



IN REPLY REFER TO:  
L7615(YOSE-PM)

## United States Department of the Interior NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

Yosemite National Park  
P. O. Box 577  
Yosemite, California 95389

### Memorandum

To: Jim Vandenberg, Project Manager, Yosemite National Park

From: Acting Superintendent, Yosemite National Park

Subject: NEPA and NHPA: 2010-008 Ansel Adams Gallery Residential Repairs (28701)

The Management Team has reviewed the proposed project/action and completed its environmental assessment documentation, and we have determined that there:

- Will not be any effect on threatened, endangered, or rare species and/or their critical habitat.
- Will not be any effect on historical, cultural, or archeological resources.
- Will not be serious or long-term undesirable environmental or visual effects.

The subject proposed project, therefore, is now cleared for all NEPA and NHPA compliance requirements as presented above. Project plans and specifications are approved and construction and/or project implementation can commence.

For the proposed project actions to be within compliance requirements during construction and/or project implementation, the following mitigations must be adhered to:

- Ensure that all equipment and materials brought into the park are free of non-native, invasive plants and animals, and noxious weeds. All staff working on site shall be informed of and follow best management practices for preventing the introduction and spread of non-native, invasive species as described in Division 1 Specifications, Section 1335.

//Daniel V. Uberuaga// (acting)  
David V. Uberuaga

Enclosure (with attachments)

cc: Statutory Compliance File

*The signed original of this document is on file at  
the Environmental Planning and Compliance  
Office in Yosemite National Park.*



---

## Categorical Exclusion Form

**Project:** 2010-008 Ansel Adams Gallery Residential Repairs

**PEPC Project Number:** 28701

**Project Description:** The Ansel Adams Gallery (AAG), owned and managed by Matthew Adams, operates as a National Park Service concessioner. Per the terms of the concession contract, the AAG is assigned to five historic structures located near the Visitor Center in Yosemite Valley. Each of the residential buildings is in need of significant repair. Several impending projects have been identified to protect the integrity of the structures and improve the living conditions of the staff that are employed by the AAG. The two buildings selected for this project (900 and 902-A) are in need of immediate repair. Ongoing consultation with the park Historical Architect would take place as needed to ensure that all work performed is consistent with the requirements of the National Park Service.

### **Work Site 1 Building 900-B Gallery and Residence**

**Bathroom Work Statement:** The project would involve a complete renovation of the bathroom to include the following: bring plumbing and electrical infrastructure to code; replace all fixtures; replace wall, floor, and ceiling coverings; and repair floor joists as necessary. All new fixtures would be chosen for quality and conformity with other historic elements of the building.

Specific proposed actions include:

- The existing sink faucet, drain, and plumbing fixtures would be removed and replaced with new operable fixtures and infrastructure. Existing sink and wall-surround would remain.
- The existing light fixtures (recent, non-historic) at the sink would be removed and replaced with low profile lighting that allows the mirrored cabinet to open properly.
- The existing toilet would be removed to allow repair work to floor joists, replaced with a low-water volume fixture, and reused in another bathroom.
- The existing bathtub would be temporarily removed to allow access to deteriorated subfloor for repair. The bathtub faucet, drain, shower, and plumbing piping would be replaced with new up-to-code infrastructure from below the floor structure up. The tub would be reset after in same location and configuration, after repair.
- Floor joists would be repaired, as necessary, while the floor is exposed to allow replacement/repair of the plumbing infrastructure.
- Subflooring would be patched or replaced, as necessary, in kind with same dimension lumber.
- Wall and ceiling coverings would remain, except the reinforced fiberglass paneled tub surround; to be replaced with new appropriate water resistant materials. The existing blown-in ceiling insulation would be removed and replaced with fiberglass batt insulation. Exposed electrical infrastructure not meeting code would be replaced with materials to meet regulations.
- All walls, ceilings, and cabinets would be painted to match the existing antique white surface. Any potential lead-based paint would be encapsulated. Removal of encapsulated paint is considered non-toxic and can be disposed of normally.
- The existing floor covering would be replaced with linoleum.

**Utility Closet Work Statement:** This project would effect a repair of the floor and subfloor and replace the existing HVAC unit with a modern, energy efficient unit. The existing water heater has been replaced within the last five years and would be removed during the work and returned to its location after the repairs are completed.

Specific proposed actions include:

- A licensed air conditioning contractor would drain the Freon from the existing propane HVAC unit; the Freon would be properly disposed of outside the park. The HVAC unit would be replaced by a modern, high-efficiency unit. The unit would be placed to maximize space efficiency within code access requirements. Sheet metal attachments to the existing ductwork would be replaced as necessary.
- Floor joists would be repaired as necessary.
- Subflooring would be replaced in kind with same dimension lumber, as necessary.
- Plumbing supply to and from the water heater would be replaced as necessary.
- Existing floor covering would be replaced with linoleum.

**Kitchen Work Statement:** This project would result in a renovation of the kitchen, while keeping the historic elements intact and replacing non-historic elements (where possible) with elements of similar design.

Specific proposed actions include:

- Replace the existing sink and dishwasher unit with a sink and non-historic, non-original lower cabinet to the right of sink unit. In the lower cabinet and opening created by removal of sink/dishwasher unit and non-original lower cabinet - a new replacement lower cabinet would be milled that matches remaining existing cabinetry. Include a slot for a dishwasher and a counter top sink in cabinetry. Install new plastic laminate countertop to be complementary with new linoleum floor color and design. Install the countertop in the same way as existing with stainless steel edge and corner trim. Include a 4-inch backsplash of same plastic laminate material.
- The plumbing (supply and waste) would be removed and replaced with modern material and fixtures.
- The existing wall cabinet on the north end of the west wall would be replaced with a custom cabinet that emulates the existing historic upper cabinets. New cabinetry, including pulls, would match the existing face-frame cabinets and door style.
- The east, north, and west wall covering would be retained. Existing ceiling-mounted fixtures would remain. Blown-in insulation would be removed and replaced with batt insulation.
- All walls, ceilings, and fixtures would be painted antique white to match existing color. Any potential lead-based paint would be encapsulated. Removal of encapsulated paint is considered non-toxic and can be disposed of normally.
- The existing red brick-patterned floor covering would be removed and replaced with linoleum representative of the 1940-50s.

## **Work Site 2 Building 902-A/B Employee Residence Duplex**

### **Building 902-A:**

This building has no foundation and was constructed in the 1930s for seasonal use and has never been retrofitted for winter use. Insulation is non-existent and walls/windows are drafty. The floors slop and/or sag.

**Kitchen Work Statement:** This project would replace the heat-reflecting material under the wood burning stove.

Specific proposed actions include:

- The fireproof heat shields for the wood burning stove would be repaired or replaced as necessary.

**Entry Work Statement:** This project would replace the front door, repair the flooring and subflooring at the entryway, and replace the front steps and landing to meet code.

Specific proposed actions include:

- The fireproof heat shields for the wood burning stove would be removed and replaced with Hardie Board-type substrate and new neutral-color integral 8 x 8 x 3/8 non-slip flooring tile with grout joints in a complementary color.

**Building 902-B:**

This building has no foundation and was constructed in the 1930s for seasonal use and has never been retrofitted for winter use. Insulation is non-existent and walls/windows are drafty. The floors slope and/or sag.

**Bathroom Work Statement:** The project would involve a partial renovation of the bathroom, bringing the plumbing infrastructure to code. The existing shower and shower fixtures would remain as they are. New fixtures would be chosen for quality and conformity with other historic elements of the building.

Specific proposed actions include:

- The existing sink, faucet, drain, and plumbing supply fixtures would be removed and replaced with modern fixtures and aquatex piping.
- The existing toilet, seat, and plumbing fixtures would be removed and replaced with a new low-water volume unit.
- The existing bathroom exhaust fan would be removed and replaced. The exhaust would be vented into the attic as close to attic vents as possible.

**Project Location:**

Mariposa County, CA

**Mitigations:**

- Ensure that all equipment and materials brought into the park are free of non-native, invasive plants and animals, and noxious weeds. All staff working on site shall be informed of and follow best management practices for preventing the introduction and spread of non-native, invasive species as described in Division 1 Specifications, Section 1335.

**Describe the category used to exclude action from further NEPA analysis and indicate the number of the category (see Section 3-4 of DO-12):**

C.4 Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites, structures, utilities and grounds under an approved Historic Structures Preservation Guide or Cyclic Maintenance Guide; or if the action would not adversely affect the cultural resource.

On the basis of the environmental impact information in the statutory compliance file, with which I am familiar, I am categorically excluding the described project from further NEPA analysis. No exceptional circumstances or conditions in Section 3-6 apply, and the action is fully described in Section 3-4 of DO-12.

Park Acting Superintendent //David V. Uberuaga// (acting)

Date 2/4/10

*The signed original of this document is on file at  
the Environmental Planning and Compliance  
Office in Yosemite National Park.*



National Park Service  
U.S. Department of the Interior

Yosemite National Park  
Date: 02/03/2010

## ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING FORM (ESF)

### DO-12 APPENDIX 1

Date Form Initiated: 02/02/2010

Updated May 2007 - per 2004 Departmental Manual revisions and proposed Director's Order 12 changes

### A. PROJECT INFORMATION

**Park Name:** Yosemite National Park  
**Project Title:** 2010-008 Ansel Adams Gallery Residential Repairs  
**PEPC Project Number:** 28701  
**Project Type:** Facility Maintenance (FM)  
**Project Location:** County, State: Mariposa, California  
**Project Leader:** Jim Vandenberg

**Preliminary drawings attached?** Yes

**Is project a hot topic (controversial or sensitive issues that should be brought to attention of Regional Director)?** No

### B. RESOURCE EFFECTS TO CONSIDER:

Identify potential effects to the following physical, natural, or cultural resources	No Effect	Negligible Effects	Minor Effects	Exceeds Minor Effects	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
1. Geologic resources – soils, bedrock, streambeds, etc.	No				
2. From geohazards	No				
3. Air quality		Negligible			Temporary air emissions during the construction such as generators.
4. Soundscapes		Negligible			Construction noises are associated with this project; all work will be performed on the interior of the building.
5. Water quality or quantity	No				
6. Streamflow characteristics	No				
7. Marine or estuarine resources	No				
8. Floodplains or wetlands	No				
9. Land use, including occupancy, income, values, ownership, type of use	No				

10. Rare or unusual vegetation – old growth timber, riparian, alpine	No				
11. Species of special concern (plant or animal; state or federal listed or proposed for listing) or their habitat	No				
12. Unique ecosystems, biosphere reserves, World Heritage Sites	No				Yosemite National Park is a World Heritage Site.
13. Unique or important wildlife or wildlife habitat	No				
14. Unique or important fish or fish habitat	No				
15. Introduce or promote non-native species (plant or animal)		Negligible			This project is contingent on ensuring that all equipment and materials brought into the park are free of non-native, invasive plants and animals.
16. Recreation resources, including supply, demand, visitation, activities, etc.	No				
17. Visitor experience, aesthetic resources	No				
18. Museum collections (objects, specimens, and archival and manuscript collections)	No				
19. Socioeconomics, including employment, occupation, income changes, tax base, infrastructure	No				
20. Minority and low income populations, ethnography, size, migration patterns, etc.	No				
21. Energy resources	No				
22. Other agency or tribal land use plans or policies	No				
23. Resource, including energy, conservation potential, sustainability	No				
24. Urban quality, gateway communities, etc.	No				
25. Long-term management of resources or land/resource productivity	No				
26. Other important environment resources (e.g. geothermal, paleontological resources)?	No				

<b>Identify potential effects to the following physical, natural, or cultural resources</b>	<b>No Historic Properties Affected</b>	<b>No Adverse Effect</b>	<b>Adverse Effect</b>	<b>Data Needed to Determine/Notes</b>
1. Archeological resources	No			
2. Prehistoric/historic structure		Negligible		Best Studio; Ansel Adams Residence; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect."
3. Cultural landscapes		Negligible		Yosemite Valley Historic District; Yosemite Village Historic District; the assessment of effect is "No Adverse Effect."
4. Ethnographic resources	No			

### **C. MANDATORY CRITERIA**

<b>Mandatory Criteria: If implemented, would the proposal:</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>Comment or Data Needed to Determine</b>
A. Have significant impacts on public health or safety?		No		
B. Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds; and other ecologically significant or critical areas?		No		
C. Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA section 102(2)(E))?		No		
D. Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?		No		
E. Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		No		
F. Have a direct relationship to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?		No		

G. Have significant impacts on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, as determined by either the bureau or office?		No		
H. Have significant impacts on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical Habitat for these species?		No		
I. Violate a federal law, or a state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?		No		
J. Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (Executive Order 12898)?		No		
K. Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or significantly adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (Executive Order 13007)?		No		
L. Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species known to occur in the area or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order 13112)?		No		

For the purpose of interpreting these procedures within the NPS, any action that has the potential to violate the NPS Organic Act by impairing park resources or values would constitute an action that triggers the DOI exception for actions that threaten to violate a federal law for protection of the environment.

#### **D. OTHER INFORMATION**

**Are personnel preparing this form familiar with the site?** No

**Did personnel conduct a site visit?** Yes, Resources Management and Science, Business and Revenue Management, and Project Management staff.

**Is the project in an approved plan such as a General Management Plan or an Implementation Plan with an accompanying NEPA document?** No

**Are there any interested or affected agencies or parties?** No

**Has consultation with all affected agencies or tribes been completed?** No

**Are there any connected, cumulative, or similar actions as part of the proposed action?** (e.g., other development projects in area or identified in GMP, adequate/available utilities to accomplish project)? No



## E. INTERDISCIPLINARY TEAM SIGNATORIES

<u>Interdisciplinary Team</u>	<u>Field of Expertise</u>
David V. Uberuaga	Acting Superintendent
Katariina Tuovinen	Acting Deputy Superintendent
Kristina Rylands	Acting Chief of Planning
Mark Butler	Chief of Project Management
Katariina Tuovinen	Chief of Administration Management
Paul Laymon	Acting Chief of Facilities Management
Niki Nicholas	Chief of Resources Management & Science
Marty Nielson	Chief of Business and Revenue Management
Tom Medema	Chief of Interpretation and Education
Steve Shackelton	Chief Ranger
Jim Vandenberg	Project Leader
Elexis Mayer	Environmental Planning and Compliance Program Manager
Jeannette Simons	NHPA Specialist
Renea Kennec	NEPA Specialist

## F. SUPERVISORY SIGNATORY

*Based on the environmental impact information contained in the statutory compliance file and in this environmental screening form, environmental documentation for this stage of the subject project is complete.*

Recommended:

Compliance Specialists	Date
 <u>//Renea Kennec//</u> Compliance Specialist – Renea Kennec	 <u>2/4/10</u>
 <u>//Madelyn Ruffner// (acting)</u> Compliance Program Manager – Elexis Mayer	 <u>2/4/10</u>
 <u>//Mark A. Butler//</u> Chief, Project Management – Mark Butler	 <u>2/4/10</u>

Approved:

Acting Superintendent	Date
 <u>//David V. Uberuaga// (acting)</u> David V. Uberuaga	 <u>2/4/10</u>

*The signed original of this document is on file at  
the Environmental Planning and Compliance  
Office in Yosemite National Park.*



National Park Service  
U.S. Department of the Interior

Yosemite National Park  
Date: 02/03/2010

## PARK ESF ADDENDUM

Today's Date: **February 3, 2010**

### PROJECT INFORMATION

**Park Name:** Yosemite National Park  
**Project Title:** 2010-008 Ansel Adams Gallery Residential Repairs  
**PEPC Project Number:** 28701  
**Project Type:** Facility Maintenance (FM)  
**Project Location:** County, State: Mariposa, California  
**Project Leader:** Jim Vandenberg

### PARK ESF ADDENDUM QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

ESF Addendum Questions	Yes	No	N/A	Data Needed to Determine/Notes
<b>SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CHECKLIST</b>				
1. Listed or proposed threatened or endangered species (Federal or State)?				
2. Species of special concern (Federal or State)?		X		
3. Park rare plants or vegetation?		X		
4. Potential habitat for any special-status species listed above?		X		
<b>NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT CHECKLIST</b>				
5. Entail ground disturbance?		X		
6. Are any archeological or ethnographic sites located within the area of potential effect?		X		
7. Entail alteration of a historic		X		The interior renovations will not alter the


structure or cultural landscape?				historic structures.
8. Has a National Register form been completed?		X		
9. Are there any structures on the park's List of Classified Structures in the area of potential effect?	X			Residence #900; 55936 and Residence #902; 55938.

#### **WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CHECKLIST**

10. Fall within a wild and scenic river corridor? (Name the river corridor)	X			Merced River.
11. Fall within the bed and banks AND will effect the free-flow of the river?		X		
12. Have the possibility of affecting water quality of the area?		X		
13. Remain consistent with its river segment classification?			X	
14. Protect and enhance river ORVs?			X	
15. Fall on a tributary of a Wild and Scenic River?		X		
16. Will the project encroach or intrude upon the Wild and Scenic River corridor?		X		
17. Consistent with the provisions in the Merced River Plan Settlement Agreement?		X		

#### **WILDERNESS ACT CHECKLIST**

18. Within designated Wilderness?		X		
19. Within a Potential Wilderness Addition?		X		



Approximate area boundary is denoted by the heavy, dark line.



Sink/Dishwasher Combination - Residence 900



Kitchen Area – 902B Residence



Bathroom Tub – 900B



Bathroom Light – Residence 902B



National Park Service  
U.S. Department of the Interior

Yosemite National Park  
Date: 02/03/2010

## ASSESSMENT OF ACTIONS HAVING AN EFFECT ON CULTURAL RESOURCES

### A. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

**1. Park:** Yosemite National Park    **Park District:** Yosemite Valley

**2. Project Description:**

**a. Project Name:** 2010-008 Ansel Adams Gallery Residential Repairs

**b. Date:** February 3, 2010

**c. PEPC Project ID:** 28701

**3. Has the area of potential effects been surveyed to identify cultural resources?**

☐ No

☒ Yes, Source or reference: **Yosemite Valley Historic District; Yosemite Village Historic District.**

☒ Check here if no known cultural resources will be affected. (If this is because area has been disturbed, please explain or attach additional information to show the disturbance was so extensive as to preclude intact cultural deposits.)

**4. Potentially Affected Resources:**

**Historical structures/resources affected?**

Name and number: Ansel Adams Residence    LCS 55938    Location: Yosemite Valley

NR status: 8 - Within a Register-eligible district

Name and number: Best Studio    LCS 55936    Location: Yosemite Valley

NR status: 8 - Within a Register-eligible district

**Cultural landscapes affected?**

Name and numbers: Yosemite Village Historic District

NR status: 1 - Listed in Register and documented

Name and numbers: Yosemite Valley Historic District

NR status: 1 - Listed in Register and documented



**5. The proposed action will: (check as many as apply)**

- ☒ **No** Destroy, remove, or alter features/elements from a historic structure  
☒ **No** Replace historic features/elements in kind  
☒ **Yes** Add non-historic features/elements to a historic structure  
☒ **No** Alter or remove features/elements of a historic setting or environment (inc. terrain)  
☒ **No** Add non-historic features/elements (inc. visual, audible, or atmospheric) to a historic setting or cultural landscape  
☒ **No** Disturb, destroy, or make archeological resources inaccessible  
☒ **No** Disturb, destroy, or make ethnographic resources inaccessible  
☒ **No** Potentially affect presently unidentified cultural resources  
☒ **No** Begin or contribute to deterioration of historic features, terrain, setting, landscape elements, or archeological or ethnographic resources  
☒ **No** Involve a real property transaction (exchange, sale, or lease of land or structures)  
☐ Other (please specify)

**6. Measures to prevent or minimize loss or impairment of historic/prehistoric properties:**

(Remember that setting, location, and use may be relevant.)

**No Assessment of Effect mitigations identified.**

**7. Supporting Study Data:**

(Attach if feasible; if action is in a plan, EA or EIS, give name and project or page number.)

**8. Attachments:**

☐ Maps ☐ Archeological survey, if applicable ☐ Drawings ☐ Specifications ☐ Photographs  
☐ Scope of Work ☐ Site plan ☐ List of Materials ☐ Samples ☐ Other:

**Prepared by:** Renea Kennec **Date:** February 3, 2010 **Title:** Environmental Protection Specialist **Telephone:** 209-379-1038

**B. REVIEWS BY CULTURAL RESOURCE SPECIALISTS**

The park 106 coordinator requested review by the park's cultural resource specialist/advisors as indicated by check-off boxes or as follows:

---

☒ [ X ] Historical Architect

Name: Sueann Brown

Date: 02/01/2010

Comments:

*Check if project does not involve ground disturbance* ☐ [ ]

Assessment of Effect: ☐ No Historic Properties Affected ☒ **X** No Adverse Effect ☐ Adverse Effect ☐ Streamlined Review

Recommendations for conditions or stipulations:

Doc Method: Park Specific Programmatic Agreement

---

☒ [ X ] Historical Landscape Architect

Name: David Humphrey

Date: 02/03/2010

Comments: None.

*Check if project does not involve ground disturbance* ☒ [ X ]

Assessment of Effect: ☐ No Historic Properties Affected ☒ No Adverse Effect ☐ Adverse Effect ☐ Streamlined Review

Recommendations for conditions or stipulations:

None.

Doc Method: Park Specific Programmatic Agreement

---

No Reviews From: **Curator, Archeologist, Historian, 106 Advisor, Other Advisor, Anthropologist, Historical Landscape Architect**

---

### C. PARK SECTION 106 COORDINATOR'S REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Assessment of Effect:

☐ No Historic Properties Affected ☒ No Adverse Effect ☐ Adverse Effect

2. Compliance requirements:

☐ [ ] A. STANDARD 36 CFR PART 800 CONSULTATION

Further consultation under 36 CFR Part 800 is needed.

☐ [ ] B. STREAMLINED REVIEW UNDER THE 2008 SERVICEWIDE PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT (PA)

The above action meets all conditions for a streamlined review under section III of the 2008 Servicewide PA for Section 106 compliance.

APPLICABLE STREAMLINED REVIEW Criteria

(Specify 1-16 of the list of streamlined review criteria.)

☐ [ ] C. PLAN-RELATED UNDERTAKING

Consultation and review of the proposed undertaking were completed in the context of a plan review process, in accordance with the 2008 Servicewide PA and 36 CFR Part 800.

Specify plan/EA/EIS: \_\_\_\_\_

☒ D. UNDERTAKING RELATED TO ANOTHER AGREEMENT

The proposed undertaking is covered for Section 106 purposes under another document such as a statewide agreement established in accord with 36 CFR 800.7 or counterpart regulations.

Specify: \_\_\_\_\_

☐ E. COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS SATISFIED BY USE OF NEPA

Documentation is required for the preparation of an EA/FONSI or an EIS/ROD has been developed and used so as also to meet the requirements of 36 CFR 800.3 through 800.6

☐ F. No Potential to Cause Effects [800.3(a)(1)]

☐ G. STIPULATIONS/CONDITIONS

Following are listed any stipulations or conditions necessary to ensure that the assessment of effect above is consistent with 36 CFR Part 800 criteria of effect or to avoid or reduce potential adverse effects.

Recommended by Park Section 106 coordinator:

Signature of Historic Preservation Officer //Madelyn Ruffner// (acting)

Date: 2/5/10

**D. SUPERINTENDENT'S APPROVAL**

The proposed work conforms to the NPS *Management Policies* and *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*, and I have reviewed and approve the recommendations, stipulations, or conditions noted in Section C of this form.

Signature of Acting Superintendent //David V. Uberuaga// (acting)

Date: 2/4/10

*The signed original of this document is on file at  
the Environmental Planning and Compliance  
Office in Yosemite National Park.*