

United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

Yosemite National Park P. O. Box 577 Yosemite, California 95389

Memorandum

To: Randy Fong, Project Manager, Yosemite National Park

From: Acting Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: NEPA and NHPA Clearance: 2009-102 Ahwahnee Forensic Data Testing (27719)

The Management Team has reviewed the proposed project/action and completed its environmental assessment documentation, and we have determined that there:

- Will not be any effect on threatened, endangered, or rare species and/or their critical habitat.
- Will not be any effect on historical, cultural, or archeological resources; and
- Will not be serious or long-term undesirable environmental or visual effects.

The subject proposed project, therefore, is now cleared for all NEPA and NHPA compliance requirements as presented above. Project plans and specifications are approved and construction and/or project implementation can commence.

For the proposed project actions to be within compliance requirements during construction and/or project implementation, the following mitigations must be adhered to:

- All testing and patching will be coordinated with the park Historical Architect to ensure minimal impact to historic fabric.
- Ensure that all equipment and materials brought into the park are free of non-native, invasive plants and animals, and noxious weeds. All staff working on site shall be informed of and follow best management practices for preventing the introduction and spread of non-native, invasive species as described in Division 1 Specifications, Section 1335.

_//Nikki Stephanie Nicholas// (acting)__

David V. Uberuaga

Enclosure (with attachments)

cc: Statutory Compliance File

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.



Yosemite NP Date: 09/16/2009

Categorical Exclusion Form

Project: 2009-102 Ahwahnee Forensic Data Testing

PEPC ID: 27719

Project Description: Forensic testing of structural building components of the Ahwahnee is required to support the structural design alternatives for the Ahwahnee Comprehensive Rehabilitation Plan. A clear understanding of the material strength and assembly quality of elements that resist lateral loads, including the stone masonry will enhance the displacement-based analysis.

Summary of Work:

Concrete Wall Core Testing:

- Approximately five locations.
- Scan wall to identify any steel reinforcing in wall.
- Remove 3" 4" diameter core from concrete wall with coring drill.
- Document as-built construction condition and provide sketch at each location.
- Test core for compressive strength, material composition and identify condition.
- Core and finishes disturbed will be patched and repaired with matching material in color, composition, and performance.

Concrete Floor Core Testing:

- Approximately three locations.
- Scan flooring to identify any steel reinforcing in floor slab.
- Remove appropriately sized diameter core into concrete floor, which will be caught from the floor below.
- Document as-built construction condition and provide sketch at each location.
- Test core for compressive strength, material composition and identify condition.
- Core and finishes disturbed will be patched and repaired with matching material in color, composition, performance.

Stone Masonry Mortar Testing:

- Approximately 20 Locations.
- Scan stone masonry and mortar to detect any wire ties.
- Remove 1" diameter core from stone mortar with a coring drill.
- Document as-built construction condition and provide a sketch at each location.
- Test core for mortar strength, compositional make-up and identify condition.
- Core and finishes disturbed will be patched and repaired with matching material in color, composition, and performance.

Gypsum Block Wall Testing:

- Quantity and locations to be determined with Delaware North Company Operations.
- Remove a 4" diameter core from gypsum block wall between guestrooms.

- Provide sketch of as-built construction condition at each location. Include wire size, spacing and pattern.
- Core and finishes disturbed will be patched and repaired with matching material in color, composition, and performance.

Visual Inspection with a Scissors Lift:

- Approximately two locations.
- Inspections will be coordinated and scheduled to minimize impacts to hotel operations.

Forensic testing will be closely coordinated with the park Historic Preservation Officer and Historic Architect. Testing will be coordinated with the concessioner to reduce impacts on guests and operations.

Project Locations:

Mariposa County, CA

Mitigations:

• All testing and patching will be coordinated with the park Historical Architect to ensure minimal impact to historic fabric.

Describe the category used to exclude action from further NEPA analysis and indicate the number of the category (see Section 3-4 of DO-12):

E.5 Nondestructive data collection, inventory (including field, aerial, and satellite surveying and mapping), study, research, and monitoring activities.

On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances (e.g. all boxes in the ESF are marked "no") or conditions in Section 3-6 apply, and the action is fully described in Section 3-4 of DO-12.

Park Acting Superintendent _//Nikki Stephanie Nicholas// (acting) _ Date_9/23/09 _

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.



Yosemite NP Date: 09/16/2009

ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING FORM (ESF)

DO-12 APPENDIX 1

Date Form Initiated: 09/16/2009

Updated May 2007 - per 2004 DM revisions and proposed DO-12 changes

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Park Name: Yosemite NP

Project Title: Ahwahnee Forensic Data Testing

PEPC Project Number: 27719

Project Type: Inventory and Monitoring (IM)

Project Location: County, State: Mariposa, California District: Yosemite Valley

Project Leader: **Don McLarty**

Preliminary drawings attached? Yes

Is project a hot topic (controversial or sensitive issues that should be brought to attention of Regional Director)? **No**

B. RESOURCE EFFECTS TO CONSIDER:

Identify potential effects to the following physical, natural, or cultural resources	No Effect	Negligible Effects	Minor Effects	Exceeds Minor Effects	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1. Geologic resources – soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc.	No				
2. From geohazards	No				
3. Air quality		Negligible			Core samples will create temporary dust; contractor will take precautions to minimize dust.
4. Soundscapes		Negligible			Core sampling includes temporary noise form a drilling machine.
5. Water quality or quantity	No				
6. Streamflow characteristics	No				
7. Marine or estuarine resources	No				
8. Floodplains or wetlands	No				

		1	 	
9. Land use, including	No			
occupancy, income, values,				
ownership, type of use				
10. Rare or unusual vegetation	No			
– old growth timber, riparian,				
alpine				
11. Species of special concern	No			
(plant or animal; state or				
federal listed or proposed for				
listing) or their habitat				
12. Unique ecosystems,	No			Yosemite National Park is a
biosphere reserves, World	110			World Heritage site; no historic
Heritage Sites				properties would be adversely
Heritage Sites				
				affected by implementing this
10.11	2.7			project.
13. Unique or important	No			
wildlife or wildlife habitat				
14. Unique or important fish	No			
or fish habitat				
15. Introduce or promote non-		Negligible		See Comment 1, below.
native species (plant or				
animal)				
16. Recreation resources,	No			
including supply, demand,				
visitation, activities, etc.				
17. Visitor experience,	No			
aesthetic resources				
18. Archeological resources	No			
19. Prehistoric/historic		Negligible		Ahwahnee Hotel National
structure				Historical Landmark.
20. Cultural landscapes		Negligible		Yosemite Valley Historic
				District.
21. Ethnographic resources	No			
22. Museum collections	No			
(objects, specimens, and				
archival and manuscript				
collections)				
23. Socioeconomics, including	No			
employment, occupation,				
income changes, tax base,				
infrastructure				
24. Minority and low income	No	+		
populations, ethnography,	110			
size, migration patterns, etc.	NT	+		
25. Energy resources	No			
26. Other agency or tribal land	No			
use plans or policies				
27. Resource, including	No			

energy, conservation potential, sustainability			
28. Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.	No		
29. Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity	No		
30. Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?	No		

Comments:

1. Ensure that all equipment and materials brought into the park are free of non-native, invasive plants and animals, and noxious weeds. All staff working on site shall be informed of and follow best management practices for preventing the introduction and spread of non-native, invasive species as described in Division 1 Specifications, Section 1335.

C. MANDATORY CRITERIA

Mandatory Criteria: If implemented, would the proposal:	Yes	No	N/A	Comment or Data Needed to Determine
A. Have significant impacts on public health or safety?		N		
B. Have significant impacts on such natural		N		
resources and unique geographic				
characteristics as historic or cultural resources;				
park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness				
areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural				
landmarks; sole or principal drinking water				
aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands				
(Executive Order 11990); floodplains				
(Executive Order 11988); national monuments;				
migratory birds; and other ecologically				
significant or critical areas?				
C. Have highly controversial environmental		N		
effects or involve unresolved conflicts				
concerning alternative uses of available				
resources (NEPA section 102(2)(E))?				
D. Have highly uncertain and potentially		N		
significant environmental effects or involve				
unique or unknown environmental risks?				
E. Establish a precedent for future action or		N		
represent a decision in principle about future				
actions with potentially significant				
environmental effects?				
F. Have a direct relationship to other actions		N		
with individually insignificant, but				
cumulatively significant, environmental				
effects?				

G. Have significant impacts on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, as determined by either the bureau or office?	N	
H. Have significant impacts on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical Habitat for these species?	N	
I. Violate a federal law, or a state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?	N	
J. Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (Executive Order 12898)?	N	
K. Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or significantly adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (Executive Order 13007)?	N	
L. Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species known to occur in the area or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order 13112)?	N	

For the purpose of interpreting these procedures within the NPS, any action that has the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values would constitute an action that triggers the DOI exception for actions that threaten to violate a federal law for protection of the environment.

D. OTHER INFORMATION

Are personnel preparing this form familiar with the site? No

Did personnel conduct a site visit? No

Is the project in an approved plan such as a General Management Plan or an Implementation Plan with an accompanying NEPA document? \mathbf{No}

Are there any interested or affected agencies or parties? No

Did you make a diligent effort to contact them? No

Are there any connected, cumulative, or similar actions as part of the proposed action? (e.g., other development projects in area or identified in GMP, adequate/available utilities to accomplish project)? **No**

E. INTERDISCIPLINARY TEAM SIGNATORIES

Interdisciplinary Team	Field of Expertise
David V. Uberuaga	Acting Superintendent
Jim Hammett	Acting Deputy Superintendent
Linda Dahl	Chief of Planning
Mark Butler	Chief of Project Management
Katariina Tuovinen	Chief of Administration Management
Dennis Mattiuzzi	Chief of Facilities Management
Niki Nicholas	Chief of Resources Management & Science
Marty Nielson	Chief of Business and Revenue Management
Tom Medema	Acting Chief of Interpretation and Education
Steve Shackelton	Chief Ranger
Randy Fong	Project Leader
Elexis Mayer	Environmental Planning and Compliance
	Program Manager
Jeannette Simons	NHPA Specialist
Renea Kennec	NEPA Specialist

F. SUPERVISORY SIGNATORY

Based on the environmental impact information contained in the statutory compliance file and in this environmental screening form, environmental documentation for this stage of the subject project is complete.

Recommended:	
Compliance Specialists	Date
//Renea Kennec//	<u>9/16/09</u>
Compliance Specialist – Renea Kennec	
_//Elexis Mayer//	9/17/09
Compliance Program Manager – Elexis Mayer	
<u>//Mark A. Butler//</u>	<u>9/21/09</u>
Chief, Project Management – Mark Butler	
4	
Approved:	T_
Acting Superintendent	Date
_//Nikki Stephanie Nicholas//	9/23/09
David V. Uberuaga	<u>9/23/09</u>
David V. Oberdaga	

The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.

PARK ESF ADDENDUM

Today's Date: September 16, 2009

PROJECT INFORMATION

Park Name: <u>Yosemite NP</u> Project Number: <u>27719</u>

Project Type: <u>Inventory and Monitoring (IM)</u>

Project Location: County, State: Mariposa, California District: Yosemite Valley

Project Manager: Randy Fong

Project Title: Ahwahnee Forensic Data Testing

PARK ESF ADDENDUM QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

ESF Addendum Questions	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1.SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST				
2. Listed or proposed threatened or endangered species (Federal or State)?		X		
3. Species of special concern (Federal or State)?		X		
4. Park rare plants or vegetation?		X		
5. Potential habitat for any special-status species listed above?		X		
6.NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT CHECKLIST				
7. Entail ground disturbance?		X		
8. Are any archeological or ethnographic sites located within the area of potential effect?		X		
9. Entail alteration of a historic structure or cultural landscape?	X			This project entails core drilling in the concrete floor, concrete walls, stone masonry mortar, and gypsum block walls. These core samples and finishes will patch and repaired with matching materials in color, composition, and performance.
10. Has a National Register form been completed?	X			
11. Are there any structures on the park's List of Classified Structures in the area of potential effect?	X			List of Classified Structures #55943.
12.WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST				
13. Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor?	X			Merced River.
14. Fall within the bed and banks AND will affect the free-flow of the river?		X		
15. Have the possibility of affecting water quality of the area?		X		

16. Remain consistent with its river segment		X	
classification?			
17. Protect and enhance river ORVs?		X	
18. Fall within the River Protection Overlay?	X		
19. If Yes, remain consistent with conditions of the River	X		
Protection Overlay?			
20. Remain consistent with the areas Management		X	
Zoning?			
21. Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?	X		
22. Will the project encroach or intrude upon the Wild and	X		
Scenic River corridor?			
23. Will the project unreasonably diminish scenic,	X		
recreational, or fish and wildlife values?			
100.WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST			
101. Within designated Wilderness?	X		
102. Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?	X		



Yosemite NP Date: 09/15/2009

ASSESSMENT OF ACTIONS HAVING AN EFFECT ON CULTURAL RESOURCES

A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

- 1. Park: **Yosemite NP** Park District: **Yosemite Valley**
- 2. Project Description:
- a. Project Name: 2009-102 Ahwahnee Forensic Data Testing Date: September 15, 2009 PEPC

Project ID Number: 27719

- b. Describe project and area of potential effects (as defined in 36 CFR 800.2[c])
- 3. Has the area of potential effects been surveyed to identify cultural resources?

____No __X_Yes, Source or reference: Ahwahnee Hotel National Historical Landmark; Yosemite Valley Historic District

____ Check here if no known cultural resources will be affected. (If this is because area has been disturbed, please explain or attach additional information to show the disturbance was so extensive as to preclude intact cultural deposits.)

4. Potentially Affected Resources:

Historical structures/resources affected?

Name and numbers: Ahwahnee Hotel National Historical Landmark

NR status: 7 - A designated National Historic Landmark

Cultural landscapes affected?

Name and numbers: **Yosemite Valley Historic District** NR status: **1 - Listed in Register and documented**

- 5. The proposed action will: (check as many as apply)
- **No** Destroy, remove, or alter features/elements from a historic structure
- No Replace historic features/elements in kind
- **No** Add non-historic features/elements to a historic structure
- **No** Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (inc. terrain)
- **No** Add non-historic features/elements (inc. visual, audible, or atmospheric) to a historic setting or cultural landscape
- **No** Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible

No Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic resources inaccessible No Potentially affect presently unidentified cultural resources
No Begin or contribute to deterioration of historic features, terrain, setting, landscape elements, or archeological or ethnographic resources
No Involve a real property transaction (exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures) Other (please specify)
6. Measures to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of historic/prehistoric properties: (Remember that setting, location, and use may be relevant.)
All testing and patching will be coordinated with the park Historical Architect to ensure minimal impact to historic fabric.
7. Supporting Study Data: (Attach if feasible; if action is in a plan, EA or EIS, give name and project or page number.)
8. Attachments: [] Maps [] Archeological survey, if applicable [] Drawings [] Specifications [] Photographs [] Scope of Work [] Site plan [] List of Materials [] Samples [] Other:
Prepared by: Renea Kennec Date: September 15, 2009 Title: Environmental Protection Assistant Telephone: 209-379-1038
B. REVIEWS BY CULTURAL RESOURCE SPECIALISTS
The park 106 coordinator requested review by the park's cultural resource specialist/advisors as indicated by check-off boxes or as follows:
[X] Historical Architect Name: Sueann Brown Date: 09/08/2009 Comments: none
Check if project does not involve ground disturbance [] Assessment of Effect: No Historic Properties AffectedX No Adverse Effect Adverse Effect Streamlined Review Recommendations for conditions or stipulations: none
Doc Method: Park Specific Programmatic Agreement

[**X**] Historical Landscape Architect Name: **David Humphrey**

Date: 09/08/2009 Comments: None.
Check if project does not involve ground disturbance [] Assessment of Effect: No Historic Properties Affected X_ No Adverse Effect Adverse Effect Streamlined Review Recommendations for conditions or stipulations: None.
Doc Method: Park Specific Programmatic Agreement
[X] 106 Advisor Name: Jeannette Simons Date: 09/16/2009 Comments:
Check if project does not involve ground disturbance [] Assessment of Effect: No Historic Properties Affected X _ No Adverse Effect Adverse Effect Streamlined Review Recommendations for conditions or stipulations: All testing and patching will be coordinated with the park Historical Architect to ensure minimal impact to historic fabric.
No Reviews From: Curator, Archeologist, Historian, Other Advisor, Anthropologist
C. PARK SECTION 106 COORDINATOR'S REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS
1. Assessment of Effect:
No Historic Properties Affected X No Adverse Effect Adverse Effect
2. Compliance requirements:
[] A. STANDARD 36 CFR PART 800 CONSULTATION Further consultation under 36 CFR Part 800 is needed.
[] B. STREAMLINED REVIEW UNDER THE 2008 SERVICEWIDE PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT (PA)

The above action meets all conditions for a streamlined review under section III of the 2008 Servicewide PA for Section 106 compliance.

APPLICABLE STREAMI (Specify 1-16 of the list of	LINED REVIEW Criteria streamlined review criteria.)	
[] C. PLAN-RELATED U	UNDERTAKING	
process, in accordance wit	f the proposed undertaking were completed in the context of a plan review h the 2008 Servicewide PA and 36 CFR Part 800.	,
The proposed undertaking	RELATED TO ANOTHER AGREEMENT is covered for Section 106 purposes under another document such as a lished in accord with 36 CFR 800.7 or counterpart regulations.	
Documentation is required	QUIREMENTS SATISFIED BY USE OF NEPA for the preparation of an EA/FONSI or an EIS/ROD has been developed requirements of 36 CFR 800.3 through 800.6	and
[] F. No Potential to Caus	se Effects [800.3(a)(1)]	
	ONDITIONS ipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effect a Part 800 criteria of effect or to avoid or reduce potential adverse effects.	ıbove
Recommended by Park Se	ction 106 coordinator:	
Signature of Historic Prese	ervation Officer_//Jeannette Simons//	
Date:9/16/09		
D. SUPERINTENDENT	S APPROVAL	
	rms to the NPS Management Policies and Cultural Resource Management ewed and approve the recommendations, stipulations, or conditions noted in	
Signature of Acting Super	intendent _//Nikki Stephanie Nicholas// (acting)	
Date:9/23/09	The signed original of this document is on file at the Environmental Planning and Compliance Office in Yosemite National Park.	