going on this spring and the summer. fall, we'll publish a proposed regulation. This is sort of a There's an Off-Road Vehicle Management two-part process. Plan and Environmental Impact Statement, and then there's also a Special Park Regulation that goes along with it. Proposed Regulation will have a 60-day Public Comment Period after it is released. And then, once that Public Comment Period closes, we'll review those Public Comments, and then begin work on the Final Regulation. The schedule is to publish the Final Environmental Impact Statement and Notice of Availability, which is the official Federal Register notice that the FEIS has been completed, this fall. then, the Record of Decision, which follows after the Final EIS is published, the schedule is to release the Record of Decision before December 31, 2010, and then publish the Final Regulation by or before April 1, 2011. And now, the next slide. Here's a review on how to comment on the EIS. again, these details are in the newsletter. Now, you can comment in person at the meeting tonight, either orally or in writing. Our facilitator's going to remind you of the ground rules, but just so you can be thinking about it, if you want to speak, you have to sign up. So, if anybody missed the sign-up table out front, you might want to go sign up. You

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

can comment on-line at the Park Planning website. address is on the screen. It's also in the newsletter. It's http://parkplanning.nps.gov/caha. CAHA is the Park Service acronym for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. You can comment in writing by mail or hand delivery to me, Superintendent, at Cape Hatteras National Seashore, 1401 National Park Drive, Manteo, North Carolina. Comments must be received by midnight on May 11. The reason for Mountain time is the website that the Park Service uses to manage public comments is based in Denver. So, you actually get two extra hours if you're from the east coast. Again, please refer to the newsletter for complete instructions. Now, I'm going to turn the meeting over to our facilitator, Frank Skidmore. Thank you for coming.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FRANK SKIDMORE: Thank you. Well, good evening. As you see from the first bullet up there, the purpose here is to receive comments on the DEIS, and DEIS means it's a draft. And drafts can change. And there may be very important information out there that you have that can be very helpful for that. My job is to facilitate a fair process that allows everyone who has signed up to present a comment, that it's heard, understood by the Park Service, and by everyone here in the room as well, and particularly by the court reporter, who must record it accurately and transcribe it so it's completely responded to and addressed. So, it's

important that we observe mutual respect and courtesy, and be quiet as someone is delivering the comment, so that parts of it are not lost, and of course, no disruptions within the hearing room here. Again, you must be signed up to speak, and we anticipate each individual will be given one chance to speak tonight. Please keep your comments on point, because there is limited time. Please consider what the purpose here is, and that's to provide comments on the draft. And each speaker will be allotted a maximum of three minutes. that is going to be administered with a timekeeper who will hold up a yellow card when you have used 2 minutes and 30 seconds of your time, to advise you that you've only got 30 seconds left. And then a red card will come up when the three-minute point is reached, and I ask you to wrap up your comments at that point. Yielding time to another is not part of the process that we're following. Again, speakers will come up to this center microphone here and address the Superintendent. The comments are being delivered to the National Park Service, even though it's important that everyone here also hear those -- those comments, for your own education as to what's being said and -- and provided. Ιf someone addresses your talking point before your turn to speak, you may decline to speak. Or you may stand up and say I agree with so and so's point entirely, and add your name, and the court reporter will take down that fact that your

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

name is agreeing with some other speaker. Written and oral comments are considered in the exactly the same manner. you provide an oral comment, it's translated into a written comment for review and response. If you have other things you wish to say when the three minutes has been reached, you can submit the rest of your comments here, and it will become a part of -- part of your statement, and they'll be turned in right here at the table. The time limit, we're finding is about right, to allow everyone to speak during the time that's been allocated for the meeting. So that's -- that's the reason for the limitation. Please turn cell phones to "off" or "vibrate." And, I thank you for being here. -- it's a busy world. It's a busy time, and we appreciate you taking a couple of hours to show interest in this, and present your ideas and your comments. Now, I'm going to call out several names at a time, so that people are advised that they're next, or third in line, or so on. We have three chairs that are reserved up here, so if you're buried back in the middle of an aisle, and would like to reduce the amount of time we spend transferring among speakers, you may come and, essentially, queue in one of these three chairs, and be ready to stand up immediately to present your comments when the person ahead of you is finished.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROBERT WOJCIK: I have a point of order. You have a podium. I have a fear that some of these people may

not speak many times, may need a podium to speak at. If you could move that podium to the speaker at this microphone.

MR. SKIDMORE: Say that again.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WOJCIK: All I'm saying is, you have a podium to speak at. Many people may not be used to giving talks or speeches, may would be inclined to put their notes on there for reference. Since you have one, can we have one here as well? I think it's just a simple courtesy.

MR. SKIDMORE: Is there anyone out there that needs a podium?

MR. WOJCIK: I'll take it.

MR. SKIDMORE: To speak?

MAN ATTENDEE: Yes.

MR. WOJCIK: I mean, you use it -- just move that one over.

MR. SKIDMORE: Well, you see --

MR. MURRAY: If we're physically able to do it, I don't object. If we're physically able to do it.

MR. SKIDMORE: This thing is -- is locked in where it is.

MR. WOJCIK: Well, we could just talk up there.

MR. SKIDMORE: You want to go see if they've got another podium?

MR. DOUG WETMORE: Yes.

MR. SKIDMORE: Okay. We'll try to get another podium

for that to occur. This is pretty well in place. I don't think we can disengage it.

MR. WOJCIK: I mean, if we can just use that one. I have no problem.

MR. SKIDMORE: Well, we really -- we really prefer that you're giving the comment to the Park Service and not to the audience, just -- just as a format thing, but should that -- it come to that, we'll make that exception. We've got an individual that's gone to get a podium. We'll -- we'll try to do that. Doug, were you able to get a podium?

MR. WETMORE: We're still looking for one.

COURT REPORTER'S NOTE: There was an off-therecord break at 6:10 p.m. until 6:11 p.m.

MR. SKIDMORE: Okay. Well, here are the first four commenters. It'll be David Graham, followed by Darren Lopez, H. T. Gordon, and Ted Hamilton. Are any of those speakers, speakers that want a podium?

MR. DAVID GRAHAM: I don't even think I need a microphone. Can everyone hear me?

ATTENDEES: Yes.

MR. GRAHAM: My name is David Graham. I'm not a scientist. I don't have a lot of doctorates, just a surf fisherman, and a veteran, and disabled. Take away my ORV and you take my beach away from me. I can't do pedestrian. I can't do walk-overs. That's gone. I'm gone. And that's

kind of what I want to address. I'm not too concerned with whatever plan that comes out of this, because I know it's going to court, and you do, too. That's where the real fight will be. And it'll probably come to some legislation also, and we're ready for that, too. It's the plan behind the plan, to get the ORVs off the beach, close the businesses, get the people off the island. That's what we're fighting. The plan behind the plan. There's one thing that bothers me about this whole thing, Mr. Murray, and it's just the way your department has chose sides. When your employee, Cyndy Holda, told Bob Eakes of the Red Drum, "Bob, you are young enough to learn and earn a new business," you showed which side you picked. Why did you pick them? Why are you against us? All we want to do is go fishing. Take our kids to the water, like my dad took me, when I was in a stroller. Like I took my grandkids, and I want them to take their grandkids. I fought one war, and I'll carry the scars until the day I I'm not afraid of another one, sir. Thank you.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you, sir. The next speaker is Darren Lopez.

MR. DARREN LOPEZ: Good afternoon. My name is Darren Lopez. I'm not a veteran. I'm a new -- newcomer to the country. I've only been here for 20 years. My dad didn't used to take me fishing, but I fully intend to take my nine-year old daughter fishing -- fishing, my nine-month old

daughter when she becomes nine years old. When actually this charade started, I was just a roque fisherman. fishing any time I wanted to. Now I have a daughter. Come a few year's time, when she's at school, we could be living here to go fishing when school's out. When major holidays are on. Fourth of July. Memorial Day. According to Plan F, there's going to be a limit on the amount of vehicles at the Point. Well, the Point, the greatest wonder on the east coast as far as I'm concerned, is where I want to take my daughter. Without being able to take my daughter, I don't think we'll go. It will be like going to Disneyland, get into Disneyland, and oh, "Well, Mickey Mouse isn't here today." Do you think I would take my daughter to Disneyland? No. If we go -- the point -- if we go down to Hatteras, and there's a limit on the amount of vehicles? What? going to sit at the entrance to the ramp, because we're 401 and wait to be called? I don't think so. We'll probably go somewhere else. That's pretty much want I'd like to say. Thank you.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SKIDMORE: We will have a podium here shortly, so if I call someone who needs a podium, we'll just defer you for another speaker, until we get that podium. Next is H. T. Gordon, followed by Ted Hamilton, and Willie Munden.

MR. H. T. GORDON: My name is H. T. Gordon. I'm a property owner in Salvo, North Carolina. And I think that

most of the people in here like fishing and we -- we like fishing on the beach. And also, that these environmentalists that are trying to kick us off the beach, I don't think they fish at all. Because if they were worried about the birds, they would be at the end of the Bonner Bridge protesting. Because every time I come across that bridge, there's at least five -- four or five dead birds on that -- on that bridge. And somebody -- you know, if they were so much worried about those birds, they'd be there protesting somehow, to keep those birds alive. Now, my wife and I came here this afternoon across the, you know, Hampton Roads Bridge Tunnel, the southern bridge going westbound, and we found five dead birds on that bridge already. So, if they were worried about the birds, dead birds on the beach, they should be protesting these bridges where these birds are being killed. So, I -- I would like to see them protesting the places where these birds are killed, rather than on the beach, because I have never seen but maybe one or two dead sea gulls on that beach, since I've been going down there. So, that's all I have to say.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you very much. Next is Ted Hamilton, followed by Willie Munden, and Bill Rumschlag.

MR. TED HAMILTON: I'm Ted Hamilton, a native Tar
Heel, who's owned a house in Salvo since 1971, and was a
visitor to the area prior to that. Even having seen the area

evolve over those years, I still wish to state that I fully support the Coalition for Beach Access DEIS assessment, dated April 13, 2010, as contained at the following website, and that's detailed in the copy I handed in. Of particular note is the Coalition Access Alternative position, shown in column three of the matrix on pages 8 through 10, of the assessment, and the comments shown in column four. Additionally of note is the Coalition proposed bird buffer distances on page 12, and the proposed turtle closure revisions on pages 15 and 16. I believe these Coalition alternatives strike a far better balance for human access versus resource protection, and is based on experience, observations and data more specific to the seashore than the NPS Alt F. This is further evident from the document's supporting information. Further, the following website, again detailed in the copy I handed in, contains the Coalition Position Statement dated March 5, 2010, updated April 6, 2010, that further justifies the rationale behind the Coalition Assessment and the Access Alternatives offered by the Coalition. I request that each aspect of the Coalition Alternatives that differ from the NPS Alt F be specifically addressed by NPS. And that I, and the rest of the public, be provided the NPS rationale for either acceptance or rejection of each particular differing aspect. A response of this sort is indicated by item one of the ground rules I was given at the start of this meeting.

1

2

3

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Finally, for those who say only a small percentage of the seashore is being affected, well, the closures proposed in NPS Alt F for the inlet spits, Cape Point and South Beach are like closing Old Faithful in Yellowstone. Yes, there is more beach just like there are more geysers. But all beaches, just like all geysers, are not equal.

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you, Ted. Next is Willie Munden, followed by Bill Rumschlag, and Rob Beedie.

MR. WILLIE MUNDEN: Hi. My name is Willie Munden.

MR. SKIDMORE: Sir, could you hold just a moment?

Just a minute, please.

COURT REPORTER'S NOTE: There is a momentary pause while a podium is being placed for use by the commenters.

MR. SKIDMORE: Start again, sir.

MR. MUNDEN: Okay. Hi. My name is Willie Munden. I'm a lifelong resident of the Tidewater area, and I've been visiting Cape Hatteras since the early '50s with my father, fishing. We used to drive off the beach in Sandbridge, drive all the way down the beach to Oregon Inlet, cross over on the ferry, drive Hatteras Island, down to Hatteras Inlet, and turn around and drive and fish back. That's been happening, like I said, as far as I know, all the way back to the '30s. The present plan as the first speaker spoke about, it's really the -- the -- the complete closure of the beach that's

-- that's -- that's trying to be done here by the environmental groups, and I'm -- I'm completely against it. Like I said, I've been fishing and surfing down in Hatteras with my father, my -- my daughters, my sons, over the past 40 or 50 years, and I believe that -- that there should be no closure to the beach between the mean -- the mean low tide line and the base of the dune. And that's -- that's yearround, on a daily use basis. If there has to be some restrictions for turtle nests or things like that on a very temporary basis, that's makes sense, but otherwise, no. -- some of the data that's being used in a general sense that states, well when you -- when you remove human presence in an area, that the birds come back or the wildlife come back. Well, of course, that's true. It's self-evident. So, basing decisions on those kinds of -- on that kind of data seems to me, simply -- simply false, unless the only -- unless the only result is to just remove humans from -- from access to any piece of land. I mean, we could take New York City and move all the people out, and within six months, it'd be overrun with animals. So, it doesn't make any sense to me to use data that, in these reports, that show that, when you remove humans, that more wildlife come. The other part about the data that I have a problem with is that most of the bird nest destruction that happens, the vast majority of it has to do with ocean -- ocean over-wash, and natural predators --

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

non-human natural predators. Well, are we going to start killing the natural predators down there? Are we going to start putting up sea walls to keep the over-wash from coming up? And, if that's the majority of the -- of the -- of the destruction of these nesting sites, then it seems, once again, that this -- that this, as the first speaker spoke, it's really not this plan, it's the plan within a plan that's trying to be pushed here, and trying to force -- force all of us off. Finally, I just want to say from a personal note as someone else said, that my access down to Cape Hatteras for surfing and so on, is -- is a lifelong thing. And if it's -- if it's stopped, I will consider moving from this area, having lived here all my life. Thank you.

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you very much. Next is Bill Rumschlag, followed by Rob Beedie and Rob Giroux.

MR. BILL RUMSCHLAG: My name's Bill Rumschlag, and I'm a veteran and also a surf fisherman. The first point I want to make is I emphatically agree with all the first five speakers. I've been going down to the Hatteras seashore, Ocracoke, Avon for 30 years with my family, fishing. Every fisherman I've ever met on the beach has the greatest respect for the treasure that he's enjoying. They all pick up their trash. They all take care of their things and -- and have a respect for the beach, the dunes, and the animals. That doesn't get recognized enough. When you talk to the locals

down there, and I can give a specific example. years ago, the entire south end of Ocracoke was closed for one egg in one nest, that after three or four days, after we spent \$3,000 for our cottage and couldn't get on the beach, was eaten by another bird. We all own the beach. You own the beach. I own the beach. And everybody in this room owns the beach. We pay -- it's a National Park. We pay our federal income tax. We all must have access to that beach. Yes, we have to respect the environment. But to close the beach in this concept of the plan behind the plan, is very disconcerting. What I would ask, the plan -- and you've put a lot of work into it -- I think it's what, 40 years in the making -- 40. The science that is behind it, the purported science, and -- and the environmental statements -- has it been reviewed, peer-reviewed by an independent, unbiased third-party? Because the entire package is based on the data that's been presented. And to date, I have not seen where it has been reviewed by an unbiased third-party. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you, Bill. Next is Rob Beedie, followed by Rob Giroux, and Alan Pitt.

MR. ROB BEEDIE: Good evening, gentlemen. Nice seeing you. I made a request down in Buxton, at this, the government meeting. And my grandfather and parents would be ashamed that there's no American flag that we could pledge allegiance to. And also in the American tradition, of

inviting Jesus Christ in, to give all the decision-makers wisdom to make the proper decisions. What's at stake here to I disagree with the DEIS. I know everybody's worked hard on it, but it -- it leaves out one basic thing: our right to -- our constitutional right to life, liberty, and pursuit of happiness. I served 111th Artillery, '68 to '74. I've lived all around the country, in California, and traveled. And Cape Hatteras is very special. It is a shame that you're tearing grown men to a point to tears; okay? All I ask ya'll to do, is search your own soul. Okay? co-exist. First, God created that. He ordained man to be the caretaker, not governments. Okay? We are responsible people, and I know I'm running out of time, but it's America's coast. And I'm here to let everyone know that my invested interest is neither financial or land ownership, but it was bestowed upon me early on by forces much larger and more important than any of us here. I'm a simple man who's simply loves this area. I pray for the decision-makers to ponder diligently before writing into law any regulations that bring any further hardship on a free community and hinders Americans, all Americans, their God-given right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. And as ya'll know, my son, had a surfer celebration at 21 years old and he's buried in that ocean. And I rode down there yesterday, and the "no walking" on the beach is close. He was born on

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Father's Day, and we paddled out, my friends and I, and put flowers there. I will be the first one arrested probably for federal offense. I'm 62 years old, and been surfing for 48 I will stand in defiance of this government for denying me my life, liberty, and pursuit of happiness, and I will put flowers on that ocean. Okay? And I'm -- I'm gonna abide by the law, but I can't abide by ignorant decisions. And shame on the lawyers. The lawyers are putting the Park Service in a weird situation. It's called a lawsuit. you -- you have fired up the fishermen and the surfers, and the international surf community. When they put a lawsuit on you, we stop mobile war. We stopped mobile war. And -- and, just let Jesus come into your hearts, and take care of what's His, not yours.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you, Mr. Beedie. Next is Rob Giroux, followed by Alan Pitt and Chris Detreville.

MR. ROB GIROUX: I would just like -- I would just like to say, I've been going to Hatteras my whole life with my family, and it's very important to us. It's pretty much the only thing that makes living in Virginia tolerable. I don't want to be driving down Highway 12 one day with my son, and say to him, "Look over there. That's where your dad used to surf and your uncle. And past that lighthouse, there's a point where your grandfather used to fish. Trust me, it's beautiful." He's going to look at me and say, "Who cares?

I've never seen it." Just think about what -- please consider what effect your decisions will have on future generations. If they can't experience the environment, why will they grow up to protect it? Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you, Rob. Next is Alan Pitt, followed by Chris Detreville, and Matthew Stubbs.

MR. ALAN PITT: Afternoon. My name is Alan Pitt. live in Richmond, Virginia, and I've had a summer place in Frisco, North Carolina since 1993. Thank you for allowing me I read the entire width and breadth of the DEIS, and feel a gross misrepresentation claimed this is only regulatory toward ORV use, that proposing mandates that encompassed every single beach related activity from kiteflying, to pedestrian and pet access, and the seashore for the next 10 to 15 years. The two preferred Alts of DEIS, and the environmental D, NPS F set forth the most stringent protocols in any National Seashore or Park. If the lack of success towards species recovery after two seasons of similar measures under the Consent Decree is any indicator, these proposed measures are likely to fail as well, all while devastating the local economy, and putting undue pressure on all user groups who visit the Park through over-reaching, confusing, and dubious regulations. Pedestrian closures violations are, by far, the most numerous year after year, yet education and permitting for this user group is not

required. And there is no instructional signs at pedestrian entrances to the ORV zones, which leaves this group the most uninformed within the park. Few arque that increased resource management is needed as user numbers rise. But the management techniques touted in the two preferred Alts have not shown significant successes when in practice under the CD, as predation and over-wash continue to be the greatest concern for sensitive species in the park -- not ORVs or human disturbance. Larger buffer closures and buffer sizes allow more unrestrained movement of predator species, and the lack of ORV traffic in the said closures allows for denser habitat and denser vegetation growth, creating more and larger areas of predator habitat within the areas that are supposedly being protected. The NPS's lack of habitat creation and management policies, in particular at Cape Point, in relation to piping plover habitat is especially troubling. A fairly reasonable and practical long-term vegetation litigation surrounding the ephemeral ponds on Cape Point would vastly improve piping plover habitat. Doing so could negate the need for massive and long-lasting closures to this all important visitor destination, all the while helping shorebird recovery numbers. Ever increasing numbers of predator trapping or removal operations from the sea -within the Seashore, have shown little to no improvements for all the effort and loss of life involved for the targeted

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

species in this policy. There can never be a completely, 1 2 totally, predator-free ecosystem within the Seashore, so it makes perfect sense to manage the predator habitat. 3 single most troubling aspect of the entire DEIS is the, in 4 reference to the American oystercatcher, prohibiting pets 5 within the Seashore during breeding -- breeding season, б including in front of the village. There's also a preference 7 to piping plover, saying the same thing, that if people don't 8 keep their animals under control, they'll be taken off the Seashore from May to August. Thank you very much. 10

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you, Alan. Chris Detreville, to MR. SKIDMORE: be followed by Matthew Stubbs and Robert Wojcik.

MR. CHRIS DETREVILLE: Good evening. First of all, I Where is the flag? My name's Christopher Detreville, I've been coming to the and I'm from Richmond, Virginia. recreation area for almost 35 years, and I've driven 100 miles tonight, so I could speak for three whole minutes. excuse me if I talk a little fast. I've got many problems with the DEIS and Alt F in particular, and it's exceedingly difficult for me to pick out just one or two points to object about in this eight-pound travesty of justice. So, that's going to be my first point. This (shows) is a copy of the Homestead Act. It was written in 1862. Double the size of our country. It's one page, handwritten, front and back. Now, look what happens when lawyers get involved. A 700 page document, that doesn't seem to expand for you. It seems to destroy you. My question is, why exactly is this document so large? Average everyday people, you know, the kind of people that come here to fish and hunt -- I mean, fish and enjoy the area -- they can't make heads nor tails of this. Was that the purpose? To confuse the public? Why can't we have a public comment extension period, to properly comprehend this document, that'll change the recreation area for so long? Mr. Murray, your agency was charged with coming up with an ORV plan, and Off-Road Vehicle Plan. That's it. How did this morph into telling me where I can walk, jog, fish, surf, fly a kite, walk my dog?

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COURT REPORTER'S NOTE: There is a momentary pause as the audience stands and applauds.

MR. DETREVILLE: Sir, you have overstepped your bounds. And where's the real science issues? I mean, seriously, this document is slam full of "might'ves," "could'ves," "should'ves," "probablies," but there's hardly any real science here. A consensus does not make science. Where is the real peer review? Where is the unbiased peer review? The reality is, neither NPS nor the assorted environmental groups can show that we, as users of the seashore, sir, cause harm to either the environment or the wildlife. In fact, the record shows quite the opposite. All of that is conveniently ignored. Why does the NPS ignore the

fact that there's been no incident concerning injury or death of a plover as a result of ORV usage? One hundred percent of plover mortality is due to storms and predation. Why is that ignored? Under Alt F, suddenly, my five-pound dog, my little Yorkie-Poo, is an enemy of the State. Well, I've got news for you, Mr. Murray, I don't go places where my best friend's not invited. But, I guess that's probably the point; wasn't it? And it's all for the animals; right? Is it? One thousand two hundred and ninety one (1,291) animals have been slaughtered in the recreation area, since this tragedy began -- 1,291 -- that's over a 1,000 innocent creatures killed to protect a handful of birds, birds that aren't even endangered. They're on the threatened list. The only thing threatened here, sir, Mr. Murray, is freedom. The only thing endangered here, is the rights of the citizens to use and enjoy the recreation area that was given to us so long ago. I believe it's an entire disaster. Alt F of the DEIS is a perversion of justice. All of it. It doesn't matter what we say here tonight, NPS doesn't care about the pro-access side. We don't have the money, the clout, the power of these wellfunded individualists. Sir, that's justice, justice perverted.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COURT REPORTER'S NOTE: There is a momentary pause as the audience stands and applauds.

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you. Next is Matthew Stubbs,

followed by Robert Wojcik, and Alfred Nugent.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MATTHEW STUBBS: First, I would like to say that, thank you for being here, but thank these folks for being here, because these people are fighting for what we love. I would like to say that I disagree with all alternatives that were listed in the DEIS. To be honest with you, I didn't read it. I'm a working man, and I enjoy the seashore, but I don't have time to spend 800 -- my son doesn't want me reading this and go to bed with it. I do not believe in That secondly, I want to state. Why don't I believe in plovers? Because I've only seen pictures of them, just like dinosaurs. I've only seen these from a distance. My son can learn this from watching television at home. cannot learn this by going to the seashore. My son does not own a 1,000 meter spotting scope. So, my son will never see It's impossible. I would also like to one of these birds. say that, it's been stated several times -- people are saying that they're trying to close the beach. I want to basically make a little anecdote to that. You guys state several times, you don't want to close the beach. But however, you want to give a 1,000 meters to a bird that can nest in the palm of my hand. All right? According to the National Park Service, there's 74 miles of accessible seashore -- 119,000 Put 119 plover nests, 1,000 meters apart, what do Zero. Now we've come five and a half, six you have left?

hours, to see Highway 12. There's not that much down there to see, except for the beach. You take away the beach, you take away reason to go to the shore in the first place. we going to, as a society change this where my children are going to sit in the house and watch television, so they can I live on five and a half acres in western see nature? Goochland, so my kids can see nature. They can't see it at That's impossible for me to understand. the beach. determines whether the animals live and die? I mean, this is something that's been mentioned by my friend, Mr. Chris Detreville, and several other folks. Animals are dying so others can live? Who makes that decision? I thought that was God's role in life. Thought that was Mother Nature. have on here -- there -- there is not one endangered bird in Hatteras Seashore. Not one. Not one. There are threatened species of concern, and I can do that all day long. turtle nest that was supposed to be being protected. it destroyed due to the protections that were afforded it by the National Park System. They put a netting around it to block out light. That caught the seashore waves from an inblowing storm, destroyed the sea turtle nest, later to find out that no -- no survivors. Lastly, I'd like to say this. There's a sign in front of the first National Park. This sign says, very clearly, "For the enjoyment of the people." It says it right above the main entrance.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Also, they'll be filming life after people on this island, after we're gone.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you. Next is Robert Wojcik, followed by Alfred Nugent and Joe Basilone.

MR. ROBERT WOJCIK: I'm Robert Wojcik. Many of you may know me as a professor, because there's a couple of websites I'm on, and they probably reference me in that way. I'm married, from Richmond, Virginia, two children, a grandson. I have a house in Salvo, around mile post 43. Non-rental. I go down there as much as I can. Observe. Relax. My wife says when I go there, all the wrinkles go out of my face. But now, since this decree, I go down there and I probably have more wrinkles in my face, because it just -- I just grind my molars through the teeth for the waste of time and money. I'm a veteran. I love to fish. Thank God I still have the right to speak, with the freedom of speech. But, as far as the rest of this I've seen, it's just deplorable. I want to talk about one area, because many people have talked about this. My son talked to your office, Mr. Murray, about eight years ago. He was in a car accident. He is a quadriplegic now. Not of his fault. But he addressed your office, because he's one of these kids that just wants to do things right. He addressed your office about putting a -- a -- a barriers to -- not barriers, but ways to get onto the beach for him -- handicap accessible.

About three years ago, I went down -- we were down there. Mile post 44, the last street, I can't think -- it's called North Beach now. Go to the far northeast corner, you'll see a area which you gave a person access to that, so he can build a pier all the way up to the dunes. That access was given, if he'd make that accessible to the public. I walked up there. That's -- that's not handicap accessible. Ι called your office. Your office said, we're not required by law to follow that. I forget the name in your office that said that. I can give that name to you, but I left it back I called you back the second time, because I think, well, you know, I'm a professor at a college, and I said, "You know, that's just a bum answer." The second response was, "That's a closed community or closed subdivision." Well, so it is. It is a subdivision within the area, but that subdivision has renters. If I'd go over and rent that, would you be liable if I sued you for not letting my son get to that beach, just to drive down to look at the dunes? can take my son to the beach by my vehicle, but last year, 23, 30, 34, the only beach open was 38 and 40. And I don't know about the rest of you, but try riding with a trailer with a handicapped son, strapped to the back, with my wife holding him down, to ramp 38. He get's tossed. It's a hard ramp to drive on. I have no access to the beach. He has a 300-pound chair that I cannot push. I don't know what -- I

can't put him on a little rubberized chair and run him down here. I'm 63. I probably have 15 more years to live here. In that case, I just -- but anyway. I'm going to -- one more response was, it's a -- the people in the Outer Banks, I feel They've been slapped into the face. sorry for them. final comment. You've showed no creativity for the Outer Banks. Two areas that I was concerned with here lately. The Why can't we put red lights on trucks so they bright lights. can drive at night? I've read another one, too, that says, "Black barriers -- we can't -- we can't quide with black barriers -- plastic -- because the quote is, "Fencing chicks away from their areas would essentially reduce their chances of survival." No data. No reference. No nothing. that could have been plucked out from anywhere. I'd like to see the reference. The validity and reliability of the National Parks so that's other resources and information. It's just not -- it's not good information. It wouldn't flow in the college where I work. And I thank you.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you very much, sir. Next is Alfred Nugent, followed by Joe Basilone and then Bonny Basilone.

MR. ALFRED NUGENT: Good evening. My name's Alfred Nugent. My family's relationship with the Outer Banks started when my father served there for a short period of time in the second world war as a Coast Guardsman before he

went to the Pacific. Like a lot of quys from that time, he 1 2 went home and got married. When we were old enough, he 3 brought myself and the other seven children in our family to We went there on and off for a number of years to Buxton, and eventually, when he got the money together in the 5 '70s, he bought one of the doublewides that Mr. Beckham was 6 7 putting up in Avon, and we've had the house ever since. 8 of us go there routinely. We don't rent the place. it as a way to get down there and enjoy the beach. He picked 10 to go there because he thought it was a good place for us as children, and if he'd lived, to stay retired with my mother. 11 12 I'm -- I can't do as nearly an eloquent as Ted Hamilton endorsing the position of the Coalition for Beach Access, 13 14 which I think is the only reasonable version of this that 15 I've seen on the -- on the plate. I contest the assertion in 16 the DEIS that there was no plan in place. There was a plan 17 in place by those of us who were down there in the '70s, that 18 was put together by old EPA and the other access groups at the time. It's not the fault of the people that live on that 19 20 island that did what they were supposed to do, that the 21 federal government lost and failed to implement their plan. 22 But, having said that, I'll go to the one substantive point I want to raise, and I think just got addressed, more than 23 adequately by Mr. Wojcik, is handicapped access. 24 25 is still alive. You have in this plan, four places for

people to get on the beach on a wheel chair who have to be helped. For all practical purposes, ORV access is If I want to take her to the places we handicapped access. went when we were kids, I need to drive her there. Because in your 80s, you're not walking to the beach. And I'll tell you, as a father, if you're hauling a bunch of very small children, you're not walking pretty far to the beach with a bunch of three and four-year olds, either. So, by taking away ORV access and not providing reasonable access that way, you're destroying the chance -- the choice -- the chance of the two groups of people that should get access to this, which is the young and the old. And the final piece, I guess is, where you've limited access to the bay, you've limited access to the place people with children go to swim, because it's a safe place to take them, besides in the surf. That's all I've got to say.

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you very much. Next is Joe Basilone, followed by Bonny Baselone, and then Archie Tripp.

MR. JOE BASILONE: My name is Joe Basilone. I live in Williamsburg, Virginia. I'm also lucky enough to have a home in Avon, North Carolina. I do not agree with the impact -- the Draft Impact Statements. Failure to adequately recognize the cultural considerations inherent in combined ORV use, surf fishing and the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The statement lacks meaningful content dedicated

to cultural resource issues. Dictionary.com defines "culture" as, "The behaviors and beliefs characteristic of a particular social, ethnic or age group." National Park Service guidelines state, "A landscape can also constitute traditional cultural property, if it is a location where a community has traditionally carried out economic or other cultural practices important in maintaining its historic identity." The behaviors and beliefs of off-road vehicle surf fishermen are definitely those of a particular social group. And, it is a group that has been established for almost a century. Our very presence here tonight is indisputable testimony to our dedication to the tradition and economics important in maintaining our historic identity. The first book about surf fishing, The Call of the Surf written by Van Campen Heilner and Frank Stick was copyrighted The first ferries at Hatteras began in the midin 1920. '20s, when Captain Toby Tillett established a tug and barge service across Oregon Inlet to Hatteras Island. vehicles that Captain Tillett brought to Hatteras all drove on the sand. There were no roads. Heilner's best-selling book, Salt Water Fishing, first copyrighted in 1937, in which stayed in print until 1956, contains a photograph of a Model-T Ford on the beach at Hatteras. The Model-T has balloon tires and tackle boxes. A surf fisherman is standing beside it with a big drum. And Heilner refers to it as a beach

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

buggy. The North Carolina Beach Buggy Association and the Cape Hatteras Angler's Club are two of the largest organized groups that are particular to this social group. These groups and others have been sharing the camaraderie and energy of fishing on the beach for many decades. The National Park Service is in conflict with itself, when it simultaneously advocates severely restricted access and yet, acknowledges on page 83 of the statement that ORV access is historical in nature. I have other comments. I've submitted them in writing, and many of the other presenters have expressed them before me. Thank you for the opportunity to speak.

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you, Joe. Bonny Basilone is next, followed by Archie Tripp, and then John Ewell.

MS. BONNY BASILONE: I'm Bonny Basilone. I disagree with the DEIS concerning a pet policy as stated on page 136. The policy prohibits pets on the Seashore from March 15 to July 31. The Park Service already has regulations concerning unleashed pets, and these regulations should be enforced. Additional extremely restrictive pet regulations are not justified by the available data. I disagree with the DEIS proposed restrictive measures and inflexible buffers as found on pages 121 through 127, and page 468. These will prevent off-road vehicle use on large portions of the National Seashore. I agree with efforts to establish reasonable

access corridors, so that ORVs can access the surf zone in the National Seashore, and I am in favor of the Coalition for Access 77-page proposal. Thank you.

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you, Bonny. Next is Archie Tripp, followed by John Ewell, and Alan Bartlett.

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ARCHIE TRIPP: Good afternoon. And thank you for coming and thank you for giving us this opportunity to speak. I am Archie Tripp. I'm also a scientist, retired. A nature A past member of the Audubon Society. A wildlife photographer. And, most importantly, a full-time beach bum. I want to see all wildlife thrive on the Outer Banks. have supported in the past, beach closings, to protect the It think it's important. However, as I see it, the plover. proposed plan goes far beyond what I can support. support of moderate people is vital to successful implementation of any plan. Specifically, items that really hit me were the 1,000-meter closure per nest. As pointed out earlier, depending on how you do the math, 63 nests could close the entire beach. I don't think that would happen, but that still comes out that way. As was so well just stated, what's the purpose of not allowing that the dog could walk on a leash through these areas? I don't know. Not up to the nest, of course, but outside of some boundary. Other things were -- it seems like large sections of beach are going to be closed to pedestrians -- even pedestrians during this time.

And I'm not talking about the specific areas around the nest, but broad areas. And then, part of the beach is to be closed to all -- the off-range vehicles full-time. I -- I see that as excessive. I urge you to protect the plover, but such protection must be supported by the body politic. These proposed rules do not meet that criteria. Thank you.

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you, Archie. John Ewell, followed by Alan Bartlett, and Chip Pitts.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JOHN EWELL: I've got to change glasses. is John Ewell. I'm not an expert. I'm not a scientist. just love to surf fish. I'm sort of selfish tonight, because I'm speaking for me and for friends I've fished with for 25 years on the Outer Banks. I'm a surf fisherman during April, May, October and November. I want to reference the closures due to birds on page 468. ORV corridors are very important. I agree that birds need to be protected, but the DEIS goes too far. I'm not smart enough to determine how big a buffer I only know that there has to be a way that we needs to be. can work together to protect the birds and still give us fisherman an ORV corridor, or a bypass around the buffer, so we can reach our favorite fishing spots, like Cape Point, Hatteras Island, and the south end of Ocracoke. Next, I want to talk about the closure due to turtles, page 125 in the report. Here again, I want turtles protected, but again, the DEIS goes too far. As a fisherman, I think if the closure

runs to the surf line, we should have an ORV corridor behind the nest and the dunes, or around -- established by the National Park Service around the dunes, so we can again, reach our favorite fishing spots. In closing, I want to say that this -- that it is my opinion that surf fishermen did not have any input into the DEIS report. If they did have input, none of it ended up in this report. In other words, I believe that the report as written is biased. All I'm asking for is consideration and objectivity in the final report. Thank you.

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you, John. Next is Alan Bartlett, then Chip Pitts, and Chris Egghart.

MR. ALAN BARTLETT: Hi. I'm Alan Bartlett from
Portsmouth, Virginia. First, let me thank the Park Service
for providing a convenient venue for Hampton Roads residents
to attend this Public Comment Session. Second, I'd like to
provide a little prospective as to my stake in this whole
matter. I live in Virginia, but I spend approximately 90
days per year on Hatteras Island. My wife and I spend 80
percent of our annual recreational budget on the island,
primarily investing in local businesses. We have a trailer
in Buxton, and, since 2005, have been joined there by four
other relatives, their families and two very close friends,
with their family of three. Over the last 30 years, we've
taught our children how to enjoy, respect, and give back to

the Cape Hatteras National Seashore and recreational area. 1 The good memories are innumerable. The local culture is 2 3 unique. And the people are as spirited as Hatteras and Ocracoke are beautiful. I'm not going to focus primarily on birds, turtles, enclosures, and such, because I'm not a 5 scientist or a qualified expert. I can offer an opinion 6 based upon what I've read today, but by now, I think we've 7 all heard enough opinions. This whole thing is about people, 8 9 and I'm qualified to speak about people, because I've had 15 10 -- 57 years of experience in the role. I believe that the mutual long-range goal of a couple of well-funded special 11 12 interest groups is to remove people altogether from Hatteras Island. Their methodologies include marketing and public 13 relations campaigns, investing millions of dollars in 14 lobbying activities and political contributions, and 15 retaining the SELC by -- to repeatedly sue the federal 16 government into submission, in order to eliminate beach 17 access altogether. If these groups prevail, there may be no 18 surf fishing, surfing, kite-boarding, swimming, sunbathing, 19 20 pets, picnics, and family activities on federal land, originally set aside for the recreation and enjoyment of tax-21 22 paying American citizens, and their guests. Short term, and at a minimum, I oppose any plan that includes the following 23 found in the DEIS: permanent closings; Hatteras Inlet, north 24 25 end of Ocracoke, and ramps 27 and 30; 1,000 meter plover

buffers; prohibition of pets from March 15 through July 31, and the elimination of predators by the NPS in the name of conversation. Long term, I call upon our government agencies: to recognize the intended use of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore and recreational area, and to reinstate common-sense access policies before special interests force the local economy to fail; force state and local tax bases to disappear; and residents to be deprived of the ability to make sustainable living. This is about people.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you very much. Next is Chip Pitts, who will be followed by Chris Egghart, and Jean Fripp.

MR. CHIP PITTS: Good evening. Thank you for the opportunity to speak. I had prepared statements, but everything I was going to say has already been pretty well stated eloquently and passionately by the speakers before me. But I've driven all the way over here, and I'm going to say something. My name is Chip Pitts. I live in Virginia Beach. My house is two blocks from the ocean. I can walk out my front door, walk two blocks and stand in the Atlantic Ocean. We have a cottage in Buxton. It's been in my wife's family for over 50 years, and when we think about going to the beach, we think about driving two and a half hours to go to Buxton. We don't think about walking two blocks to go to the Buxton is a jewel on the east coast, and that -- that has been passionately stated by the speakers here. But, as

I've sat out there, one of the things that has kind of come to my mind, is I'm glad I'm not you people. And I say that with all sincerity. I -- I did not participate in or attend the Neg-Reg [sic] meetings -- Reg-Neg meetings, but I followed them. And you have a situation where you have stakeholders on the one side, that are occupied by people who believe that there should be open access to the beach, that there should be reasonable, responsible, traditional uses of the beach, that 70 years of that access has proven to provide a healthy ecosystem on the beach. And they believe that people should be allowed to go there to recreate, and are part of the stewardship of the beach, to maintain that healthy ecosystem. On the other side, you have stakeholders whose published literature indicates that human activity on the beach is the problem. And they have really not spent their effort in trying to find a way that includes human activity in preservation of -- of the resource. bodies are not going to agree. You have to come up with a plan that will manage the beach for 10 to 15 years. Draft Environmental Impact Statement addresses what we can do, when can we do it, and how we can do it. It doesn't say You have not noted the scientific methodology, the peer-reviewed data, why you are making the decisions. The time of year of the closure closure boundaries. boundaries. If you expect public acceptance of your plan, it

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

needs to be reasoned. It needs to be specific. It needs to be scientifically supportable. And it can't smack of the Park Service being intimidated by special interest groups.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you. The next speaker is Chris Egghart, followed by Jean Fripp and Buddy Krise.

MR. CHRIS EGGHART: My name is Chris Egghart. I'm from Richmond. I'm a cultural resource and environmental professional. And I've read the entire DEIS and let me tell you, three minutes is not enough to talk about everything in that -- three hours might not be enough. But I'm here to talk about -- specifically, about the traditional cultural value of -- of the Outer Banks beaches, particularly the spit and inlet areas. I disagree with the DEIS in that it -- it does not address the issue of these areas that is traditional cultural properties, despite them being formally identified to the Park Service and requested to be evaluated. despite that the spit and inlet areas to a "T" meet the published quidelines -- the definitions of traditional cultural properties, published by the Park Service. National Register Bulletin 38. This situation is even more perplexing, as when the then Director of the National Park Service, when the Cape Hatteras National Seashore recreation area was being formed, promised the people of the Outer Banks that they would have -- always have access to their beaches. And he did this out of recognition of the traditional

cultural value of these beaches and the access to those beaches -- that importance. That traditional cultural importance has only grown since then. Access to the beach is part of what it means to be an Outer Banker, or to have an Outer Banks experience. A previous speaker mentioned the historic aspect of beach buggy use. Well, it goes that much further. For the local communities, the Outer Banks is the beach for the -- excuse me, the Outer Banks communities -the beaches are a meeting place. A social gathering place. In a very real -- in a very real sense, it helps define what it means to be a member of that traditional community. Alternative F in the DEIS completely takes that away. surf zone activities are components of an unbroken pattern of land use that spans back many generations before the establishment of the Seashore, and remain integral to the fabric of the historically unique Outer Banks communities. In short, it's about the people. And, thank you very much. Thank you, Chris. Next will be Jean MR. SKIDMORE:

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you, Chris. Next will be Jean Fripp, followed by -- I'm going to try a different pronunciation -- Buddy Krise, and then Jennifer Brinkley.

MS. JEAN FRIPP: Thank you. Thank you for allowing us to speak. I'm Jean Fripp. I live in Williamsburg, Virginia. I'm also a property owner on Hatteras Island. And I will be brief. I believe we're all stewards of the environment. No one wants to see an animal become

endangered. However, I strongly believe the dynamic beauty of the Outer Banks can be shared and enjoyed by families, fishermen, and wildlife. I do not support the closing of the beaches on Hatteras Island to the vehicular traffic. I do not support closing the beaches to leashed pets. And I do not support fencing off a square mile of beach for a bird's nest. These things are not necessary and they are not realistic. We need a rational plan that protects wildlife and recognizes the recreational and economic value of the Outer Banks. Thank you for letting me speak.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you very much. Buddy will be followed by Jennifer Brinkley and James Higham.

MR. A. E. "BUDDY" KRISE: My name is Buddy Krise. knew you wouldn't spell it -- say it right, but that's all right. Don't worry about it. If you did, I'd be scared. I'm not a speaker and I'm not really prepared like I'd like to be. But, we are letting people who are in another part of the world dictate us what we should be doing. If we took their hobby -- whatever it may be -- golfing, hang-gliding and told them they couldn't do that, they'd have a whole different picture about this thing. You're taking -- I'm not a surf fisherman. I love the beach. I love anything I do in water, but a lot of these fellows have said more than I can You take that from them, you're taking their thing for them to live, to work for, and struggle for. And National

Parks right now are hurting for money. And here we are --1 and I say we as the public -- spending a lot of money, trying 2 to decide what should happen -- to be done to that beach 3 could be spent better in the parks. The marina at Cape -- at Ocracoke -- that needs -- federal park needs a ton of work 5 done there. This money we're spending for this could be put 6 in down there. So, like somebody at Hatteras said a couple 7 years ago about this thing, why are we letting somebody who 8 lives in Tennessee, Kentucky, Arizona or wherever they may be 9 10 across the country, tell us how to live? We've got enough of this federal government telling us how to live. Thank you. 11 And I appreciate the time. 12 MR. SKIDMORE: 13

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you, Buddy. Next is Jennifer Brinkley, followed by John Higham, and Richard Kittrell.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. JENNIFER BRINKLEY: Hello. My name is Jennifer Brinkley. I grew up in the Tidewater. I have been going down to Cape Hatteras since before I was born. And I fully support keeping the beaches open. I think that the National Park Service should be ashamed of themselves. And --

COURT REPORTER'S NOTE: The audience applause drowned out the final words of her statement.

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you. Next is James Higham.

MR. JAMES HIGHAM: James Higham from Virginia Beach, Virginia. The first thing I'd like to point out is that Conrad Wirth promised all visitors and residents access to

24

25

the beach. And the Park Service has not kept that promise. I don't hear enough stressed about the people and the economy of Hatteras Island. I feel that is very, very important. Another thing that I think is also extremely important is the enabling legislation, which created the Seashore for the benefit and enjoyment of the people. I'm very disappointed with the Park Service's laziness, as it pertains to habitat degradation. Places that used to support vast colonies of the colonial waterbirds are now overgrown with vegetation, so the birds have no place left to go but on the beach, where human disturbance and predation and weather are a problem. Ι think the Park Service should really work on that. Your adaptive management and pilot programs, I think could be implemented much earlier. The access groups have stated that they're ready to do habitat management or manipulation, whatever you want to call it, at the time, so that the birds can have a place and we can access the beaches. It seems like a win-win situation. I don't know why the need to have pilot programs and studies, when they're already in place. Also, I'm a big fan of using the beach at night, and there have been no studies done to date to qualify or quantify the effects that nighttime beach use has on nesting sea turtles at Cape Hatteras. I really believe that Species [sic] Management Areas is just another tool to keep people off the beach. I mean, the birds have wings. They're going to nest

where they want -- the Dredge Islands, you know. I mean, so, 1 if you could have an SMA, you know, it's only coming up for 2 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

review for every five years, and all the birds are elsewhere. The area's going to be closed. We're just going to have nowhere to go. I -- it's ridiculous. I think this game is I really do. Also, the Park Service and U.S. Fish and Wildlife have target numbers for piping plovers, and whatnot. I'd like to know the target numbers for foxes, raccoons, possums, minx. I want to know how many in the Park Service thinks it's viable in that ecosystem, so we're not killing too many. Also, I think three minutes is just a joke -- 800 pages -- three minutes. That's ridiculous. I fully support no action Alternative A. I believe that is the best balance between resource protection and recreation. I think it's ridiculous that we have a Consent Decree. I think this 800 pages is just insane. I can't believe this is happening Mike Murray, I don't -- I don't know what to tell you, man, but I'm not very happy with you.

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you. Please submit any additional comments you have in writing. Next is Richard Kittrew, or Kittrell, followed by Mark Feltner and Dean Johnson.

I'm Rick Kittrell. MR. RICHARD KITTRELL: I'm a home owner on the Outer Banks. Been going down there over 50 years, like the other lady said, even before I was born. Ιt

qoes back -- I think part of this goes back to what -- what was the original intent for this land? At least part of that intent is what has been said a half a dozen times, at least, that I've heard tonight. And that was for the benefit and enjoyment of the people. So, how is it possible, if we're going to close the beaches, that we're going to get that enjoyment and benefit? My experience watching the fowl and the other creatures down there is, by driving, walking and so forth, and observing them. Cormorants, plover or other They move out of the traffic birds, they pick up and move. areas and so forth. So, that kind of brings me to my next point. And that is, these creatures can move to the thousands of other acres that are available and at the disposal of the National Park Service. And some of those areas are either -- either not visited by humans or rarely visited by humans. The ramps and the recreational areas that people traverse, drive and so forth, and go fishing on, are but a small percentage of the total acreage that's available to the National Park Service. Discrete areas can certainly be cordoned off for the wildlife and to protect those animals and creatures. So, in summary, this is about people. I say keep the beaches open. Thank you.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you, Richard. Next is Mark Feltner, followed by Dean Johnson, and Scott Almond.

MR. MARK FELTNER: Good evening. And thanks for the

opportunity to comment. For the public record, I'm Mark Feltner, President of Virginia Coastal Access Now, VCAN, 1356 Pamlico Boulevard, Chesapeake, Virginia, 22332. VCAN is our 300-member, non-profit group that represents salt-water recreational anglers, beachgoers, and the public, including many Virginia residents, working to protect public access and ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I am also a scientist, and a surf fisherman, for what that's worth. review of the options in the DEIS, VCAN cannot support any of the alternatives as they are all flawed in diminishing visitor experience, and do not recognize the socio-economic and cultural resource impacts. Our non-profit does support the hard work by and the position of the Hatteras Coalition in their fight to restore public access to the beaches of Cape Hatteras. Everyone, including the Park Service, needs to remember first and foremost, that Hatteras is a National Seashore or Park. Not a National Wildlife Refuge, like Pea Island embedded in Hatteras, or our own Back Bay here in Virginia Beach. At a National Wildlife Refuge, the wildlife comes first; public access and use, second. At a National Park or Seashore, public access and use comes first, and wildlife, second. That is not to say and/or ignore the fact that we're all stewards of these natural resources, including the habitat and the wildlife at Hatteras. The greatest conservationists, environmental stewards and guardians of

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Hatteras are the people who rely on and access it the most, be it for commercial, residential or recreational reasons. No real scientific data demonstrates any loss to critical habitat or wildlife from normal ORV usage at Hatteras -- a prime example being that Hatteras is at the southern end of the piping plover's range and the documented population trends, including plover population decreases, correlate better to hurricanes, Nor'easters, and habitat destruction, due to storm damage and not ORV use at the beach. Remember also, that Hatteras natives, North Carolinians and the public were utilizing motorized vehicles on the Outer Banks before the establishment of Cape Hatteras National Seashore in 1953, and the current legal ORV and access condition brought about from the Hatteras Consent Decree, which inherently violates the cultural heritage that is Hatteras. Lastly, we have all lost too much public access to our nation's coastal waters, from both the extremes -- private developers and environmental zealots -- to accept any more. The nation's first National Seashore was meant for the people. Let's keep it that way. Thank you for your time.

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you, Mark. Dean Johnson is next, followed by Scott Almond, and Gary Gross.

MR. DEAN JOHNSON: I'd like to reiterate what he just said. And I guess I've been to too many of these things, when your staff recognizes me at the door, and says, "This

quy knows the drill." I'm Dean Johnson and I'm a vendor, of 1 course, that does business on Ocracoke and Hatteras Island. 2 And I've stated at the other meetings in Buxton, and Ocracoke 3 and Kill Devil Hills that I'm totally against what's written in Alternative F, on the socio-economic impact. I believe, in Kill Devil Hills, I gave numbers into the record that 6 shows 100 percent increase in products sold with beaches open 7 as opposed to closed. The economic impact is going to be 8 detrimental to the businesses on Hatteras, which in turn affects vendors like myself. But, I just want to reiterate, 10 Mr. Murray, that I took my time to go to Ocracoke, to go to 11 the Buxton meeting, to go to the Kill Devil Hills meeting, 12 couldn't make Raleigh, but made it up here tonight, to show 13 14 you the importance that it is, that we use some common-sense approaches to this, so that it does not put people like 15 myself out of business. I'm 43. Maybe I could learn a new 16 trade, but I'm pretty daggone good at what I do, and if those 17 beaches are open, then a lot of people will -- will prosper. 18 19 Thank you.

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you, Dean. Next is Scott Almond, followed by Gary Gross, and Dave Vachet.

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SCOTT ALMOND: My name is Scott Almond and I live in Virginia Beach, Virginia. I'd like to thank the National Park Service for having this public meeting here in Hampton, Virginia, to hear my comments. My wife, son, and myself,

enjoy visiting the beaches of Cape Hatteras National Seashore and access to the beach with our vehicle's the very reason my family chooses to vacation in that area. I will offer a few comments on the DEIS with respect to Alternative F, the NPS preferred alternative, as described on xi and xii, the executive summary that directly relates to vehicle access to the beach. On page 15 of the executive summary, I respectfully disagree with ML1 closure restrictions. Alternative F for Cape Point, .2 mile west of the hook to ramp 45, and onto new ramp 47, from March 15 through July 31. I believe this area should remain an ORV route year-round. On page 16 of the executive summary, I respectfully disagree with ML1 closure restriction under Alternative F. The ocean shore line from .2 miles southwest of Bone Road to the inlet. I believe this area should remain open and an ORV route yearround. On page 123, which is a part of Table 10, Species Management Strategies for Action Alternatives, I respectfully disagree with the buffer of 1,000 meters for unfledged chick buffers for the piping plover. Considering that 1,500 meters is known as the metric mile, this 1,000 meter buffer is, indeed, about two-thirds of a mile. I believe that 200 meters is a more reasonable distance, which would still be about twice the length of a football field. Thank you for your time.

1

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you, Scott. Gary will be

followed by Dave Vachet and Howard Quillon.

1

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GARY GROSS: My name is Gary Gross. Tonight at the final public meeting, I'd like to thank you, Mr. Superintendent, for the way these meetings have been conducted, and the opportunity that you've given all of us to I've heard many people talk from the heart about the importance of preserving beach access. Their message has been clear and consistent about what they want you to change or add to Alternative F. The people want protection of resources, but ask you to base it on peer-reviewed science with reasonable buffers. The people want you to have the flexibility to establish common-sense corridors throughout the seashore that would provide access without harming wildlife. The people want you to reconsider the economic The government did not do a very good job on the socio-economic section of the DEIS. They farmed out a bunch of it to outside contractors, rather than connect with the community and find out for themselves. At best, the economic impact section's superficial and incomplete. It was not well-thought out. I say that, Mr. Murray, not to criticize, but to remind you that it can be fixed. Please listen to the people and make the critical changes to Alternative F that you have heard over and over again, from the heart of the people. During these meetings, along with you, I've listened to the razzle-dazzle from SELC. They said, "We've looked at

the five other National Seashores on the Atlantic coast that 1 have ORV plans. There's 150 miles in those seashores. 2 allow ORV use in 26 miles." Not true. On just the Padre 3 4 Island National Seashore, 63.5 of the 70 miles are open to beach driving year-round. In Kill Devil Hills, I heard them 5 say, "They would like to see equal access for pedestrian 6 users of the beach as well as ORV." Then, last night in 7 8 Raleigh, we heard them talk out of the other side of their 9 mouth, saying, "We believe a true no-action alternative would look at no driving on the seashore, and that there would be 10 11 the proper environmental base-line." Their message changes 12 like the tides on the seashores of Hatteras. But, from the people, you have heard one consistent theme: 13 protect resources, balance it with reasonable access, and reconsider 14 the economic impact. Tonight, we look to you. 15 As the 16 Superintendent, you're the one that we look to, to guide this thing through the rest of the federal process, and have it 17 18 come out later this year in a way that properly balances 19 resource protection with reasonable recreational access. Mr. 20 Superintendent, we are entrusting our future to you. Please do the right thing. 21 Thank you.

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you, Gary. Dave Vachet, followed by Howard Quillon and Sheila Scoville.

22

23

24

25

MR. DAVE VACHET: Thanks for the opportunity to speak. I'm also opposed to all of the alternatives

presented --

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SKIDMORE: Excuse me, sir. Would you say your name?

MR. VACHET: Oh, I'm sorry. My name's Dave Vachet.

I live in Norfolk, Virginia.

MR. SKIDMORE: Make sure we have the right pronunciation.

MR. VACHET: Gotcha. I'm opposed to all the alternatives, as well, presented in the DEIS, because they are not -- they are flawed in the fact that they diminish the existing visitor experience, and do not recognize the socioeconomic and cultural resource impacts. And, in an effort to manage wildlife, pedestrian and ORV usage is being unfairly portrayed as a significant factor affecting nesting success of birds. The proposed buster -- buffers for nesting birds are not based on peer-reviewed science, and are not standards used anywhere else in NPS-managed lands. In essence, the public is being penalized where predation and storms are the true factors to the lack of success. This diminishes the existing visitor experience. This Seashore is also unique from other Seashores in that multiple villages and communities exist inside the boundaries of the Park. to these towns and the communities are more acute than to surrounding communities, whenever the Park Service institutes I don't believe the alternatives in this document

have properly evaluated the socio-economic and historical and cultural impacts to these communities contained therein. The large ROI mentioned is too large to adequately assess the economic impacts to the villages. And, very little is addressed in terms of historic access to the shoreline. Please address these deficiencies in the final document. Thanks.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you, Dave. Next is Howard Quillon, followed by Sheila Scoville, and Peter Doherty.

MR. HOWARD QUILLON: Good evening. My name is Howard Quillon, and I am the President of the United Mobile Sports Fisherman Association, representing over 50,000 anglers, and the Vice President of the Assateague Mobile Sports Fisherman Association, representing over 1,200 anglers. I've been visiting Cape Hatteras National Seashore and recreational area for over 40 years, and I've not heard anything tonight that I disagree with. And, I do disagree with the Preferred Alternative F for the management of Cape Hatteras. defined, it is over -- over-restrictive and excessive in I believe that a more balanced approach of nature. preservation, conservation and recreation can be achieved, and a few examples are as follows. Under Species Management, specifically page 468, piping plover. And I'm only going to cite a few. The current plan, as well as proposed, states that a 1,000 meter buffer zone, that we've heard many times,

around the area nest be employed. The suggested parameters 1 are a range of 300 to 1,000 meters. The current maximum 2 practice has not increased the fledgling count. It is well 3 known that vehicle traffic is less disturbing to a nest than pedestrian traffic. The primary reason for failing piping 5 plover nests are not due to human intervention. They are due 6 to weather and predation. Furthermore, activity in the area 7 actually helps to diminish the predation, due to consistent 8 activity. By reducing or stopping activity in the area, we 9 are increasing the likelihood of predation. Implementing a 10 flexible buffer zone, identified on pages 121 through 127, 11 that allows traffic to pass, will serve to the best of all 12 interests. Starting with a 300-meter zone, and increasing 13 when and if necessary, as the chicks hatch, depending on 14 which way the chicks go to feed, the zone could be expanded. 15 The management process is employed where I live. Assateague 16 Island National Seashore management works very cooperatively 17 with the OSV community and routinely has good exhaust --18 19 results. Last year, we averaged 1.38 chicks per nest. Species Management Analysis. You must include all areas of 20 the region in order to determine the effectiveness of a 21 management program, and not focus on selected areas. 22 Seashores change daily, thus the habitat changes daily. 23 When areas are created, either by man or by nature, and are 24 conducive to bird procreation, they must be included in the 25

overall management of that species. I'll skip to the socioeconomic impact. I have provided a full copy of this. Cape
Hatteras has long been a summertime vacation spot and for -and spring and fall fisherman attraction. This has helped
every village and community thrive. The villages' culture
activities pre-date the National Park Service by decades.
Alternative F's overly restrictive practices of resource
management will further cripple the economy and the peoples'
lives. Under the current Consent Decree, the economic impact
has been severe and devastating in many cases. As cited
earlier, their -- the answer, "A business will have to
adapt," page 383, is short-sighted and unacceptable. I see
I'm out of time and I'll just simply say that you must use
adaptive management in order to achieve a balanced result.
Thank you.

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you. Next is Sheila Scoville, followed by Peter Doherty, and Judy Swartwood.

MS. SHEILA SCOVILLE: Good evening. My name is Sheila Scoville. And I'm about to become the most unpopular person in the room, but I would ask that you respect my opinions as I'm respecting yours. Thank you for letting me speak. This is, I'm sure, something you're familiar with. It's the U.S. Code that established the National Parks and all of its associated services, such as the National Monuments, and so on. And I'm going to read from it exactly,

and I'm not -- this isn't -- I'm not cherrypicking here. This is an exact quotation. "The service thus established shall promote and regulate the use of the federal areas known as National Parks, Monuments, and Reservations herein specified as provided by law by such means and measures as to conform to the fundamental purpose of such said Parks, Monuments and Reservations, which purpose is to conserve the scenery and the natural and historic objects, and the wildlife therein, and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." Now, I'm not a local. not a member of the Hatteras community, but this is a federal property, and, you know, it was turned over to the federal government in, I believe, the '30s. And, as everybody knows, if -- if you sell your house, you don't get to tell the next homeowner what color to paint the -- the property. And the mandate for the operation of a national facility such as this, it's the enjoyment of these -- protection of the scenery and the wildlife is secondary to the protection of the wildlife there. And, as all of you are as passionate about seeing that your children and grandchildren enjoy what you do, I enjoy seeing the birds at Hatteras. And I take my children and I hope to take my grandchildren to see the endangered and threatened species that are represented there. And, I'm -- I'm sorry if the locals feel that they've gotten

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sort of a raw deal. But again, it's a federal facility and I have as much right to enjoyment of the facility as the local people do. And this is also from the -- this -- the Hatteras website. It says, "Cape Hatteras National Seashore, a globally important bird area, is a critical natural landform along the Atlantic flyway, serving as a major resting and feeding grounds for migratory birds." And I endorse the strictest preservation of the areas set aside for the shorebirds. Thank you.

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you, Sheila. Next is Peter Doherty, followed by Judy Swartwood, and David Joyner.

MR. PETER DOHERTY: Thank you. My name is Peter Doherty. I'm a field biologist. I'm a veteran. And I'm a member of the Defenders of Wildlife. I've spent a good deal of time at Hatteras as a visitor, and also I've spent a good deal of time following piping plovers in various places around its range, including Massachusetts, Oregon Inlet, and The Bahamas. There's a lot of misinformation tonight about piping plover, about biology and shorebird biology. It's been uttered here tonight, both about the plover. Indeed, there is a threatened plover, and an endangered plover population in this -- in this United States of America. And they all use Hatteras.

MR. SKIDMORE: Sir, please -- please address the Superintendent.

MR. DOHERTY: Yeah. Number two. The long-term goal
of any biologist that I know and any group in governmental
biologists that I've come in contact, and any environmental
lawyer, is to do, not as been suggested tonight, but rather
it is to get each and every species that is on the threatened
endangered list off that list. De-list it. Like the eagle.
Like the pelican. Number three. Yes, many millions of birds
are killed by automobiles, by by windows and by feral
cats. And and many, many people have worked very, very
hard and long about it. But it's not a germane issue here
tonight. We are all here. We all own this beach. And the
purpose we own this beach, this CAHA and this Cape Lookout
and Cape Code National Seashore and others, is to pass on,
not only its uses, but its wildlife, as Sheila Scoville
mentioned just a moment ago. Finally, two points. About
this traditional, cultural use argument that I keep hearing,
both here and on various websites. Look at the cover that
you chose for the for the EIS, and it shows it shows
some traditional uses. It also shows a large part of Oregon
Inlet, looking like a tailgate party at an NFL football game
on a Sunday morning. This isn't this isn't a recreational
area in that respect, and and it isn't being respected
when we use it as it has been for the last 35 years, as ORV
usage has increased as our population has increased, et
cetera. And one more thing. My major my major

reservation of this -- of Alternative F and there are many good things in Alternative F -- is about -- how -- how poorly, I think, wintering shorebirds and in-transit staging shorebirds have been treated. I would -- I would ask the Park Service to look closely at the methodology that was used in the Oregon Inlet study that I did with Virginia Tech several years back when -- when you, Mr. Superintendent, first came to CAHA. The -- the -- the information there forms -- forms a framework upon which to do it at the other inlets. And -- and thank you very much.

б

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you, sir. Next is Judy Swartwood, followed by David Joyner, Darin Knicely, and Christina Knicely.

MS. JUDY SWARTWOOD: Hi. My name is Judy Swartwood. I'm a resident and a business owner in Buxton, North Carolina. And I can't for the life of me figure out why the environmentalists want to teach the next generation that wildlife is something that prevents them from enjoying the seashore. As several speakers have addressed, the reasons that they come down there is to see an osprey dive in water, and come out with a fish. Or to a kid from Pennsylvania, who's never seen the ocean, to see a turtle nest enclosure. Those are big deals to people. That's why they come to our beaches. And how're they going to experience these things, pieces of the shipwreck or watching the sandpipers run back

and forth in the waves. All these beautiful things that people can no longer enjoy and experience because they can't access the beach. They used to have the freedom to come down there and choose what time of day they wanted to go to the beach, what part of the beach they chose to go to, what they chose to do there, and who they chose to take with them, including their dog. Now they're going to be dictated to as to when, where, how, why, and what they can do, what they can't do. So, generations are going to learn that a beach is something -- no offense to you Virginia Beach people -- but that's shaded by high-rise buildings, that looks like a patchwork quilt full of towels, and beach umbrellas, something that they can't run around on and play on because they might step on somebody, or somebody's things. what we really want to teach our future generations that the beach is all about? Where the nightlife consists of bars, and drinking, and amusement parks? Or where the nightlife consists of the Milky Ways and shooting stars and the things they can experience out under the dark skies, that they can no longer experience, when they can't go out to the beach in the dark? When people don't have a reason to come down there for a unique visitor experience, they're going to quit coming down there. As a business owner, we live on our business property, as do many business owners have their homes above their restaurants, in their campgrounds, in their hotels.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There's many self-employed people. People. All of us work hard. We work in the service industry. Service is the word that the Park Service either needs to get back to or take out of its name. And these people are not eligible for unemployment benefits. We don't get sick leave. We don't get vacation. We don't get a check from the federal government or the state government when we lose our businesses, and we have no income. We don't get those things. And this is what's happening to us right now.

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you, Judy. David Joyner is next, followed by Darin Knicely and Christina Knicely, then Carter Ficklen.

MR. DARIN KNICELY: Good evening. I'm Darin Knicely. Thank you, Superintendent, Mr. Skidmore, for having this forum here for us this evening. I'm a resident of Hampton, Virginia. My wife and family are lucky enough to visit the Seashore each summer and quite a few times throughout the year. I'm not naturally from here. Blue Ridge Mountains, Shenandoah Valley is where I grew up. And that's pretty much tattooed across me. And that's what I go through life knowing, that that's something we have to preserve. And luckily, through my family and my marriage, we were able to be married in Avon. Hopefully, we'll be able to take our kids back there someday. But through her family, I got to see the joy and love that there is on the beach, especially

25

somewhere like Avon and Salvo, where you don't have all the amenities and you can really figure out how nature was, and how you can interact with it safely. But, a lot of the speaking tonight was around empirical research and what the findings were. So, I looked at some of the research articles 2005 Journal of Wildlife Management published an article, and that's kind of something I'm concerned with, with this work, is what's published. Because I don't see much citing, other than the NPS services in the manual. findings work. Yes, there was possibly a regional decline. But let's see where it was. Results indicated an 8.4 increase from 1991 but only a .2 increase since 1996, and this is 2001. Atlantic Coast increased by 78 percent, and 12.4 percent from '91 -- from '96 to 2001. Where are we having trouble? Texas, Great Plains, Saskatchewan, Canada. As we go through, we also see that it's continuing. regional studies show that the Atlantic coast, 66.2 percent increase, and still from 2000 -- sorry, '96 to 2001, we saw another 12 percent increase. So, my common denominator, when you talk about lobbyists and who they're choosing to change their policies, is the weakest link. And I'm not going after I'm not going after Michigan if I'm a lobbyist. going after an area that I feel doesn't have the resources or the support. But, unfortunately, what they're going to find out, it's much like the Blue Ridge Mountains, that you don't

mess with our land. It's gonna be much like that in the Outer Banks. Thank you.

MR. SKIDMORE: If you could just wait just a minute. That was Darin; correct?

MR. KNICELY: Yes, sir.

MR. SKIDMORE: Okay. David Joyner.

MR. DAVID JOYNER: Good evening. I'm David Joyner, Vice President of North Carolina Beach Buggy Association, a resident of Franklin, Virginia. Mike, in the DEIS, you have attributed Alternative F as being the result of the advisory committee. I don't know what meetings you were at, but for 18 months that I spent on Reg-Neg, none of that came out of what the North Carolina Beach Buggy Association agreed to. We worked very hard to try to come up with a plan that would reach consensus, and were constantly stonewalled by people with their own special interests. Once again, the North Carolina Beach Buggy Association has worked very hard with other access groups, and come up with a position statement, and it's the Coalition for Beach Access. Please read that because we did write that. We did not do anything with this and please take our name off of that DEIS. Thank you.

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you, David. Christina is next, followed by Carter Ficklen, and Bill Mannschreck.

MS. CHRISTINA KNICELY: Hi. Good evening. My name's Christina Knicely. I've lived in Hampton, Virginia for 26

years, my entire life. We've been going down to the Outer Banks since before I was born, as well, and I also have a degree in biology. And as far as I'm concerned, nothing that I do on the island harms the environment. I'm a surfer, and so is my family. I grew up surfing with my father and my sister. I've been doing it since I was 12. And I truly believe that nothing we do on the island at all, in any way, will harm any animals that inhabit the area. I grew up with parents that instilled in me and my sister, and our family how to have respect for the environment while we're down there. We don't leave trash. We don't -- we don't destroy anything on the beach. As I've grown up, I've noticed the restricted areas have increased, the roped off areas on both the north and south side of the island. My father would always joke that one day we would never have the ability to drive on the beach. And I never believed that, at 26 years old, I'd be standing here today defending that right. that's all I have to say. Thank you for your time and I really just hope that you make the right decision. you.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you, Christina. Next is Carter Ficklen, followed by Bill Mannschreck, and then Ernie -- Ernie Styron.

MR. CARTER FICKLEN: Thank you, Mr. Murray, Mr. Skidmore, and the other staff whose efforts to bring this to

I feel

Hampton to an area where lots of stakeholders exist for going 1 to the Outer Banks to enjoy and for our recreations. 2 very important issue to everyone. I've seen lots of passion. 3 Warms my heart, all the folks that have not enjoyed a beautiful evening outside tonight, to sit inside a room with 5 no windows, to talk about the place that we love. б 7 like for the last 32 years, I've lived a dream. grandfather showed me Hatteras Island. I live in Yorktown, 8 Virginia, and I've had a lease on a camper in North Beach Campground in Rodanthe for 13 years. I kinda woke up from 10 this dream when I read Option F. And, it terrifies me to be 11 -- not be able to show my children, my grandchildren, and 12 hopefully my great-grandchildren, the joy that I've 13 experienced surfing on these beaches for the past 20 some 14 years of my life. Access to the Outer Banks was a key tool 15 in teaching me preservation and understanding our 16 environment, which led me to a career in environmental 17 While I spent a lot of my four and a half years at 18 19 Old Dominion University cutting classes to go to this seashore to surf, I did learn what is supposed to be in an 20 Environmental Impact Statement, and what a peer-reviewed 21 study is. And I do strongly encourage you to seek quality 22 peer-review data and to review this and to really -- I 23 strongly feel that Option F is not a suitable option. 24 that you'll continue in the National Park Service's mission 25

to provide Cape Hatteras National Seashore for the enjoyment of the people. Thank you.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you, Carter. Next is Bill Mannschreck, followed by Ernie Styron, and James Johnston.

MR. BILL MANNSCHRECK: Yes, I'm Bill Mannschreck, from Virginia Beach. There's two issues -- the turtles and the birds. My understanding about the turtles is the Fish and Wildlife Organization is, when they find a nest, they're moving it to another area. So, I think that the turtle area could be solved easily between them and the Park Service if -- if you would patrol the beach in the morning, then find a nest, we move it to an area that's closed, say like north of Coquina Beach, or wherever the beach is closed. that'd solve the problem, because the turtles will come back to the same area, and we'd never would have to close off the beach again, in our good fishing areas. The second is, Mike, we've heard all these people talk, and I'm going to -- it sounds real logical to me, but I'm on their side. But they all sound like the kind of people that would not be afraid to talk up to their boss if they thought something was going wrong. And that's what I'm asking you to do. I think if you listen to these people, you have to believe that what's going on now is wrong. This -- this plan is -- is wrong. I'd like to ask you to speak up. I'd like to ask you to write a letter to the head of Park Service and say, "I'm in

this job. I'm in a tough predicament, but after hearing all 1 2 the people from Ocracoke, and so on, I'd like to throw out this plan that we're working with now and let the Coalition 3 Plan be the meat to work with." And I think our people, as they've said before, we love the wildlife. We don't want to 5 run over a bird. I -- I think we could draft one heck of a 6 7 good plan in good English in a brief concise package, that would solve the problem, and I think we'd like to work with a 8 9 couple of people here from Preservers of Wildlife, work with 10 them to get their input so that we can keep going the way we have been. I -- I think it would be a crime to have all this 11 12 bureaucracy and closure. So, I ask you Mike, to -- to speak up and -- and say, "Damn it, this is not right. We -- we've 13 -- we've gotta preserve the beach access like it has been." 14 Thank you. 15 16 Thank you, Bill. MR. SKIDMORE: Next is Ernie

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you, Bill. Next is Ernie Styron, followed by James Johnston, and Bob Lanore.

MR. ERNIE STYRON: Good evening. My name's Ernie Styron. I don't know anybody in the room. This is the first meeting I've made. I've been pretty impressed with the response and the words that everybody has said.

MR. SKIDMORE: Sir, please address the Superintendent.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. STYRON: I'll address the Superintendent in a minute. Especially the folks that are here from Protected

Wildlife. I think you have a cause and you have the right to say what you've said. I've got 27 years in the military. I grew up at Hatteras village. I was born in Elizabeth City. My dad was stationed at Ocracoke village. His family was raised in Hatteras village. I know what this is going to do. It's going to destroy a way of life. You're in a tough spot. I recognize that. We have a requirement to have an off-road vehicle plan. Nobody in this room disputes that. I would encourage you, though, to go back and look at what's the purpose of the park. It's for the people to enjoy and see wildlife and participate in it. Taking them out of the picture where you can only look at them from 1,000 yards away, does not help you enjoy life. Looking at it through a camera, through a TV is not quite the same. So, I ask you to go back. The original off-road plan that was submitted was probably pretty good. I didn't read through all of it. years ago, we had a plan. It did get executed. through there today, you don't see people down there throwing trash out their windows. If you had seen that over the last 70 years, the beach would be a mess. You drive down there today, what do you find on the beach? You find wildlife. You find fishermen. You find families. Preserve that, please. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you, Ernie. Next is James Johnson, followed by Bob Lanore and Matt DesRoches.

	ı	ı
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

MR. JAMES JOHNSTON: Gentlemen and ladies, thank you							
for this opportunity. I came to express my dissent and							
disagreement, especially with Plan F. And I didn't mean to							
be sarcastic, but it's getting that way. The Park Service is							
managing this just like the guy there that can't even							
pronounce my name. If he reads it, it's Johnston, it's not							
Johnson. Okay? Your turtle plan is totally, totally							
insufficient. I was raised on a farm. I've lived on a farm							
all my life. I still live on a farm in New Jersey. If we							
raised livestock, poultry and other stuff the way you guys do							
the turtles down there, we'd be bankrupt. There are other							
alternatives of what can be done with those turtles to get a							
much higher hatchery rate. You know, even your own figures							
show that you don't do a good job. So, I think you should							
look at hatcheries and other stuff where you can do a better							
job with those turtles, and still keep the beaches open and							
not shutting the beaches, just for the turtles and the lousy							
job that somebody's decided to do, when there's other options							
available. One thing I didn't expect to talk about tonight,							
but I'm going to discuss it. I first came to the Outer Banks							
in the '60s, after coming back from an overseas combat tour							
with the 82nd Airborne Division. I have, since then, had							
post-traumatic stress disorder. When I came over there, I							
found out Hatteras was a spot where you get out on the beach							
and relax and get rid of some of your nightmares and other							

problems. I don't think that's been addressed at all in this plan, about what you're going to do with people with these problems, where they need some space, just to get out. I don't fish in a crowd very often. I usually get off by myself, and a lot of people here that know me will tell you the same thing. I don't think it's been addressed. The other thing is, the lack of an American flag here makes me wonder, do you represent the people of the United States who pledge allegiance to it, or is the Park Service representing a few birds? I'm not trying to be sarcastic. That's just the way I see it. Thank you.

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you. Next is Bob Lanore, followed by Matt DesRoches, Sandy -- I'll try Sandy's later.

MR. BOB LARNORE: Thank you for the opportunity to speak. Mr. Murray, when you came on board with the Park Service here, or at Hatteras, I took great interest in your attitude and toward your thoughts and the way you went about expressing yourself in publications. I'm a member of the North Carolina Beach Buggy Association, and I have received the newsletter ever since it's been published, I believe. Anyway, having been part of the beach process and beach fishing over the years, your predecessors would skirt around the issues about the off-road plan that was in place, or should be permanent, or at least for a defined period of time. They skirted the issues, dodged the bullets and I

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

compliment you for taking this project on, in the way that you said you would when you came on board. My concern tonight is, somehow along the way, the plan has become imbalanced. And I ask you and your committees or whoever is involved to please look at the peoples' issues and think about the people and not about the politicians, but the people who are living there, who go there for recreation, for numerous reasons already mentioned tonight. I believe you have the capacity to do that, and I have the faith in you to think about and work out a plan that has balance that serve the people and also protect the environment. There's plenty of ways to do that, but you need to have the documented data to make -- help you make the decision and make the committee make the decision. I thank you very much for your time, and I hope, sincerely, that we all can enjoy the beach, whether we're there watching birds or there surf fishing during the year with our families, our fishing teams or whomever it may Thank you for your time, and God bless you. I hope you Thank you. have a successful career.

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you, Bob. Matt DesRoches, to be followed by Sandy and I hope this is it -- Schneirla, and then Ed Hayes.

MR. MATT DESROCHES: First of all, I thank you for saying my name correctly.

COURT REPORTER'S NOTE: Activities in the next

conference room are disruptive.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SKIDMORE: Can you hear okay?

WOMAN ATTENDEE: No.

WOMAN ATTENDEE: We can only hear the auction going on next door.

MAN ATTENDEE: There's a lot going on over here.

MR. DESROCHES: My name is Matt DesRoches and I'm from Virginia Beach. Been going down to Hatteras Islands since I was a youngster. Brought my kids down there. always enjoyed the many miles of beach that we had access to down there for surfing, fishing, and other beach activities. I live at Virginia Beach, where, during the summer, we corral all the surfers into a four-block area, and it's madness. And most people, locals like me, don't go even there during the summer. We go to Hatteras. There's miles of beaches that are only accessible by off-road vehicles that families go to and set up camp for the day, picnic, enjoy the beach, enjoy the surfing. There's many surf spots down there throughout the entire island. I own property down there in Frisco, and property in Hatteras village as well. There's --I've gotten to know the locals there very intimately, and they are hurting economically right now. And, as a property owner down there, I feel their pain. A fact, as I can see, it has declined since they first started limiting beach access a year or so ago. Down -- last year, we were down 30

percent in occupancy. In Virginia Beach, we have tourists that come into town from all over. On Hatteras Island, we don't have tourists. We have guests. We have visitors. have vacationers. And there's a big difference there. People don't come down to Hatteras to tour. You're not going to see Seven-Elevens down in Hatteras. You're not going to see McDonald's down in Hatteras. You're not gonna see highrise hotels crowding the beach. You're not gonna see concrete boardwalks in Hatteras. People come to Hatteras for what it is and what it's always been, historically, and culturally, and the access to the beach is extremely important to that. It's extremely important to the economy down there. We are facing today, probably the worst economic times throughout the country since, arguably, the Great Depression. The federal government is pouring trillions of dollars to try and stimulate the economy. The plan laid forth in this DEIS will cripple and kill, irrevocably, the economy of Hatteras Island and --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COURT REPORTER'S NOTE: Mr. DesRoches' final words competed with applause and with noise from next door.

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you, Matt. Sandy? She'll be followed by Ed Hayes.

MS. SANDY SCHNEIRLA: Thank you for the opportunity to speak tonight. My name is Sandy Schneirla. And I hadn't planned on speaking tonight, so, I'm sorry -- so, I'm a

little nervous, to say the least. But, I am a resident of 1 Virginia Beach, Virginia, lived there all my life, and going 2 to the Outer Banks most of my life. When my children were 3 4 little, I took them. We'd get in the Jeep and ride down there. Now, my husband and our family dog go down in our RV. 5 We stay at a couple of different campgrounds down -- in fact, б we were down last weekend for Four Plus' Surf Fishing 7 Tournament. I keep up to date on all the articles from the 8 9 North Carolina Beach Buggy Association, and also from the Outer Banks Preservation Association. And there were a 10 couple of points. Most of what's been said tonight, I do 11 agree with, about keeping the beaches open. There are lots 12 of ideas and lots of thoughts that came into my head as 13 people were speaking. But instead of just telling you how we 14 feel about why we want to keep the beaches open, I don't 15 under -- there's a couple things I don't understand. One is, 16 Jack Shea, Commissioner of Dare County wrote an article that 17 18 was in the Beach Buggy Association Newsletter. Not this past 19 one -- the time before last. And it was about killing the 20 mammals on the beaches. There was a picture of a ranger with 21 a shotgun on the beach, shooting a raccoon. I don't understand why the birds are more important than the mammals. 22 23 Who gives the right to kill these mammals, to try to protect a bird? And then, in the same sense, we have Pea Island 24 National Wildlife Refuge area. That was created for the 25

wildlife. Why aren't the wildlife that are on the beaches, being moved to Pea Island so that they can survive there, instead of closing our beaches where we go to enjoy? And I won't even get into the economic issues down there, because that's horrid. But my biggest issue is, if we opened a wildlife area, why isn't the wildlife there? Why are we allowing our beaches to be closed for the wildlife who have their own area already? I do not support closing any of the beaches of the Outer Banks. Thank you for your time.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you, Sandy. Next is Ed Hayes.

MR. ED HAYES: Hi. My name is Ed Hayes. I live in I've been going down to Hatteras for about Virginia Beach. ten years, pretty much since I moved to Virginia. believe it's a special place, like probably most of the people here, and also, as a kite-boarder, it is one of the best places in the world. I consider myself quite sympathetic to environmental causes, but I would like these controls implemented in a reasonable way that take into the consideration all the uses of the National Seashore. doesn't seem to be the case now. And I haven't read all this 800-page document. I heard about it last -- this meeting last night. And I made the drive here to attend the meeting. I would hope -- normally, I would think if there's important to say, you could say it in a few pages, rather than 800 I don't mind making accommodations to preserve the

beauty and the national -- natural environment of Hatteras.

Personally, I don't have strong opinions about the off-road use. I think most of the people who do use vehicles on the beach are considerate. Personally, though, I don't mind walking across the dunes to access the beach. My big concern is at least being able to do that. I think restricting even pedestrian access over wide areas is unjustified. And I think this over-stepping in trying to protect the environment causes a resentment and backlash, and reduces the support for environmental causes. I don't know the correct compromise, but I'm just asking that there are reasonable controls, not total bans. My understanding is the park intent is for the enjoyment of the people. Therefore, I think it should allow people. Thank you.

MR. SKIDMORE: Thank you, Ed. That concludes every card I have. Did I lose or did we lose any cards? Was there anyone who had signed up who was not called upon? (No response.) I believe that concludes all of our comments. I'd like to thank the commenters for being on point, and generally adhering to our time limits, and the audience for being very courteous. Superintendent?

SUPERINTENDENT MURRAY: And I want to thank you all for coming tonight. The hearing's hereby closed. Thank you.

*****THE HEARING CONCLUDED AT 8:05 P.M.****

STATE	OF	NORTH	CAROLINA)	
)	C-E-R-T-I-F-I-C-A-T-I-O-N
COUNT	Y OI	F PITT)	

I, BOBBIE G. NEWMAN, A COURT REPORTER AND NOTARY
PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE AFORESAID COUNTY AND STATE, DO HEREBY
CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING PAGES ARE AN ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT
OF THE PUBLIC HEARING IN HAMPTON, VIRGINIA, WHICH WAS TAKEN
BY ME BY STENOMASK, AND TRANSCRIBED UNDER MY DIRECT
SUPERVISION.

I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I AM NOT FINANCIALLY

INTERESTED IN THE OUTCOME OF THIS ACTION, A RELATIVE,

EMPLOYEE, ATTORNEY OR COUNSEL OF ANY OF THE PARTIES, NOR A

RELATIVE OR EMPLOYEE OF SUCH ATTORNEY OR COUNSEL.

THIS THE 17TH DAY OF MAY, 2010.

NOTARY PUBLIC NUMBER 200616600172.

BOBBIE G. (NEWMAN

COURT REPORTER AND NOTARY PUBLIC CAROLINA COURT REPORTERS, INC. 105 OAKMONT PROFESSIONAL PLAZA GREENVILLE, NC 27858

FREENVILLE, NC 27858